number after it is calculated. We agree that the absence of an explicit instruction, in and of itself, does not necessarily mean that the Secretary cannot implement a wage adjustment. However, Congressional ''silence'' on this issue must be construed in light of the statutory scheme and the legislative history, as well as policy considerations.

With regard to the statutory scheme, we stated that in requiring that we calculate a separate number for each class of hospitals, the Congress established a scheme that directs us to recognize differences across types of hospitals, but does not direct us to recognize differences in wages. In addition to the scheme of section 4414 itself, we considered this section in light of other statutory provisions. We concluded that, because the Congress explicitly requires wage adjustments in some contexts, failure to require a wage adjustment in this context reflects a judgement by the Congress that we should not make one under section 4414. In terms of the legislative history, we noted that there is no reference in the Conference Report to a wage adjustment to the TEFRA caps.

Finally, we asserted that while from a broad policy perspective a wage adjustment might be appropriate, policy considerations do not dictate a wage adjustment. A payment cap is different from a payment rate in that a cap only affects hospitals that are above the cap, while a payment rate affects all hospitals. Thus, we believe that while a wage adjustment might be preferable policy, the lack of a wage adjustment is not unreasonable. We stated that we would support a hospital-sponsored legislative change to permit wage adjustments and we will continue to do so; however, our decision, as expressed in the May 12, 1998 final rule, remains unchanged.

B. Disproportionate Share Hospitals (DSH) (Recommendations 3C, 3D, and 3E)

Recommendations: The Congress should require that disproportionate share payments be distributed according to each hospital's share of low-income patient costs, defined broadly to include all care to the poor. The measure of low-income costs should reflect: (1) Medicare patients eligible for Supplemental Security Income, Medicaid patients, patients sponsored by other indigent care programs, and uninsured and underinsured patients as represented by uncompensated care (both charity and bad debts); and (2) services provided in both inpatient and outpatient settings.

As under current policy, disproportionate share payment should be made in the form of an adjustment to the per-case payment rate. In this way, the total payment each hospital receives will reflect its volume of Medicare patients.

Through a minimum threshold for low-income share, the formula for distributing disproportionate share payments should concentrate payments among hospitals with the highest shares of poor patients. A reasonable range for this threshold would be levels that make between 50 percent and 60 percent of hospitals eligible for a payment. However, the size of the payment adjustment should increase gradually from zero at the threshold. The same distribution formula should apply to all hospitals covered by prospective payment.

The Secretary should collect the data necessary to revise the disproportionate share payment system from all hospitals paid under the prospective payment system.

Response in the Proposed Rule: We continue to give careful consideration to MedPAC's recommendations concerning the DSH adjustment made to operating payments under the prospective payment system.

We are in the process of preparing a report to the Congress on the Medicare DSH adjustment that includes several options for amending the statutory disproportionate share adjustment formula. We believe that any adjustment to the DSH formula or data sources should be directed and supported by the Congress.

The MedPAC option involves collecting data on uncompensated care, that is, charity and bad debts. Ideally, this would be a direct measure of a hospital's indigent care burden. However, there are problems associated with verification of such data and consistency of reporting nationally. We appreciate the Commission's recommendations about and assistance with the Medicare DSH adjustment as we formulate our legislative proposal and await Congressional action.

Comment: MedPAC commented that it does not believe that the verification process for uncompensated care (charity and bad debt) data needs to be burdensome. It recommends that HCFA keep reporting requirements to a minimum to limit data collection problems. Specifically, MedPAC recommends that HCFA collect only total uncompensated care data rather than separate data on the two components of uncompensated care— bad debts and charity care. HCFA should publish guidelines specifying

the types of unpaid charges that can be included so that reporting problems are minimal.

Response: As we noted in our response to this recommendation in the proposed rule, we are preparing a Report to Congress on the revision of the DSH adjustment formula and have taken into consideration the inclusion of a recommendation to collect uncompensated care charge data by payer category (inpatient and outpatient) for our analysis. We believe it is important to promote the consistent reporting of data to the extent possible. We plan to minimize reporting problems by collecting only total uncompensated care data, thereby avoiding the problem of different definitions of bad debts, indigent care, and uncompensated care among States. However, we continue to anticipate other reporting problems such as hospital recordkeeping of these data.

VIII. Other Required Information

Requests for Data from the Public

In order to respond promptly to public requests for data related to the prospective payment system, we have set up a process under which commenters can gain access to the raw data on an expedited basis. Generally, the data are available in computer tape or cartridge format; however, some files are available on diskette as well as on the Internet at HTTP:// WWW.HCFA.GOV/STATS/ PUBFILES.HTML. In our May 7, 1999 proposed rule, we published a list of data files that are available for purchase (64 FR 24746 and 24747).

List of Subjects

42 CFR Part 412

Administrative practice and procedure, Health facilities, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 413

Health facilities, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 483

Grant programs-health, Health facilities, Health professions, Health records, Medicaid, Medicare, Nursing homes, Nutrition, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Safety.

42 CFR Part 485

Grant programs-health, Health facilities, Medicaid, Medicare, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Chapter IV is amended as set forth below:

PART 412—PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEMS FOR INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES

A. Part 412 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 412 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. In § 412.2, the introductory text of paragraph (e) is republished and paragraph (e)(4) is revised to read as follows:

§ 412.2 Basis of payment.

* * * * *

(e) *Excluded costs.* The following inpatient hospital costs are excluded from the prospective payment amounts and are paid on a reasonable cost basis:

* * * * *

(4) Heart, kidney, liver, lung, and pancreas acquisition costs incurred by approved transplantation centers.

* * * * *

3. Section 412.22 is amended by adding a new paragraph (h) to read as follows:

§ 412.22 Excluded hospitals and hospital units: General rules.

* * * * *

(h) *Satellite facilities.* (1) For purposes of paragraphs (h)(2) through (h)(4) of this section, a satellite facility is a part of a hospital that provides inpatient services in a building also used by another hospital, or in one or more entire buildings located on the same campus as buildings used by another hospital.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (h)(3) of this section, effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999, a hospital that has a satellite facility must meet the following criteria in order to be excluded from the prospective payment systems for any period:

(i) In the case of a hospital (other than a children's hospital) that was excluded from the prospective payment systems for the most recent cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 1997, the hospital's number of State-licensed and Medicare-certified beds, including those at the satellite facilities, does not exceed the hospital's number of State-licensed and Medicare-certified beds on the last day of the hospital's last cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 1997.

(ii) The satellite facility independently complies with—

(A) For psychiatric hospitals, the requirements under § 412.23(a);

(B) For rehabilitation hospitals, the requirements under § 412.23(b)(2);

(C) For children's hospitals, the requirements under § 412.23(d)(2); or

(D) For long-term care hospitals, the requirements under §§ 412.23(e)(1) through (e)(3)(i).

(iii) The satellite facility meets all of the following requirements:

(A) It maintains admission and discharge records that are separately identified from those of the hospital in which it is located and are readily available.

(B) It has beds that are physically separate from (that is, not commingled with) the beds of the hospital in which it is located.

(C) It is serviced by the same fiscal intermediary as the hospital of which it is a part.

(D) It is treated as a separate cost center of the hospital of which it is a part.

(E) For cost reporting and apportionment purposes, it uses an accounting system that properly allocates costs and maintains adequate statistical data to support the basis of allocation.

(F) It reports its costs on the cost report of the hospital of which it is a part, covering the same fiscal period and using the same method of apportionment as the hospital of which it is a part.

(3) Except as provided in paragraph (h)(4) of this section, the provisions of paragraph (h)(2) of this section do not apply to—

(i) Any hospital structured as a satellite facility on September 30, 1999, and excluded from the prospective payment systems on that date, to the extent the hospital continues operating under the same terms and conditions, including the number of beds and square footage considered, for purposes of Medicare participation and payment, to be part of the hospital, in effect on September 30, 1999; or

(ii) Any hospital excluded from the prospective payment systems under § 412.23(e)(2).

(4) In applying the provisions of paragraph (h)(3) of this section, any hospital structured as a satellite facility on September 30, 1999, may increase or decrease the square footage of the satellite facility or may decrease the number of beds in the satellite facility if these changes are made necessary by relocation of a facility—

(i) To permit construction or renovation necessary for compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local law; or

(ii) Because of catastrophic events such as fires, floods, earthquakes, or tornadoes.

4. Section 412.25 is amended by revising paragraphs (b) and (c) and adding a new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 412.25 Excluded hospital units: Common requirements.

* * * * *

(b) *Changes in the size of excluded units.* For purposes of exclusions from the prospective payment systems under this section, changes in the number of beds and square footage considered to be part of each excluded unit are allowed as specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(3) of this section.

(1) *Increase in size.* Except as described in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the number of beds and square footage of an excluded unit may be increased only at the start of a cost reporting period.

(2) *Decrease in size.* Except as described in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, the number of beds and square footage of an excluded unit may be decreased at any time during a cost reporting period if the hospital notifies its fiscal intermediary and the HCFA Regional Office in writing of the planned decrease at least 30 days before the date of the decrease, and maintains the information needed to accurately determine costs that are attributable to the excluded unit. Any decrease in the number of beds or square footage considered to be part of an excluded unit made during a cost reporting period must remain in effect for the rest of that cost reporting period.

(3) *Exception to changes in square footage and bed size.* The number of beds in an excluded unit may be decreased, and the square footage considered to be part of the unit may be either increased or decreased, at any time, if these changes are made necessary by relocation of a unit—

(i) To permit construction or renovation necessary for compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local law affecting the physical facility; or

(ii) Because of catastrophic events such as fires, floods, earthquakes, or tornadoes.

(c) *Changes in the status of hospital units.* For purposes of exclusions from the prospective payment systems under this section, the status of each hospital unit (excluded or not excluded) is determined as specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section.

(1) The status of a hospital unit may be changed from not excluded to excluded only at the start of the cost reporting period. If a unit is added to a

hospital after the start of a cost reporting period, it cannot be excluded from the prospective payment systems before the start of a hospital's next cost reporting period.

(2) The status of a hospital unit may be changed from excluded to not excluded at any time during a cost reporting period, but only if the hospital notifies the fiscal intermediary and the HCFA Regional Office in writing of the change at least 30 days before the date of the change, and maintains the information needed to accurately determine costs that are or are not attributable to the excluded unit. A change in the status of a unit from excluded to not excluded that is made during a cost reporting period must remain in effect for the rest of that cost reporting period.

* * * * *

(e) *Satellite facilities.* (1) For purposes of paragraphs (e)(2) through (e)(4) of this section, a satellite facility is a part of a hospital unit that provides inpatient services in a building also used by another hospital, or in one or more entire buildings located on the same campus as buildings used by another hospital.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999, a hospital unit that establishes a satellite facility must meet the following requirements in order to be excluded from the prospective payment systems for any period:

(i) In the case of a unit excluded from the prospective payment systems for the most recent cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 1997, the unit's number of State-licensed and Medicare-certified beds, including those at the satellite facility, does not exceed the unit's number of State-licensed and Medicare-certified beds on the last day of the unit's last cost reporting period beginning before October 1, 1997.

(ii) The satellite facility independently complies with—

(A) For a rehabilitation unit, the requirements under § 412.23(b)(2); or

(B) For a psychiatric unit, the requirements under § 412.27(a).

(iii) The satellite facility meets all of the following requirements:

(A) It maintains admission and discharge records that are separately identified from those of the hospital in which it is located and are readily available.

(B) It has beds that are physically separate from (that is, not commingled with) the beds of the hospital in which it is located.

(C) It is serviced by the same fiscal intermediary as the hospital unit of which it is a part.

(D) It is treated as a separate cost center of the hospital unit of which it is a part.

(E) For cost reporting and apportionment purposes, it uses an accounting system that properly allocates costs and maintains adequate statistical data to support the basis of allocation.

(F) It reports its costs on the cost report of the hospital of which it is a part, covering the same fiscal period and using the same method of apportionment as the hospital of which it is a part.

(3) Except as specified in paragraph (e)(4) of this section, the provisions of paragraph (e)(2) of this section do not apply to any unit structured as a satellite facility on September 30, 1999, and excluded from the prospective payment systems on that date, to the extent the unit continues operating under the same terms and conditions, including the number of beds and square footage considered to be part of the unit, in effect on September 30, 1999.

(4) In applying the provisions of paragraph (h)(3) of this section, any unit structured as a satellite facility as of September 30, 1999, may increase or decrease the square footage of the satellite facility or may decrease the number of beds in the satellite facility at any time, if these changes are made necessary by relocation of the facility—

(i) To permit construction or renovation necessary for compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local law affecting the physical facility; or

(ii) Because of catastrophic events such as fires, floods, earthquakes, or tornadoes.

§ 412.105 [Amended]

5. Section 412.105 is amended by revising the cross reference ''paragraph (g)(1)(ii) of this section'' in paragraphs (f)(1)(iii) (three times) and (f)(2)(v) to read ''paragraph (f)(1)(ii) of this section''.

§ 412.256 [Amended]

6. In § 412.256, paragraph (c)(2), the date ''October 1'', appearing in two places, is revised to read ''September 1''.

7. Section 412.276 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 412.276 Timing of MGCRB decision and its appeal.

(a) *Timing.* The MGCRB notifies the parties in writing, with a copy to HCFA, and issues a decision within 180 days after the first day of the 13-month

period preceding the Federal fiscal year for which a hospital has filed a complete application. The hospital has 15 days from the date of the decision to request Administrator review.

* * * * *

PART 413—PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES; OPTIONAL PROSPECTIVELY DETERMINED PAYMENT RATES FOR SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

B. Part 413 is amended as follows:
1. The authority citation for Part 413 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1812(d), 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), (i), and (n), 1871, 1881, 1883, and 1886 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395f(b), 1395g, 1395l, 1395l(a), (i), and (n), 1395x(v), 1395hh, 1395rr, 1395tt, and 1395ww).

2. Section 413.40 is amended by adding a sentence containing paragraphs (A) and (B) at the end of the definition of ''ceiling'' in paragraph (a)(3) and revising paragraphs (b)(1)(iii), (c)(4)(v), (f)(2)(ii)(A), and (g)(1) to read as follows:

§ 413.40 Ceiling on the rate-of-increase in hospital inpatient costs.

(a) *Introduction.* * * *
(3) *Definitions.* * * *
Ceiling * * * For a hospital-within-a-hospital, as described in § 412.22(e) of this chapter, the number of Medicare discharges in a cost reporting period does not include discharges of a patient to another hospital in the same building on or on the same campus, if—

(A) The patient is subsequently readmitted to the hospital-within-a-hospital directly from the other hospital; and

(B) The hospital-within-a-hospital has discharged to the other hospital and subsequently readmitted more than 5 percent (that is, in excess of 5.0 percent) of the total number of inpatients discharged from the hospital-within-a-hospital in that cost reporting period.

* * * * *

(b) *Cost reporting periods subject to the rate-of-increase ceiling.* (1) *Base period.* * * *

(iii) When the operational structure of a hospital or unit changes (that is, a freestanding hospital becomes an excluded unit or an excluded unit becomes a freestanding hospital, or an entity of a multicampus hospital becomes a newly created hospital or unit or a hospital or unit becomes a part of a multicampus hospital), the base period for the hospital or unit that

changed its operational structure is the first cost reporting period of at least 12 months effective with the revised Medicare certification classification.

* * * * *

(c) *Cost subject to the ceiling.* * * *

(4) *Target amounts.* * * *

(v) In the case of a hospital that received payments under paragraph (f)(2)(ii) of this section as a newly created hospital or unit, to determine the hospital's target amount for the hospital's third 12-month cost reporting period, the payment amount determined under paragraph (f)(2)(ii) of this section for the preceding cost report period is updated to the third cost reporting period.

* * * * *

(f) *Comparison to the target amount for new hospitals and units.* * * *

(2) *Comparison.* * * *

(ii) *Median target amount.* (A) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1997, the amount of payment for a new psychiatric hospital or unit, a new rehabilitation hospital or unit, or a new long-term care hospital that was not paid as an excluded hospital prior to October 1, 1997, is the lower of the hospital's net inpatient operating cost per case or 110 percent of the national median of the target amounts for the class of excluded hospitals and units (psychiatric, rehabilitation, long-term care) as adjusted for differences in wage levels and updated to the first cost reporting period in which the hospital receives payment. The second cost reporting period is subject to the same target amount as the first cost reporting period.

* * * * *

(g) *Adjustment.* (1) *General rules.* (i) HCFA adjusts the amount of the operating costs considered in establishing the rate-of-increase ceiling for one or more cost reporting periods, including both periods subject to the ceiling and the hospital's base period, under the circumstances specified in paragraphs (g)(2), (g)(3), and (g)(4) of this section.

(ii) When the hospital requests an adjustment, HCFA makes an adjustment only to the extent that the hospital's operating costs are reasonable, attributable to the circumstances specified separately, identified by the hospital, and verified by the intermediary.

(iii) When the hospital requests an adjustment, HCFA makes an adjustment only if the hospital's operating costs exceed the rate-of-increase ceiling imposed under this section.

(iv) In the case of a psychiatric hospital or unit, rehabilitation hospital

or unit, or long-term care hospital, the amount of payment under paragraph (g)(3) of this section may not exceed the payment amount based on the target amount determined under paragraph (c)(4)(iii) of this section.

(v) In the case of a hospital or unit that received a revised FY 1998 target amount under the rebasing provisions of paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section, the amount of an adjustment payment for a cost reporting period is based on a comparison of the hospital's operating costs for the cost reporting period to the average costs and statistics for the cost reporting periods used to determine the FY 1998 rebased target amount.

* * * * *

§ 413.86 [Amended]

3. Section 413.86 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (b), the definition of ''approved geriatric program'' is revised to read as set forth below.

b. In paragraph (b), under paragraph (1) of the definition of ''approved medical residency program'', the reference ''§ 415.200(a) of this chapter'' is revised to read ''§ 415.152 of this chapter''.

c. In paragraph (e)(1)(ii)(C), the reference ''paragraph (j)(2) of this section'' is revised to read ''paragraph (k)(1) of this section''.

d. In paragraph (e)(1)(iv), the reference, ''paragraph (j)(1) of this section'', is revised to read ''paragraph (k)(1) of this section''.

e. A new paragraph (f)(4)(iii) is added, paragraphs (g)(1)(i), (ii), and (iii), (g)(6) introductory text, (g)(6)(i) and (ii), and the first sentence of paragraph (g)(6)(iii) are revised, paragraph (g)(7) is redesignated as paragraph (g)(9), and new paragraphs (g)(7) and (g)(8) are added to read as follows:

§ 413.86 Direct graduate medical education payments.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

Approved geriatric program means a fellowship program of one or more years in length that is approved by one of the national organizations listed in § 415.152 of this chapter under that respective organization's criteria for geriatric fellowship programs.

* * * * *

(f) *Determining the total number of FTE residents.* * * *

(4) * * *

(iii) The hospital must incur all or substantially all of the costs for the training program in the nonhospital setting in accordance with the definition in paragraph (b) of this section.

(g) *Determining the weighted number of FTE residents.* * * *

(1) * * *

(i) For residency programs other than those specified in paragraphs (g)(1)(ii) and (g)(1)(iii) of this section, the initial residency period is the minimum number of years of formal training necessary to satisfy the requirements for initial board eligibility in the particular specialty for which the resident is training, as specified in the most recently published edition of the Graduate Medical Education Directory.

(ii) For residency programs in osteopathy, dentistry, and podiatry, the minimum requirement for certification in a specialty or subspecialty is the minimum number of years of formal training necessary to satisfy the requirements of the appropriate approving body listed in § 415.152 of this chapter.

(iii) For residency programs in geriatric medicine, accredited by the appropriate approving body listed in 415.152 of this chapter, these programs are considered approved programs on the later of—

(A) The starting date of the program within a hospital; or

(B) The hospital's cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 1985.

* * * * *

(6) If a hospital establishes a new medical residency training program as defined in paragraph (g)(9) of this section on or after January 1, 1995, the hospital's FTE cap described under paragraph (g)(4) of this section may be adjusted as follows:

(i) If a hospital had no allopathic or osteopathic residents in its most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996, and it establishes a new medical residency training program on or after January 1, 1995, the hospital's unweighted FTE resident cap under paragraph (g)(4) of this section may be adjusted based on the product of the highest number of residents in any program year during the third year of the first program's existence for all new residency training programs and the number of years in which residents are expected to complete the program based on the minimum accredited length for the type of program. The adjustment to the cap may not exceed the number of accredited slots available to the hospital for the new program.

(A) If the residents are spending an entire program year (or years) at one hospital and the remainder of the program at another hospital, the adjustment to each respective hospital's

cap is equal to the product of the highest number of residents in any program year during the third year of the first program's existence and the number of years the residents are training at each respective hospital.

(B) Prior to the implementation of the hospital's adjustment to its FTE cap beginning with the fourth year of the hospital's residency program(s), the hospital's cap may be adjusted during each of the first 3 years of the hospital's new residency program using the actual number of residents participating in the new program. The adjustment may not exceed the number of accredited slots available to the hospital for each program year.

(C) Except for rural hospitals, the cap will not be adjusted for new programs established more than 3 years after the first program begins training residents.

(D) An urban hospital that qualifies for an adjustment to its FTE cap under paragraph (g)(6)(i) of this section is not permitted to be part of an affiliated group for purposes of establishing an aggregate FTE cap.

(E) A rural hospital that qualifies for an adjustment to its FTE cap under paragraph (g)(6)(i) of this section is permitted to be part of an affiliated group for purposes of establishing an aggregate FTE cap.

(ii) If a hospital had allopathic or osteopathic residents in its most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996, the hospital's unweighted FTE cap may be adjusted for new medical residency training programs established on or after January 1, 1995 and on or before August 5, 1997. The adjustment to the hospital's FTE resident limit for the new program is based on the product of the highest number of residents in any program year during the third year of the newly established program and the number of years in which residents are expected to complete each program based on the minimum accredited length for the type of program.

(A) If the residents are spending an entire program year (or years) at one hospital and the remainder of the program at another hospital, the adjustment to each respective hospital's cap is equal to the product of the highest number of residents in any program year during the third year of the first program's existence and the number of years the residents are training at each respective hospital.

(B) Prior to the implementation of the hospital's adjustment to its FTE cap beginning with the fourth year of the hospital's residency program, the hospital's cap may be adjusted during each of the first 3 years of the hospital's

new residency program, using the actual number of residents in the new programs. The adjustment may not exceed the number of accredited slots available to the hospital for each program year.

(iii) If a hospital with allopathic or osteopathic residents in its most recent cost reporting period ending on or before December 31, 1996, is located in a rural area (or other hospitals located in rural areas that added residents under paragraph (g)(6)(i) of this section), the hospital's unweighted FTE limit may be adjusted in the same manner described in paragraph (g)(6)(ii) of this section to reflect the increase for residents in the new medical residency training programs established after August 5, 1997. * * *

(7) A hospital that began construction of its facility prior to August 5, 1997, and sponsored new medical residency training programs on or after January 1, 1995 and on or before August 5, 1997, that either received initial accreditation by the appropriate accrediting body or temporarily trained residents at another hospital(s) until the facility was completed, may receive an adjustment to its FTE cap.

(i) The newly constructed hospital's FTE cap is equal to the lesser of:

(A) The product of the highest number of residents in any program year during the third year of the newly established program and the number of years in which residents are expected to complete the programs based on the minimum accredited length for each type of program; or

(B) The number of accredited slots available to the hospital for each year of the programs.

(ii) If the new medical residency training programs sponsored by the newly constructed hospital have been in existence for 3 years or more by the time the residents begin training at the newly constructed hospital, the newly constructed hospital's cap will be based on the number of residents training in the third year of the programs begun at the temporary training site.

(iii) If the new medical residency training programs sponsored by the newly constructed hospital have been in existence for less than 3 years by the time the residents begin training at the newly constructed hospital, the newly constructed hospital's cap will be based on the number of residents training at the newly constructed hospital in the third year of the programs (including the years at the temporary training site).

(iv) A hospital that qualifies for an adjustment to its FTE cap under paragraph (g)(7) of this section may be

part of an affiliated group for purposes of establishing an aggregate FTE cap.

(v) The provisions of this paragraph (g)(7) are applicable during portions of cost reporting periods occurring on or after October 1, 1999.

(8) A hospital may receive a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap to reflect residents added because of another hospital's closure if the hospital meets the following criteria:

(i) The hospital is training additional residents from a hospital that closed on or after July 1, 1996.

(ii) No later than 60 days after the hospital begins to train the residents, the hospital submits a request to its fiscal intermediary for a temporary adjustment to its FTE cap, documents that the hospital is eligible for this temporary adjustment by identifying the residents who have come from the closed hospital and have caused the hospital to exceed its cap, and specifies the length of time the adjustment is needed.

(iii) For purposes of paragraph (g)(8) of this section, ''closure'' means the hospital terminates its Medicare agreement under the provisions of § 489.52 of this chapter.

* * * * *

PART 483—REQUIREMENTS FOR STATES AND LONG-TERM CARE FACILITIES

C. Part 483 is amended as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for Part 483 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. In § 483.20, the introductory text of paragraph (b)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 483.20 Resident assessment.

* * * * *

(b) *Comprehensive assessments.*
* * *

(2) *When required.* Subject to the timeframes prescribed in § 413.343(b) of this chapter, a facility must conduct a comprehensive assessment of a resident in accordance with the timeframes specified in paragraphs (b)(2) (i) through (iii) of this section. The timeframes prescribed in § 413.343(b) of this chapter do not apply to CAHs.

* * * * *

PART 485—CONDITIONS OF PARTICIPATION: SPECIALIZED PROVIDERS

D. Part 485 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 485 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

2. Section 485.618 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 485.618 Conditions of participation: Emergency services.

* * * * *

(d) *Standard: Personnel.* There must be a doctor of medicine or osteopathy, a physician assistant, or a nurse practitioner with training or experience in emergency care on call and immediately available by telephone or radio contact, and available on site within the following timeframes:

(1) Within 30 minutes, on a 24-hour a day basis, if the CAH is located in an area other than an area described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(2) Within 60 minutes, on a 24-hour a day basis, if all of the following requirements are met:

(i) The CAH is located in an area designated as a frontier area (that is, an area with fewer than six residents per square mile based on the latest population data published by the Bureau of the Census) or in an area that meets criteria for a remote location adopted by the State in its rural health care plan, and approved by HCFA, under section 1820(b) of the Act.

(ii) The State has determined under criteria in its rural health care plan that allowing an emergency response time longer than 30 minutes is the only feasible method of providing emergency care to residents of the area served by the CAH.

(iii) The State maintains documentation showing that the response time of up to 60 minutes at a particular CAH it designates is justified because other available alternatives would increase the time needed to stabilize a patient in an emergency.

* * * * *

3. In § 485.645, the introductory text of paragraph (d) is republished and paragraph (d)(6) is revised to read as follows:

§ 485.645 Special requirements for CAH providers of long-term care services ("swing beds").

* * * * *

(d) *SNF services.* The CAH is substantially in compliance with the following SNF requirements contained in subpart B of part 483 of this chapter:

* * * * *

(6) Comprehensive assessment, comprehensive care plan, and discharge planning (§ 483.20 (b), (d), and (e) of this chapter, except that the CAH is not required to comply with the

requirements for frequency, scope and number of assessments prescribed in § 413.343(b)).

* * * * *

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance)

Dated: July 21, 1999.

Michael M. Hash,

Deputy Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

Dated: July 22, 1999.

Donna E. Shalala,

Secretary.

Editorial Note: The following addendum and appendixes will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

Addendum—Schedule of Standardized Amounts Effective with Discharges Occurring On or After October 1, 1999; Payment Amounts for Blood Clotting Factor Effective for Discharges Occurring On or After October 1, 1999; and Update Factors and Rate-of-Increase Percentages Effective With Cost Reporting Periods Beginning On or After October 1, 1999

I. Summary and Background

In this addendum, we are setting forth the amounts and factors for determining prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient operating costs and Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. We are also setting forth rate-of-increase percentages for updating the target amounts for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system.

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1999, except for sole community hospitals, Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals, and hospitals located in Puerto Rico, each hospital's payment per discharge under the prospective payment system will be based on 100 percent of the Federal national rate.

Sole community hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal national rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, or the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge. Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals are paid based on the Federal national rate or, if higher, the Federal national rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal national rate and the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 or FY 1987 cost per discharge, whichever is higher. For hospitals in Puerto Rico, the payment per discharge is based on the sum of 50 percent of a Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of a national rate.

As discussed below in section II, we are making changes in the determination of the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient operating costs for FY 2000. The changes, to be applied prospectively, affect the calculation of the Federal rates. In section III of this addendum, we are updating the payments per unit for blood clotting factor provided to hospital inpatients who have hemophilia. We are also adding another product (clotting factor, porcine (HCPCS code J7191)) to the list of clotting factors that are paid under this benefit.

In section IV of this addendum, we discuss our changes for determining the prospective payment rates for Medicare inpatient capital-related costs for FY 2000. Section V of this addendum sets forth our changes for determining the rate-of-increase limits for hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system for FY 2000. The tables to which we refer in the preamble to this final rule are presented at the end of this addendum in section VI.

II. Changes to Prospective Payment Rates For Inpatient Operating Costs for FY 2000

The basic methodology for determining prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs is set forth at § 412.63 for hospitals located outside of Puerto Rico. The basic methodology for determining the prospective payment rates for inpatient operating costs for hospitals located in Puerto Rico is set forth at §§ 412.210 and 412.212. Below, we discuss the factors used for determining the prospective payment rates. The Federal and Puerto Rico rate changes, once issued as final, will be effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1999. As required by section 1886(d)(4)(C) of the Act, we must also adjust the DRG classifications and weighting factors for discharges in FY 2000.

In summary, the standardized amounts set forth in Tables 1A and 1C of section VI of this addendum reflect—

• Updates of 1.1 percent for all areas (that is, the market basket percentage increase of 2.9 percent minus 1.8 percentage points);

• An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for in sections 1886 (d)(4)(C)(iii) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act by applying new budget neutrality adjustment factors to the large urban and other standardized amounts;

• An adjustment to ensure budget neutrality as provided for in section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act by removing the FY 1999 budget neutrality factor and applying a revised factor;

• An adjustment to apply the revised outlier offset by removing the FY 1999 outlier offsets and applying a new offset; and

• An adjustment in the Puerto Rico standardized amounts to reflect the application of a Puerto Rico-specific wage index.

A. Calculation of Adjusted Standardized Amounts

1. Standardization of Base-Year Costs or Target Amounts

Section 1886(d)(2)(A) of the Act required the establishment of base-year cost data containing allowable operating costs per discharge of inpatient hospital services for each hospital. The preamble to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39763) contains a detailed explanation of how base-year cost data were established in the initial development of standardized amounts for the prospective payment system and how they are used in computing the Federal rates.

Section 1886(d)(9)(B)(i) of the Act required us to determine the Medicare target amounts for each hospital located in Puerto Rico for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 1987. The September 1, 1987 final rule contains a detailed explanation of how the target amounts were determined and how they are used in computing the Puerto Rico rates (52 FR 33043, 33066).

The standardized amounts are based on per discharge averages of adjusted hospital costs from a base period or, for Puerto Rico, adjusted target amounts from a base period, updated and otherwise adjusted in accordance with the provisions of section 1886(d) of the Act. Sections 1886(d)(2) (B) and (C) of the Act required us to update base-year per discharge costs for FY 1984 and then standardize the cost data in order to remove the effects of certain sources of variation in cost among hospitals. These effects include case mix, differences in area wage levels, cost-of-living adjustments for Alaska and Hawaii, indirect medical education costs, and payments to hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients.

Under sections 1886 (d)(2)(H) and (d)(3)(E) of the Act, in making payments under the prospective payment system, the Secretary estimates from time to time the proportion of costs that are wages and wage-related costs. Since October 1, 1997, when the market basket was last revised, we have considered 71.1 percent of costs to be labor-related for purposes of the prospective payment system. The average labor share in Puerto Rico is 71.3 percent. We are

revising the discharge-weighted national standardized amount for Puerto Rico to reflect the proportion of discharges in large urban and other areas from the FY 1998 MedPAR file.

2. Computing Large Urban and Other Area Averages

Sections 1886(d) (2)(D) and (3) of the Act require the Secretary to compute two average standardized amounts for discharges occurring in a fiscal year: one for hospitals located in large urban areas and one for hospitals located in other areas. In addition, under sections 1886(d)(9) (B)(iii) and (C)(i) of the Act, the average standardized amount per discharge must be determined for hospitals located in urban and other areas in Puerto Rico. Hospitals in Puerto Rico are paid a blend of 50 percent of the applicable Puerto Rico standardized amount and 50 percent of a national standardized payment amount.

Section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act defines ''urban area'' as those areas within a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). A ''large urban area'' is defined as an urban area with a population of more than 1,000,000. In addition, section 4009(i) of Public Law 100–203 provides that a New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA) with a population of more than 970,000 is classified as a large urban area. As required by section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act, population size is determined by the Secretary based on the latest population data published by the Bureau of the Census. Urban areas that do not meet the definition of a ''large urban area'' are referred to as ''other urban areas.'' Areas that are not included in MSAs are considered ''rural areas'' under section 1886(d)(2)(D) of the Act. Payment for discharges from hospitals located in large urban areas will be based on the large urban standardized amount. Payment for discharges from hospitals located in other urban and rural areas will be based on the other standardized amount.

Based on 1997 population estimates published by the Bureau of the Census, 61 areas meet the criteria to be defined as large urban areas for FY 2000. These areas are identified by a footnote in Table 4A. We note that on July 6, 1999, the Office of Management and Budget announced the designation of the Corvallis, Oregon and the Auburn-Opelika, Alabama MSAs. We have incorporated these changes in this final rule.

3. Updating the Average Standardized Amounts

Under section 1886(d)(3)(A) of the Act, we update the area average standardized amounts each year. In accordance with section 1886(d)(3)(A)(iv) of the Act, we are updating the large urban areas' and the other areas' average standardized amounts for FY 2000 using the applicable percentage increases specified in section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i) of the Act. Section 1886(b)(3)(B)(i)(XV) of the Act specifies that, for hospitals in all areas, the update factor for the standardized amounts for FY 2000 is equal to the market basket percentage increase minus 1.8 percentage points.

The percentage change in the market basket reflects the average change in the price of goods and services purchased by hospitals to furnish inpatient care. The most recent forecast of the hospital market basket increase for FY 2000 is 2.9 percent. Thus, for FY 2000, the update to the average standardized amounts equals 1.1 percent.

As in the past, we are adjusting the FY 1999 standardized amounts to remove the effects of the FY 1999 geographic reclassifications and outlier payments before applying the FY 2000 updates. That is, we are increasing the standardized amounts to restore the reductions that were made for the effects of geographic reclassification and outliers. We then apply the new offsets to the standardized amounts for outliers and geographic reclassifications for FY 2000.

Although the update factor for FY 2000 is set by law, we are required by section 1886(e)(3) of the Act to report to the Congress on our final recommendation of update factors for FY 2000 for both prospective payment hospitals and hospitals excluded from the prospective payment system. We have included our final recommendations in Appendix C to this final rule.

4. Other Adjustments to the Average Standardized Amounts

a. Recalibration of DRG Weights and Updated Wage Index—Budget Neutrality Adjustment.

Section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act specifies that beginning in FY 1991, the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration of the relative weights must be made in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are not affected. As discussed in section II of the preamble, we normalized the recalibrated DRG weights by an adjustment factor, so that the average case weight after recalibration is equal

to the average case weight prior to recalibration.

Section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act requires us to update the hospital wage index on an annual basis beginning October 1, 1993. This provision also requires us to make any updates or adjustments to the wage index in a manner that ensures that aggregate payments to hospitals are not affected by the change in the wage index.

To comply with the requirement of section 1886(d)(4)(C)(iii) of the Act that DRG reclassification and recalibration of the relative weights be budget neutral, and the requirement in section 1886(d)(3)(E) of the Act that the updated wage index be budget neutral, we used historical discharge data to simulate payments and compared aggregate payments using the FY 1999 relative weights and wage index to aggregate payments using the FY 2000 relative weights and wage index. The same methodology was used for the FY 1999 budget neutrality adjustment. (See the discussion in the September 1, 1992 final rule (57 FR 39832).) Based on this comparison, we computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor equal to 0.997808. We also adjust the Puerto Rico-specific standardized amounts for the effect of DRG reclassification and recalibration. We computed a budget neutrality adjustment factor for Puerto Rico-specific standardized amounts equal to 0.999745. These budget neutrality adjustment factors are applied to the standardized amounts without removing the effects of the FY 1999 budget neutrality adjustments. We do not remove the prior budget neutrality adjustment because estimated aggregate payments after the changes in the DRG relative weights and wage index should equal estimated aggregate payments prior to the changes. If we removed the prior year adjustment, we would not satisfy this condition.

In addition, we will continue to apply these same adjustment factors to the hospital-specific rates that are effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999. (See the discussion in the September 4, 1990 final rule (55 FR 36073).)

b. Reclassified Hospitals—Budget Neutrality Adjustment.

Section 1886(d)(8)(B) of the Act provides that certain rural hospitals are deemed urban effective with discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1988. In addition, section 1886(d)(10) of the Act provides for the reclassification of hospitals based on determinations by the Medicare Geographic Classification Review Board (MGCRB). Under section 1886(d)(10) of the Act, a hospital may be reclassified for purposes of the

standardized amount or the wage index, or both.

Under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act, the Secretary is required to adjust the standardized amounts so as to ensure that total aggregate payments under the prospective payment system after implementation of the provisions of sections 1886(d)(8)(B) and (C) and 1886(d)(10) of the Act are equal to the aggregate prospective payments that would have been made absent these provisions. To calculate this budget neutrality factor, we used historical discharge data to simulate payments, and compared total prospective payments (including IME and DSH payments) prior to any reclassifications to total prospective payments after reclassifications. In the May 7, 1999 proposed rule, we applied an adjustment factor of 0.994453 to ensure that the effects of reclassification are budget neutral. The final budget neutrality adjustment factor is 0.993799.

The adjustment factor is applied to the standardized amounts after removing the effects of the FY 1999 budget neutrality adjustment factor. We note that the proposed FY 2000 adjustment reflects wage index and standardized amount reclassifications approved by the MGCRB or the Administrator as of February 26, 1999. The effects of any additional reclassification changes resulting from appeals and reviews of the MGCRB decisions for FY 2000 or from a hospital's request for the withdrawal of a reclassification request are reflected in the final budget neutrality adjustment required under section 1886(d)(8)(D) of the Act and published in this final rule.

c. Outliers.

Section 1886(d)(5)(A) of the Act provides for payments in addition to the basic prospective payments for "outlier" cases, cases involving extraordinarily high costs (cost outliers). Section 1886(d)(3)(B) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust both the large urban and other area national standardized amounts by the same factor to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made to outlier cases. Similarly, section 1886(d)(9)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Secretary to adjust the large urban and other standardized amounts applicable to hospitals in Puerto Rico to account for the estimated proportion of total DRG payments made to outlier cases. Furthermore, under section 1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, outlier payments for any year must be projected to be not less than 5 percent nor more than 6 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates.

i. FY 2000 outlier thresholds. For FY 1999, the fixed loss cost outlier threshold is equal to the prospective payment for the DRG plus $11,100 ($10,129 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital-related costs). The marginal cost factor for cost outliers (the percent of costs paid after costs for the case exceed the threshold) is 80 percent. We applied an outlier adjustment to the FY 1999 standardized amounts of 0.948740 for the large urban and other areas rates and 0.9392 for the capital Federal rate.

For FY 2000, we proposed to establish a fixed loss cost outlier threshold equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payments plus $14,575 ($13,309 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital related costs). In addition, we proposed to maintain the marginal cost factor for cost outliers at 80 percent. In setting the final FY 2000 outlier thresholds, we used updated data. In this final rule, we are establishing a fixed loss cost outlier threshold for FY 2000 equal to the prospective payment rate for the DRG plus the IME and DSH payments plus $14,050 ($12,827 for hospitals that have not yet entered the prospective payment system for capital related costs). In addition, we are maintaining the marginal cost factor for cost outliers at 80 percent. As we have explained in the past, to calculate outlier thresholds we apply a cost inflation factor to update costs for the cases used to simulate payments. For FY 1998, we used a cost inflation factor of minus 2.005 percent (a cost per case decrease of 2.005 percent). For FY 1999, we used a cost inflation factor of minus 1.724 percent. To set the proposed FY 2000 outlier thresholds, we used a cost inflation factor (or cost adjustment factor) of zero percent. We are using a cost inflation factor of zero percent to set the final FY 2000 outlier thresholds. This factor reflects our analysis of the best available cost report data as well as calculations (using the best available data) indicating that the percentage of actual outlier payments for FY 1998 is higher than we projected before the beginning of FY 1998, and that the percentage of actual outlier payments for FY 1999 will likely be higher than we projected before the beginning of FY 1999. The calculations of "actual" outlier payments are discussed further below.

ii. Other changes concerning outliers. In accordance with section 1886(d)(5)(A)(iv) of the Act, we calculated outlier thresholds so that outlier payments are projected to equal 5.1 percent of total payments based on DRG prospective payment rates. In

accordance with section 1886(d)(3(E), we reduced the FY 2000 standardized amounts by the same percentage to account for the projected proportion of payments paid to outliers.

As stated in the September 1, 1993 final rule (58 FR 46348), we establish outlier thresholds that are applicable to both inpatient operating costs and inpatient capital-related costs. When we modeled the combined operating and capital outlier payments, we found that using a common set of thresholds resulted in a higher percentage of outlier payments for capital-related costs than for operating costs. We project that the thresholds for FY 2000 will result in outlier payments equal to 5.1 percent of operating DRG payments and 6.0 percent of capital payments based on the Federal rate.

The proposed outlier adjustment factors applied to the standardized amounts for FY 2000 were as follows:

	Operating standard-ized amounts	Capital federal rate
National	0.948934	0.9397
Puerto Rico	0.969184	0.9334

The final outlier adjustment factors applied to the standardized amounts for FY 2000 are as follows:

	Operating standard-ized amounts	Capital federal rate
National	0.948859	0.9402
Puerto Rico	0.968581	0.9331

As in the proposed rule, we apply the outlier adjustment factors after removing the effects of the FY 1999 outlier adjustment factors on the standardized amounts.

Table 8A in section VI of this addendum contains the updated Statewide average operating cost-to-charge ratios for urban hospitals and for rural hospitals to be used in calculating cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the fiscal intermediary is unable to compute a reasonable hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratio. Effective October 1, 1999, these Statewide average ratios replace the ratios published in the July 31, 1998 final rule (63 FR 41099). Table 8B contains comparable Statewide average capital cost-to-charge ratios. These average ratios would be used to calculate cost outlier payments for those hospitals for which the fiscal intermediary computes operating cost-to-charge ratios lower than 0.209551 OR greater than 1.284349 and capital cost-

to-charge ratios lower than 0.01290 or greater than 0.17205. This range represents 3.0 standard deviations (plus or minus) from the mean of the log distribution of cost-to-charge ratios for all hospitals. We note that the cost-to-charge ratios in Tables 8A and 8B will be used during FY 2000 when hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratios based on the latest settled cost report are either not available or outside the three standard deviations range.

iii. FY 1998 and FY 1999 outlier payments. In the July 31, 1998 final rule (63 FR 41009), we stated that, based on available data, we estimated that actual FY 1998 outlier payments would be approximately 5.4 percent of actual total DRG payments. This was computed by simulating payments using actual FY 1997 bill data available at the time. That is, the estimate of actual outlier payments did not reflect FY 1998 bills but instead reflected the application of FY 1998 rates and policies to available FY 1997 bills. Our current estimate, using available FY 1998 bills, is that actual outlier payments for FY 1998 were approximately 6.5 percent of actual total DRG payments. We note that the MedPAR file for FY 1998 discharges continues to be updated. Thus, the data indicate that, for FY 1998, the percentage of actual outlier payments relative to actual total payments is higher than we projected before FY 1998 (and thus exceeds the percentage by which we reduced the standardized amounts for FY 1998). In fact, the data indicate that the proportion of actual outlier payments for FY 1998 exceeds 6 percent. Nevertheless, consistent with the policy and statutory interpretation we have maintained since the inception of the prospective payment system, we do not plan to recoup money and make retroactive adjustments to outlier payments for FY 1998.

We currently estimate that actual outlier payments for FY 1999 will be approximately 6.3 percent of actual total DRG payments, higher than the 5.1 percent we projected in setting outlier policies for FY 1999. This estimate is based on simulations using the March 1999 update of the provider-specific file and the March 1999 update of the FY 1998 MedPAR file (discharge data for FY 1998 bills). We used these data to calculate an estimate of the actual outlier percentage for FY 1999 by applying FY 1999 rates and policies to available FY 1998 bills.

Comment: Several commenters indicated that the proposed 30-percent increase in the cost outlier threshold is too great and implementing that threshold will cause significant revenue losses for hospitals with large numbers

of high-cost cases. They observed that the proposed increase in the fixed loss threshold may be reasonable to reach the 5.1 percent level of outlier payments, but suggested an increase in funding for outlier cases from the current level of 5.1 percent to 5.5 percent, or even 6.0 percent, with a corresponding reduction in the fixed loss threshold.

Response: Outlier payments are meant to protect hospitals against the financial effects of treating extraordinarily high-cost cases. Increasing the level of outlier payments to 5.5 percent would result in a corresponding offset to the standardized amounts, proportionally reducing payments for typical cases. We believe that it is in the best interest of hospitals and the program to maintain the level of outliers at 5.1 percent, thereby providing all hospitals with somewhat larger rates for typical cases.

We also note that we estimate that actual outlier payments for FY 1998 were equal to 6.5 percent of actual total DRG payments, and 6.3 percent for FY 1999. We believe that outlier payments are greater than expected for these years in part because actual hospital costs may be higher than reflected in the methodology used to set outlier thresholds for those years. While we are attempting to improve our estimate of payments for FY 2000 by using a cost inflation factor of zero percent rather than a negative inflation factor, we believe it would be imprudent to raise the estimated level of outlier payments at a time when actual outlier payments have exceeded our estimates by more than one percentage point for the past 2 years.

Comment: One commenter expressed concern that, in the proposed rule, we referenced our longstanding policy regarding overpayments and underpayments and retroactive adjustments to outlier payments. The commenter stated that this reference appears to be necessitated by a large number of hospital appeals and questioned whether we intend to provide a clarification instead of what appears to be a new interpretation.

Response: As we stated in the proposed rule, our statement that ''we do not plan to recoup money and make retroactive adjustments to outlier payments for FY 1998,'' because the actual outlier payments exceed 6 percent of total payments, is consistent with the policy and statutory interpretation we have maintained since the inception of the prospective payment system. We have publicly stated our policy on several occasions. For example, in the January 3, 1984 final rule (49 FR 234, 265), we stated:

''Using data we had available, we set the outlier criteria so that an estimated 6 percent of total payments would be made for outliers. Nevertheless, there is no necessary connection between the amount of estimated outlier payments and the actual payments made to hospitals for cases that actually meet the outlier criteria. While we expect that under these criteria, outlier payments will approximate 6 percent of total payments, we will pay for any outlier that meets the criteria, even if aggregate outlier payments result in more than 6 percent of total payments.'' Also, in the September 1, 1992 final rule (57 FR 39784), we stated that ''* * * in light of the nature of the prospective payment system, and our attempts to estimate outlier payments as accurately as possible, we believe that we have satisfied the statute and that no retroactive adjustment is warranted.'' In the same rule, we also stated that ''* * * retroactive adjustment of system wide elements would be contrary to the nature of the prospective payment system.'' Therefore, our comment in the proposed rule concerning the overpayment or underpayment of outliers was a restatement of our longstanding policy.

5. FY 2000 Standardized Amounts

The adjusted standardized amounts are divided into labor and nonlabor portions. Table 1A contains the two national standardized amounts that are applicable to all hospitals, except for hospitals in Puerto Rico. Under section 1886(d)(9)(A)(ii) of the Act, the Federal portion of the Puerto Rico payment rate is based on the discharge-weighted average of the national large urban standardized amount and the national other standardized amount (as set forth in Table 1A). The labor and nonlabor portions of the national average standardized amounts for Puerto Rico hospitals are set forth in Table 1C. This table also includes the Puerto Rico standardized amounts.

B. Adjustments for Area Wage Levels and Cost of Living

Tables 1A and 1C, as set forth in this addendum, contain the labor-related and nonlabor-related shares used to calculate the prospective payment rates for hospitals located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. This section addresses two types of adjustments to the standardized amounts that are made in determining the prospective payment rates as described in this addendum.

1. Adjustment for Area Wage Levels

Sections 1886(d)(3)(E) and 1886(d)(9)(C)(iv) of the Act requires that we make an adjustment to the labor-related portion of the prospective payment rates to account for area differences in hospital wage levels. This adjustment is made by multiplying the labor-related portion of the adjusted standardized amounts by the appropriate wage index for the area in which the hospital is located. In section III of this preamble, we discuss the data and methodology for the FY 2000 wage index. The wage index is set forth in Tables 4A through 4F of this addendum.

2. Adjustment for Cost of Living in Alaska and Hawaii

Section 1886(d)(5)(H) of the Act authorizes an adjustment to take into account the unique circumstances of hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii. Higher labor-related costs for these two States are taken into account in the adjustment for area wages described above. For FY 2000, we are adjusting the payments for hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii by multiplying the nonlabor portion of the standardized amounts by the appropriate adjustment factor contained in the table below.

TABLE OF COST-OF-LIVING ADJUST-MENT FACTORS, ALASKA AND HAWAII HOSPITALS

Alaska—All areas	1.25
Hawaii:	
County of Honolulu	1.25
County of Hawaii	1.15
County of Kauai	1.225
County of Maui	1.225
County of Kalawao	1.225

(The above factors are based on data obtained from the U.S. Office of Personnel Management.)

C. DRG Relative Weights

As discussed in section II of the preamble, we have developed a classification system for all hospital discharges, assigning them into DRGs, and have developed relative weights for each DRG that reflect the resource utilization of cases in each DRG relative to Medicare cases in other DRGs. Table 5 of section VI of this addendum contains the relative weights that we will use for discharges occurring in FY 2000. These factors have been recalibrated as explained in section II of the preamble.

D. Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 2000

General Formula for Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for FY 2000

Prospective payment rate for all hospitals located outside of Puerto Rico except sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals = Federal rate.

Prospective payment rate for sole community hospitals = Whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: 100 percent of the Federal rate, 100 percent of the updated FY 1982 hospital-specific rate, or 100 percent of the updated FY 1987 hospital-specific rate.

Prospective payment rate for Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals = 100 percent of the Federal rate, or, if the greater of the updated FY 1982 hospital-specific rate or the updated FY 1987 hospital-specific rate is higher than the Federal rate, 100 percent of the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the applicable hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate.

Prospective payment rate for Puerto Rico = 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate + 50 percent of a discharge-weighted average of the national large urban standardized amount and the national other standardized amount.

1. Federal Rate

For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1999 and before October 1, 2000, except for sole community hospitals, Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals, and hospitals in Puerto Rico, the hospital's payment is based exclusively on the Federal national rate.

The payment amount is determined as follows:

Step 1—Select the appropriate national standardized amount considering the type of hospital and designation of the hospital as large urban or other (see Table 1A in section VI of this addendum).

Step 2—Multiply the labor-related portion of the standardized amount by the applicable wage index for the geographic area in which the hospital is located (see Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C of section VI of this addendum).

Step 3—For hospitals in Alaska and Hawaii, multiply the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount by the appropriate cost-of-living adjustment factor.

Step 4—Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount (adjusted, if appropriate, under Step 3).

Step 5—Multiply the final amount from Step 4 by the relative weight

corresponding to the appropriate DRG (see Table 5 of section VI of this addendum).

2. Hospital-Specific Rate (Applicable Only to Sole Community Hospitals and Medicare-Dependent, Small Rural Hospitals)

Sections 1886(d)(5)(D)(i) and (b)(3)(C) of the Act provide that sole community hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate, the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 cost per discharge, or the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1987 cost per discharge.

Sections 1886(d)(5)(G) and (b)(3)(D) of the Act provide that Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals are paid based on whichever of the following rates yields the greatest aggregate payment: the Federal rate or the Federal rate plus 50 percent of the difference between the Federal rate and the greater of the updated hospital-specific rate based on FY 1982 and FY 1987 cost per discharge.

Hospital-specific rates have been determined for each of these hospitals based on both the FY 1982 cost per discharge and the FY 1987 cost per discharge. For a more detailed discussion of the calculation of the FY 1982 hospital-specific rate and the FY 1987 hospital-specific rate, we refer the reader to the September 1, 1983 interim final rule (48 FR 39772); the April 20, 1990 final rule with comment (55 FR 15150); and the September 4, 1990 final rule (55 FR 35994).

a. Updating the FY 1982 and FY 1987 Hospital-Specific Rates for FY 2000.

We are increasing the hospital-specific rates by 1.1 percent (the hospital market basket percentage increase of 2.9 percent minus 1.8 percentage points) for sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals located in all areas for FY 2000. Section 1886(b)(3)(C)(iv) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for sole community hospitals equals the update factor provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for FY 2000, is the market basket rate of increase minus 1.8 percentage points. Section 1886(b)(3)(D) of the Act provides that the update factor applicable to the hospital-specific rates for Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals equals the update factor provided under section 1886(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Act, which, for FY 2000, is the market basket rate of increase minus 1.8 percentage points.

b. Calculation of Hospital-Specific Rate.

For sole community hospitals and Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals, the applicable FY 2000 hospital-specific rate is calculated by increasing the hospital's hospital-specific rate for the preceding fiscal year by the applicable update factor (1.1 percent), which is the same as the update for all prospective payment hospitals. In addition, the hospital-specific rate is adjusted by the budget neutrality adjustment factor (that is, 0.997808) as discussed in section II.A.4.a of this Addendum. The resulting rate is used in determining under which rate a sole community hospital or Medicare-dependent, small rural hospital is paid for its discharges beginning on or after October 1, 1999, based on the formula set forth above.

3. General Formula for Calculation of Prospective Payment Rates for Hospitals Located in Puerto Rico Beginning On or After October 1, 1999 and Before October 1, 2000

a. Puerto Rico Rate. The Puerto Rico prospective payment rate is determined as follows:

Step 1—Select the appropriate adjusted average standardized amount considering the large urban or other designation of the hospital (see Table 1C of section VI of the addendum).

Step 2—Multiply the labor-related portion of the standardized amount by the appropriate Puerto Rico-specific wage index (see Table 4F of section VI of the addendum).

Step 3—Add the amount from Step 2 and the nonlabor-related portion of the standardized amount.

Step 4—Multiply the result in Step 3 by 50 percent.

Step 5—Multiply the amount from Step 4 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section VI of the addendum).

b. National Rate. The national prospective payment rate is determined as follows:

Step 1—Multiply the labor-related portion of the national average standardized amount (see Table 1C of section VI of the addendum) by the appropriate national wage index (see Tables 4A and 4B of section VI of the addendum).

Step 2—Add the amount from Step 1 and the nonlabor-related portion of the national average standardized amount.

Step 3—Multiply the result in Step 2 by 50 percent.

Step 4—Multiply the amount from Step 3 by the appropriate DRG relative weight (see Table 5 of section VI of the addendum).

The sum of the Puerto Rico rate and the national rate computed above equals the prospective payment for a given discharge for a hospital located in Puerto Rico.

Comment: One commenter asked if the temporary relief payment provision of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) would continue into FY 2000. The commenter suggested that, in light of reports that implementation of the hospital-related provisions of the BBA provided larger than expected savings, we consider extending the provision into next year and increasing the amount of relief.

Response: Under section 4401(b) of the BBA, the temporary special payment for certain hospitals that did not receive IME or DSH payments and that did not qualify as Medicare-dependent, small rural hospitals is limited to FY 1998 and FY 1999. The statute does not provide for the special payment in later fiscal years. We believe that the temporary special payment provided under section 4401(b) of the BBA was meant to partially protect qualifying hospitals from the initial effects of the reduced updates to hospital payment rates enacted by the BBA. We believe that two years of relief payments is adequate to allow hospitals to adjust to the reduced payment updates under the BBA.

III. Changes to the Payment Rates for Blood Clotting Factor for Hemophilia Inpatients

As discussed in our May 7, 1999 proposed rule (64 FR 24756), section 4452 of the BBA amended section 6011(d) of Public Law 101–239 to reinstate the add-on payment for the costs of administering blood clotting factor to Medicare beneficiaries who have hemophilia and who are hospital inpatients for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997. The add-on payment amount for each clotting factor, as described in HCFA's Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS), is based on the median average wholesale price (AWP) of the several products available in that category of factor, discounted by 15 percent.

Also, we are adding HCPCS code J7191 (clotting factor, porcine) to the list of clotting factors that will be paid under this benefit. This code was recently reestablished in the HCPCS coding system because it represents a unique product that is different from the other clotting factors listed.

Based on the methodology described above, the prices per unit of factor for FY 2000 are as follows:

J7190 Factor VIII (antihemophilic factor, human) 0.79

J7191 Factor VIII (antihemophilic factor, porcine) 1.87
J7192 Factor VIII (antihemophilic factor, recombinant) 1.03
J7194 Factor IX (complex) 0.45
J7196 Other hemophilia clotting factors (for example, anti-inhibitors) 1.43
Q0160 Factor IX (antihemophilic factor, purified, nonrecombinant) 0.97
Q0161 Factor IX (antihemophilic factor, recombinant) 1.00

These prices for blood clotting factor administered to inpatients who have hemophilia will be effective for discharges beginning on or after October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000. Payment will be made for the blood clotting factor only if there is an ICD–9–CM diagnosis code for hemophilia included on the bill.

We received one comment on this proposed provision.

Comment: One commenter indicated that there is a new clotting factor product, recombinant coagulation Factor VIIa, that is covered by this benefit, but was not mentioned in the proposed rule. Because this product is unique and packaged and dosed per microgram, and not per IU as the other clotting factor products listed in the HCPCS, the commenter requested a separate temporary code and price to be added to the final rule.

Response: We agree that recombinant coagulation Factor VIIa is covered by this benefit. We also agree that no appropriate HCPCS code exists for this product. Because of constraints on Year 2000 computer systems changes, we are not able to establish a new HCPCS code or a claims process to pay for this product at this time. Therefore, any providers furnishing recombinant coagulation Factor VIIa to hospital inpatients who have hemophilia should hold their billings for Factor VIIa until we announce by instructions to our fiscal intermediaries that a new code and claims process have been established. These hospitals should continue to submit claims for all other covered items and services furnished to these Medicare beneficiaries in accordance with established program procedures. The price for recombinant coagulation Factor VIIa for FY 2000 will be $1.19 per microgram.

IV. Changes to Payment Rates for Inpatient Capital-Related Costs for FY 2000

The prospective payment system for hospital inpatient capital-related costs was implemented for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1991. Effective with that cost reporting period and during a 10-year transition period extending through FY 2001,

hospital inpatient capital-related costs are paid on the basis of an increasing proportion of the capital prospective payment system Federal rate and a decreasing proportion of a hospital's historical costs for capital.

The basic methodology for determining Federal capital prospective rates is set forth at §§ 412.308 through 412.352. Below we discuss the factors that we used to determine the Federal rate and the hospital-specific rates for FY 2000. The rates would be effective for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1999.

For FY 1992, we computed the standard Federal payment rate for capital-related costs under the prospective payment system by updating the FY 1989 Medicare inpatient capital cost per case by an actuarial estimate of the increase in Medicare inpatient capital costs per case. Each year after FY 1992, we update the standard Federal rate, as provided in § 412.308(c)(1), to account for capital input price increases and other factors. Also, § 412.308(c)(2) provides that the Federal rate is adjusted annually by a factor equal to the estimated proportion of outlier payments under the Federal rate to total capital payments under the Federal rate. In addition, § 412.308(c)(3) requires that the Federal rate be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of payments for exceptions under § 412.348. Furthermore, § 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that the annual DRG reclassification and the recalibration of DRG weights and changes in the geographic adjustment factor are budget neutral. For FYs 1992 through 1995, § 412.352 required that the Federal rate also be adjusted by a budget neutrality factor so that aggregate payments for inpatient hospital capital costs were projected to equal 90 percent of the payments that would have been made for capital-related costs on a reasonable cost basis during the fiscal year. That provision expired in FY 1996. Section 412.308(b)(2) describes the 7.4 percent reduction to the rate that was made in FY 1994, and § 412.308(b)(3) describes the 0.28 percent reduction to the rate made in FY 1996 as a result of the revised policy of paying for transfers. In the FY 1998 final rule with comment period (62 FR 45966), we implemented section 4402 of the BBA, which requires that for discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2002, the unadjusted standard Federal rate is reduced by 17.78 percent. A small part of that reduction will be restored effective October 1, 2002. As a result of

the February 25, 1999 final rule (64 FR 9378), the Federal rate changed effective March 1, 1999, because of revisions to the GAF.

For each hospital, the hospital-specific rate was calculated by dividing the hospital's Medicare inpatient capital-related costs for a specified base year by its Medicare discharges (adjusted for transfers), and dividing the result by the hospital's case-mix index (also adjusted for transfers). The resulting case-mix adjusted average cost per discharge was then updated to FY 1992 based on the national average increase in Medicare's inpatient capital cost per discharge and adjusted by the exceptions payment adjustment factor and the budget neutrality adjustment factor to yield the FY 1992 hospital-specific rate. Since FY 1992, the hospital-specific rate has been updated annually for inflation and for changes in the exceptions payment adjustment factor. For FYs 1992 through 1995, the hospital-specific rate was also adjusted by a budget neutrality adjustment factor. For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 1997, and before October 1, 2002, the unadjusted hospital-specific rate is reduced by 17.78 percent. A small part of this reduction will be restored effective October 1, 2002.

To determine the appropriate budget neutrality adjustment factor and the exceptions payment adjustment factor, we developed a dynamic model of Medicare inpatient capital-related costs, that is, a model that projects changes in Medicare inpatient capital-related costs over time. With the expiration of the budget neutrality provision, the model is still used to estimate the exceptions payment adjustment and other factors. The model and its application are described in greater detail in Appendix B of this final rule.

In accordance with section 1886(d)(9)(A) of the Act, under the prospective payment system for inpatient operating costs, hospitals located in Puerto Rico are paid for operating costs under a special payment formula. Prior to FY 1998, hospitals in Puerto Rico were paid a blended rate that consisted of 75 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 25 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. However, effective October 1, 1998, as a result of enactment of section 4406 of the BBA, operating payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico are based on a blend of 50 percent of the applicable standardized amount specific to Puerto Rico hospitals and 50 percent of the applicable national average standardized amount. In conjunction with this change to the

operating blend percentage, effective with discharges on or after October 1, 1997, we compute capital payments to hospitals in Puerto Rico based on a blend of 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate. Section 412.374 provides for the use of this blended payment system for payments to Puerto Rico hospitals under the prospective payment system for inpatient capital-related costs. Accordingly, for capital-related costs we compute a separate payment rate specific to Puerto Rico hospitals using the same methodology used to compute the national Federal rate for capital.

A. Determination of Federal Inpatient Capital-Related Prospective Payment Rate Update

In the July 31, 1998 final rule (63 FR 41011), we established a capital Federal rate of $378.05 for FY 1999. As of the March 1, 1999 revision, the Federal rate for FY 1999 is $378.10. In the proposed rule, we stated that the proposed FY 2000 Federal rate was $374.31. In this final rule, we are establishing a FY 2000 Federal rate of $377.03.

In the discussion that follows, we explain the factors that were used to determine the FY 2000 capital Federal rate. In particular, we explain why the FY 2000 Federal rate has decreased 0.28 percent compared to the FY 1999 Federal rate. Even though the FY 2000 Federal capital rate is less than the FY 1999 Federal rate, we estimate aggregate capital payments will increase by 3.64 percent during this same period. This increase is primarily due to the increase in the Federal blend percentage from 80 to 90 percent for fully prospective payment hospitals.

Total payments to hospitals under the prospective payment system are relatively unaffected by changes in the capital prospective payments. Since capital payments constitute about 10 percent of hospital payments, a 1 percent change in the capital Federal rate yields only about 0.1 percent change in actual payments to hospitals. Aggregate payments under the capital prospective payment transition system are estimated to increase in FY 2000 compared to FY 1999.

1. Standard Federal Rate Update

a. Description of the Update Framework.

Under section 412.308(c)(1), the standard Federal rate is updated on the basis of an analytical framework that takes into account changes in a capital input price index and other factors. The update framework consists of a capital input price index (CIPI) and several policy adjustment factors. Specifically,

we have adjusted the projected CIPI rate of increase as appropriate each year for case-mix index related changes, for intensity, and for errors in previous CIPI forecasts. The proposed rule reflected an update factor of −0.6 percent, based on the data available at that time. Under the update framework, the final update factor for FY 2000 is 0.3 percent. This update factor is based on a projected 0.6 percent increase in the CIPI, a 0.1 percent adjustment for the FY 1998 DRG reclassification and recalibration, and a forecast error correction of −0.4 percent. We explain the basis for the FY 2000 CIPI projection in section II.D of this addendum.

Below we describe the policy adjustments that have been applied to the FY 2000 capital payment rates update.

The case-mix index is the measure of the average DRG weight for cases paid under the prospective payment system. Because the DRG weight determines the prospective payment for each case, any percentage increase in the case-mix index corresponds to an equal percentage increase in hospital payments.

The case-mix index can change for any of several reasons:

• The average resource use of Medicare patients changes ("real" case-mix change).

• Changes in hospital coding of patient records result in higher weight DRG assignments ("coding effects").

• The annual DRG reclassification and recalibration changes may not be budget neutral ("reclassification effect").

We define real case-mix change as actual changes in the mix (and resource requirements) of Medicare patients as opposed to changes in coding behavior that result in assignment of cases to higher-weighted DRGs but do not reflect higher resource requirements. In the update framework for the prospective payment system for operating costs, we adjust the update upwards to allow for real case-mix change, but remove the effects of coding changes on the case-mix index. We also remove the effect on total payments of prior changes to the DRG classifications and relative weights, in order to retain budget neutrality for all case-mix index-related changes other than patient severity. (For example, we adjusted for the effects of the FY 1998 DRG reclassification and recalibration as part of our FY 2000 update recommendation.) We have adopted this case-mix index adjustment in the capital update framework as well.

For FY 2000, we are projecting a 0.5 percent increase in the case-mix index. We estimate that real case-mix increase

will equal 0.5 percent in FY 2000. Therefore, the net adjustment for case-mix change in FY 2000 is 0.0 percentage points.

We estimate that FY 1998 DRG reclassification and recalibration resulted in a −0.1 percent change in the case mix when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the DRGs. In the framework, we make an adjustment for DRG reclassification and recalibration to account for the 2-year lag on the available data used to estimate the effect of DRG changes. A DRG reclassification and recalibration adjustment of 0.1 percentage points was calculated for the FY 2000 update as the percent change in the case mix when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the DRGs based on FY 1998 data. That is, in determining the effect of DRG reclassification and recalibration using FY 1998 data, the actual effect of DRG reclassification and recalibration was understated by −0.1 percent. Therefore, we are making a 0.1 percent adjustment for DRG reclassification and recalibration in the update for FY 2000.

Comment: One commenter noted that the magnitude of the −0.7 adjustment for FY 1998 Reclassification and Recalibration (GROUPER Effect) in the proposed capital (and operating) update framework appears to be inconsistent with past numbers published by HCFA. Accordingly, the commenter requested that HCFA review the data and computation of that adjustment in the capital update framework.

Response: In the May 7, 1999 proposed rule (64 FR 24578), we estimated that FY 1998 DRG reclassification and recalibration resulted in a 0.7 percent change in the case-mix index when compared with the case-mix index that would have resulted if we had not made the reclassification and recalibration changes to the DRGs. Therefore, we proposed making a −0.7 percent adjustment for DRG reclassification and recalibration in the proposed capital update recommendation for FY 2000.

Upon review, we have discovered that incorrect data were used in estimating the proposed −0.7 adjustment for the effect of FY 1998 reclassification and recalibration. We have recalculated the adjustment based on correct and updated data and the revised adjustment for the effect of FY 1998 reclassification and recalibration for the FY 2000 capital update is +0.1.

The capital update framework contains an adjustment for forecast

error. The input price index forecast is based on historical trends and relationships ascertainable at the time the update factor is established for the upcoming year. In any given year, there may be unanticipated price fluctuations that may result in differences between the actual increase in prices and the forecast used in calculating the update factors. In setting a prospective payment rate under the framework, we make an adjustment for forecast error only if our estimate of the change in the capital input price index for any year is incorrect by 0.25 percentage points or more. There is a 2-year lag between the forecast and the measurement of the forecast error. A forecast error of −0.4 percentage points was calculated for the FY 1998 update. That is, current historical data indicate that the FY 1998 CIPI used in calculating the forecasted FY 1998 update factor overstated realized price increases by 0.4 percent. Therefore, we are making a −0.4 percent adjustment for forecast error in the update for FY 2000.

Under the capital prospective payment system update framework, we also make an adjustment for changes in intensity. We calculate this adjustment using the same methodology and data as in the framework for the operating prospective payment system. The intensity factor for the operating update framework reflects how hospital services are utilized to produce the final product, that is, the discharge. This component accounts for changes in the use of quality-enhancing services, changes in within-DRG severity, and expected modification of practice patterns to remove cost-ineffective services.

We calculate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI hospital component), and changes in real case mix. The use of total charges in the calculation of the intensity factor makes it a total intensity factor; that is, charges for capital services are already built into the calculation of the factor. Therefore, we have incorporated the intensity adjustment from the operating update framework into the capital update framework. Without reliable estimates of the proportions of the overall annual intensity increases that are due, respectively, to ineffective practice patterns and to the combination of quality-enhancing new technologies and within-DRG complexity, we assume, as in the revised operating update framework, that one-half of the annual increase is due to each of these factors. The capital update framework thus provides an add-on to the input price

index rate of increase of one-half of the estimated annual increase in intensity to allow for within-DRG severity increases and the adoption of quality-enhancing technology.

For FY 2000, we have developed a Medicare-specific intensity measure based on a 5-year average using FY 1994 through FY 1998 data. In determining case-mix constant intensity, we found that observed case-mix increase was 0.8 percent in FY 1994, 1.7 percent in FY 1995, 1.6 percent in FY 1996, 0.3 percent in FY 1997, and −0.4 percent in FY 1998. For FY 1995 and FY 1996, we estimate that real case-mix increase was 1.0 to 1.4 percent each year. The estimate for those years is supported by past studies of case-mix change by the RAND Corporation. The most recent study was ''Has DRG Creep Crept Up? Decomposing the Case Mix Index Change Between 1987 and 1988'' by G.M. Carter, J.P. Newhouse, and D.A. Relles, R–4098–HCFA/ProPAC (1991). The study suggested that real case-mix change was not dependent on total change, but was usually a fairly steady 1.0 to 1.5 percent per year. We use 1.4 percent as the upper bound because the RAND study did not take into account that hospitals may have induced doctors to document medical records more completely in order to improve payment. Following that study, we consider up to 1.4 percent of observed case-mix change as real for FY 1994 through FY 1998. Based on this analysis, we believe that all of the observed case-mix increase for FY 1994, FY 1997, and FY 1998 is real. The increases for FY 1995 and FY 1996 were in excess of our estimate of real case-mix increase.

We calculate case-mix constant intensity as the change in total charges per admission, adjusted for price level changes (the CPI hospital component), and changes in real case-mix. Given estimates of real case mix of 0.8 percent for FY 1994, 1.0 percent for FY 1995, 1.0 percent for FY 1996, 0.3 percent for FY 1997, and −0.4 for FY 1998, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity declined by an average 1.3 percent during FYs 1994 through 1998, for a cumulative decrease of 6.3 percent. If we assume that real case-mix increase was 0.8 percent for FY 1994, 1.4 percent for FY 1995, 1.4 percent for FY 1996, 0.3 percent for FY 1997, and −0.4 for FY 1998, we estimate that case-mix constant intensity declined by an average 1.5 percent during FYs 1994 through 1998, for a cumulative decrease of 7.1 percent. Since we estimate that intensity has declined during that period, we are making a 0.0 percent intensity adjustment for FY 2000.

In summary, the FY 2000 final capital update under our framework is 0.3 percent. This update is based on a projected 0.6 increase in the CIPI, policy adjustment factors of 0.0, a 0.1 adjustment for the effect of FY 1998 reclassification and recalibration, and a forecast error correction of −0.4.

b. Comparison of HCFA and MedPAC Update Recommendations.

As discussed in the proposed rule, MedPAC recommended a −1.1 to 1.8 percent update to the standard capital Federal rate and we recommended a −0.6 percent update. (See the May 7, 1999 proposed rule for the differences between the MedPAC and HCFA update frameworks (64 FR 24758)). In this final rule, as discussed in the previous section, we are implementing a 0.3 percent update to the capital Federal rate.

Comment: MedPAC noted that our update recommendation of −0.6 percent was within the range of the −1.1 to 1.8 percent that they recommended. They also asserted that the distinction between inpatient operating and capital payment rates is arbitrary and does not foster efficient overall decision making about the allocation of resources. Accordingly, MedPAC recommended that once the transition to fully prospective capital payment is completed, a single prospective payment rate should be developed for hospital inpatient services to Medicare beneficiaries. MedPAC indicated that a single prospective payment rate for both operating and capital costs would be consistent with the way that hospitals purchase a majority of goods and services. MedPAC plans to investigate options for coordinating the capital and operating updates and would be pleased to work with HCFA on this effort.

Response: We responded to a similar comment in the May 7, 1999 proposed rule (64 FR 24759), the July 31, 1998 final rule (63 FR 41013), and in the September 1, 1995 final rule (60 FR 45816). In those rules, we stated that our long-term goal was to develop a single update framework for operating and capital prospective payments and that we would begin development of a unified framework. We indicated that, in the meantime, we would maintain as much consistency as possible between the current operating and capital frameworks in order to facilitate the eventual development of a unified framework. In addition, we stated that because of the similarity of the update frameworks, the update frameworks could be combined without too much difficulty. We maintain our goal of combining the update frameworks and

may examine combining the payment systems after the conclusion of the capital prospective payment transition period. While we welcome MedPAC's assistance in the eventual development of a unified operating and capital update framework, we believe that developing a unified operating and capital update framework would become a higher priority if the actual operating update was no longer determined by Congress through the statute and the unified update would be appropriately applied directly to a combined payment rate for operating and capital costs.

2. Outlier Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.312(c) establishes a unified outlier methodology for inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related costs. A single set of thresholds is used to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related payments. Outlier payments are made only on the portion of the Federal rate that is used to calculate the hospital's inpatient capital-related payments (for example, 90 percent for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2000 for hospitals paid under the fully prospective payment methodology). Section 412.308(c)(2) provides that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-related costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of outlier payments under the Federal rate to total inpatient capital-related payments under the Federal rate. The outlier thresholds are set so that operating outlier payments are projected to be 5.1 percent of total operating DRG payments. The inpatient capital-related outlier reduction factor reflects the inpatient capital-related outlier payments that would be made if all hospitals were paid 100 percent of the Federal rate. For purposes of calculating the outlier thresholds and the outlier reduction factor, we model payments as if all hospitals were paid 100 percent of the Federal rate because, as explained above, outlier payments are made only on the portion of the Federal rate that is included in the hospital's inpatient capital-related payments.

In the July 31, 1998 final rule, we estimated that outlier payments for capital in FY 1999 would equal 6.08 percent of inpatient capital-related payments based on the Federal rate (63 FR 41013). Accordingly, we applied an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9392 to the Federal rate. For FY 2000, we estimate that outlier payments for capital will equal 5.98 percent of inpatient capital-related payments based on the Federal rate. Therefore, we are

establishing an outlier adjustment factor of 0.9402 to the Federal rate. Thus, estimated capital outlier payments for FY 2000 represent a lower percentage of total capital standard payments than in FY 1999.

The outlier reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, they are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. Therefore, the net change in the outlier adjustment to the Federal rate for FY 2000 is 1.0011 (0.9402/0.9392). The outlier adjustment increases the FY 2000 Federal rate by 0.11 percent compared with the FY 1999 outlier adjustment.

3. Budget Neutrality Adjustment Factor for Changes in DRG Classifications and Weights and the Geographic Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(4)(ii) requires that the Federal rate be adjusted so that aggregate payments for the fiscal year based on the Federal rate after any changes resulting from the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration and changes in the GAF are projected to equal aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without such changes. We use the actuarial model, described in Appendix B, to estimate the aggregate payments that would have been made on the basis of the Federal rate without changes in the DRG classifications and weights and in the GAF. We also use the model to estimate aggregate payments that would be made on the basis of the Federal rate as a result of those changes. We then use these figures to compute the adjustment required to maintain budget neutrality for changes in DRG weights and in the GAF.

For FY 1999, we calculated a GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor of 1.0027. In the February 25, 1999 final rule (64 FR 9381), we adopted an incremental GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor of 1.0028 for discharges on or after March 1, 1999. In the proposed rule for FY 2000, we proposed a GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor of 0.9986. In this final rule, based on calculations using updated data, we are applying a factor of 0.9985. The GAF/DRG budget neutrality factors are built permanently into the rates; that is, they are applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. This follows from the requirement that estimated aggregate payments each year be no more than they would have been in the absence of the annual DRG reclassification and recalibration and changes in the GAF. The incremental change in the adjustment from FY 1999 to FY 2000 is 0.9985. The cumulative change in the rate due to this adjustment is 1.0014 (the product of the

incremental factors for FY 1993, FY 1994, FY 1995, FY 1996, FY 1997, FY 1998, FY 1999, and FY 2000: $0.9980 \times 1.0053 \times 0.9998 \times 0.9994 \times 0.9987 \times 0.9989 \times 1.0028 \times 0.9985 = 1.0014$).

This factor accounts for DRG reclassifications and recalibration and for changes in the GAF. It also incorporates the effects on the GAF of FY 2000 geographic reclassification decisions made by the MGCRB compared to FY 1999 decisions. However, it does not account for changes in payments due to changes in the DSH and IME adjustment factors or in the large urban add-on.

4. Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor

Section 412.308(c)(3) requires that the standard Federal rate for inpatient capital-related costs be reduced by an adjustment factor equal to the estimated proportion of additional payments for exceptions under §412.348 relative to total payments under the hospital-specific rate and Federal rate. We use an actuarial model described in Appendix B to determine the exceptions payment adjustment factor.

For FY 1999, we estimated that exceptions payments would equal 2.17 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospital-specific rate. Therefore, we applied an exceptions reduction factor of 0.9783 (1—0.0217) in determining the Federal rate. In the May 7, 1999 proposed rule, we estimated that exceptions payments for FY 2000 would equal 2.48 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospital-specific rate. Therefore, we proposed an exceptions payment reduction factor of 0.9752 to the Federal rate for FY 2000. For this final rule, based on updated data, we estimate that exceptions payments for FY 2000 will equal 2.70 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and hospital-specific rate. We are, therefore, applying an exceptions payment reduction factor of 0.9730 (1—0.0270) to the Federal rate for FY 2000. The final exceptions reduction factor for FY 2000 is 0.54 percent lower than the factor for FY 1999 and 0.23 percent lower than the factor in the FY 2000 proposed rule.

The exceptions reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, the factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the Federal rate. Therefore, the net adjustment to the FY 2000 Federal rate is 0.9730/0.9783, or 0.9946.

5. Standard Capital Federal Rate for FY 2000

For FY 1999 (effective March 1, 1999), the capital Federal rate was $378.10. As a result of changes we proposed to the factors used to establish the Federal rate, we proposed that the FY 2000 Federal rate would be $374.31. In this final rule, we are establishing a FY 2000 Federal rate of $377.03. The Federal rate for FY 2000 was calculated as follows:

• The FY 2000 update factor is 1.0030; that is, the update is 0.30 percent.

• The FY 2000 budget neutrality adjustment factor that is applied to the standard Federal payment rate for changes in the DRG relative weights and in the GAF is 0.9985.

• The FY 2000 outlier adjustment factor is 0.9402.

• The FY 2000 exceptions payments adjustment factor is 0.9730.

Since the Federal rate has already been adjusted for differences in case mix, wages, cost of living, indirect medical education costs, and payments to hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients, we have made no additional adjustments in the standard Federal rate for these factors other than the budget neutrality factor for changes in the DRG relative weights and the GAF.

We are providing a chart that shows how each of the factors and adjustments for FY 2000 affected the computation of the FY 2000 Federal rate in comparison to the FY 1999 Federal rate. The FY 2000 update factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 0.30 percent compared to the rate in FY 1999, while the final geographic and DRG budget neutrality factor has the effect of decreasing the Federal rate by 0.15 percent. The FY 2000 outlier adjustment factor has the effect of increasing the Federal rate by 0.11 percent compared to FY 1999. The FY 2000 exceptions reduction factor has the effect of decreasing the Federal rate by 0.54 percent compared to the exceptions reduction for FY 1999. The combined effect of all the changes is to decrease the Federal rate by 0.28 percent compared to the Federal rate for FY 1999.

COMPARISON OF FACTORS AND ADJUSTMENTS: FY 1999 FEDERAL RATE AND FY 2000 FEDERAL RATE

	FY 1999	FY 2000	Change	Percent change
Update Factor [1]	1.0010	1.0030	1.0030	0.30
GAF/DRG Adjustment Factor [1]	1.0028	0.9985	0.9985	−0.15
Outlier Adjustment Factor [2]	0.9392	0.9402	1.0011	0.11
Exceptions Adjustment Factor [2]	0.9783	0.9730	0.9946	−0.54
Federal Rate	$378.10	$377.03	0.9972	−0.28

[1] The update factor and the GAF/DRG budget neutrality factors are built permanently into the rates. Thus, for example, the incremental change from FY 1999 to FY 2000 resulting from the application of the 0.9985 GAF/DRG budget neutrality factor for FY 2000 is 0.9985.

[2] The outlier reduction factor and the exceptions reduction factor are not built permanently into the rates; that is, these factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the rates. Thus, for example, the net change resulting from the application of the FY 2000 outlier reduction factor is 0.9402/0.9392, or 1.0011.

As stated previously in this section, the FY 2000 Federal rate has decreased 0.28 percent compared to the FY 1999 Federal rate, even though the capital rate update factor has increased from 0.1 percent in FY 1999 to 0.3 percent in FY 2000. The 0.28 percent decrease in the Federal capital rate is a result of the combination of the FY 2000 factors and adjustments applied to the Federal rate. Specifically, the exceptions reduction factor decreased 0.54 percent from 0.9783 for FY 1999 to 0.9730 for FY 2000, which results in a larger reduction to the Federal capital rate for FY 2000 compared to FY 1999. Also, the GAF/DRG adjustment factor decreased 0.42 percent from 1.0027 for FY 1999 to 0.9985 for FY 2000, which results in a decrease the Federal capital rate for FY 2000 compared to FY 1999. The outlier adjustment factor increased 0.11 percent from 0.9392 for FY 1999 to 0.9402 for FY 2000, which results in an increase to the Federal capital rate for FY 2000 compared to FY 1999. The effect of all of these changes is a −0.28 percent decrease in the FY 2000 Federal rate compared to FY 1999.

Even though the FY 2000 Federal capital rate is less than the FY 1999 Federal rate, we estimate that aggregate capital payments will increase 3.64 percent during this same period, primarily due to the increase in the Federal blend percentage (from 80 to 90 percent) for fully prospective payment hospitals.

We are also providing a chart that shows how the final FY 2000 Federal rate differs from the proposed FY 2000 Federal rate.

COMPARISON OF FACTORS AND ADJUSTMENTS: FY 2000 PROPOSED FEDERAL RATE AND FY 2000 FINAL FEDERAL RATE

	Proposed FY 2000	Final FY 2000	Change	Percent change
Update Factor [1]	0.9940	1.0030	1.0091	0.91
GAF/DRG Adjustment Factor	0.9986	0.9985	0.9999	−0.01
Outlier Adjustment Factor	0.9397	0.9402	1.0005	0.05
Exceptions Adjustment Factor	0.9752	0.9730	0.9977	−0.23
Federal Rate	$374.31	$377.03	1.0073	0.73

[1] As noted previously in section IV.A.1.a of this addendum, upon review we discovered that incorrect data were used in estimating the proposed adjustment for the effect of FY 1998 reclassification and recalibration in the proposed rule. As a result, the revised adjustment for the effect of FY 1998 reclassification and recalibration for the capital update for FY 2000 is +0.1 (compared to the proposed −0.7). Accordingly, the FY 2000 final update is 0.30 (compared to the proposed −0.06), which accounts for the 0.73 increase in the Federal rate from the FY 2000 proposed to FY 2000 final rule.

6. Special Rate for Puerto Rico Hospitals

As explained above, hospitals in Puerto Rico are paid based on 50 percent of the Puerto Rico rate and 50 percent of the Federal rate. The Puerto Rico rate is derived from the costs of Puerto Rico hospitals only, while the Federal rate is derived from the costs of all acute care hospitals participating in the prospective payment system (including Puerto Rico). To adjust hospitals' capital payments for geographic variations in capital costs, we apply a geographic adjustment factor (GAF) to both portions of the blended rate. The GAF is calculated using the operating prospective payment system wage index and varies depending on the MSA or rural area in which the hospital is located. We use the Puerto Rico wage index to determine the GAF for the Puerto Rico part of the capital blended rate and the national wage index to determine the GAF for the national part of the blended rate. Since we implemented a separate GAF for Puerto Rico in 1998, we also applied separate budget neutrality adjustments for the national GAF and for the Puerto Rico GAF. We applied the same budget neutrality factor for DRG reclassifications and recalibration nationally and for Puerto Rico. The Puerto Rico GAF budget neutrality factor is 0.9991, while the DRG adjustment is 0.9999, for a combined cumulative adjustment of 0.9990.

In computing the payment for a particular Puerto Rico hospital, the Puerto Rico portion of the rate (50 percent) is multiplied by the Puerto Rico-specific GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located, and the national portion of the rate (50 percent) is multiplied by the national GAF for the MSA in which the hospital is located (which is computed from national data for all hospitals in the United States and Puerto Rico). In FY 1998, we implemented a 17.78 percent reduction to the Puerto Rico rate as required by the BBA. For FY 1999, before application of the GAF, the special rate for Puerto Rico hospitals was $181.10. With the changes we proposed to the factors used to determine the rate, the proposed FY 2000 special rate for Puerto Rico was $174.15. In this final rule, the FY 2000 capital rate for Puerto Rico is $174.81.

B. Determination of Hospital-Specific Rate Update

Section 412.328(e) of the regulations provides that the hospital-specific rate for FY 2000 be determined by adjusting the FY 1999 hospital-specific rate by the following factors:

1. Hospital-Specific Rate Update Factor

The hospital-specific rate is updated in accordance with the update factor for the standard Federal rate determined under § 412.308(c)(1). For FY 2000, we are updating the hospital-specific rate by a factor of 1.0030.

2. Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor

For FYs 1992 through FY 2001, the updated hospital-specific rate is multiplied by an adjustment factor to account for estimated exceptions payments for capital-related costs under § 412.348, determined as a proportion of the total amount of payments under the hospital-specific rate and the Federal rate. For FY 2000, we estimated in the proposed rule that exceptions payments would be 2.48 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and the hospital-specific rate. Therefore, we proposed that the updated hospital-specific rate be reduced by a factor of 0.9752. In this final rule, we estimate that exceptions payments will be 2.70 percent of aggregate payments based on the Federal rate and hospital-specific rate. Accordingly, for FY 2000, we are applying an exceptions reduction factor of 0.9730 to the hospital-specific rate. The exceptions reduction factors are not built permanently into the rates; that is, the factors are not applied cumulatively in determining the hospital-specific rate. The net adjustment to the FY 2000 hospital-specific rate is 0.9730/0.9783, or 0.9946.

3. Net Change to Hospital-Specific Rate

We are providing a chart to show the net change to the hospital-specific rate. The chart shows the factors for FY 1999 and FY 2000 and the net adjustment for each factor. It also shows that the cumulative net adjustment from FY 1999 to FY 2000 is 0.9976, which represents a decrease of 0.24 percent to the hospital-specific rate. For each hospital, the FY 2000 hospital-specific rate is determined by multiplying the FY 1999 hospital-specific rate by the cumulative net adjustment of 0.9976.

FY 2000 UPDATE AND ADJUSTMENTS TO HOSPITAL-SPECIFIC RATES

	FY 1999	Final FY 2000	Net adjustment	Percent change
Update Factor	1.0010	1.0030	1.0030	0.30
Exceptions Payment Adjustment Factor	0.9783	0.9730	0.9946	−0.54
Cumulative Adjustments	0.9793	0.9769	0.9976	−0.24

Note: The update factor for the hospital-specific rate is applied cumulatively in determining the rates. Thus, the incremental increase in the update factor from FY 1999 to FY 2000 is 1.0030. In contrast, the exceptions payment adjustment factor is not applied cumulatively. Thus, for example, the incremental increase in the exceptions reduction factor from FY 1999 to FY 2000 is 0.9730/0.9783, or 0.9946.

C. Calculation of Inpatient Capital-Related Prospective Payments for FY 2000

During the capital prospective payment system transition period, a hospital is paid for the inpatient capital-related costs under one of two payment methodologies—the fully prospective payment methodology or the hold-harmless methodology. The payment methodology applicable to a particular hospital is determined when a hospital comes under the prospective payment system for capital-related costs by comparing its hospital-specific rate to the Federal rate applicable to the hospital's first cost reporting period under the prospective payment system. The applicable Federal rate was determined by making adjustments as follows:

• For outliers by dividing the standard Federal rate by the outlier reduction factor for that fiscal year; and,

• For the payment adjustment factors applicable to the hospital (that is, the hospital's GAF, the disproportionate share hospital (DSH) adjustment factor, and the indirect medical education (IME) adjustment factor, when appropriate).

If the hospital-specific rate is higher than the applicable Federal rate, the hospital is paid under the hold-harmless methodology. If the hospital-specific rate is lower than the applicable Federal rate, the hospital is paid under the fully prospective methodology.

For purposes of calculating payments for each discharge under both the hold-harmless payment methodology and the fully prospective payment methodology,

the standard Federal rate is adjusted as follows: (Standard Federal Rate) × (DRG weight) × (GAF) × (Large Urban Add-on, if applicable) × (COLA adjustment for hospitals located in Alaska and Hawaii) × (1 + DSH Adjustment Factor + IME Adjustment Factor). The result is the adjusted Federal rate.

Payments under the hold-harmless methodology are determined under one of two formulas. A hold-harmless hospital is paid the higher of the following:

• 100 percent of the adjusted Federal rate for each discharge; or

• An old capital payment equal to 85 percent (100 percent for sole community hospitals) of the hospital's allowable Medicare inpatient old capital costs per discharge for the cost reporting period plus a new capital payment based on a percentage of the adjusted Federal rate for each discharge. The percentage of the adjusted Federal rate equals the ratio of the hospital's allowable Medicare new capital costs to its total Medicare inpatient capital-related costs in the cost reporting period.

Once a hospital receives payment based on 100 percent of the adjusted Federal rate in a cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 1994 (or the first cost reporting period after obligated capital that is recognized as old capital under § 412.302(c) is put in use for patient care, if later), the hospital continues to receive capital prospective payment system payments on that basis for the remainder of the transition period.

Payment for each discharge under the fully prospective methodology is the sum of the following:

• The hospital-specific rate multiplied by the DRG relative weight for the discharge and by the applicable hospital-specific transition blend percentage for the cost reporting period; and

• The adjusted Federal rate multiplied by the Federal transition blend percentage.

The blend percentages for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2000 are 90 percent of the adjusted Federal rate and 10 percent of the hospital-specific rate.

Hospitals may also receive outlier payments for those cases that qualify under the thresholds established for each fiscal year. Section 412.312(c) provides for a single set of thresholds to identify outlier cases for both inpatient operating and inpatient capital-related payments. Outlier payments are made only on that portion of the Federal rate that is used to calculate the hospital's inpatient capital-related payments. For fully prospective hospitals, that portion

is 90 percent of the Federal rate for discharges occurring in cost reporting periods beginning during FY 2000. Thus, a fully prospective hospital will receive 90 percent of the capital-related outlier payment calculated for the case for discharges occurring in cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2000. For hold-harmless hospitals paid 85 percent of their reasonable costs for old inpatient capital, the portion of the Federal rate that is included in the hospital's outlier payments is based on the hospital's ratio of Medicare inpatient costs for new capital to total Medicare inpatient capital costs. For hold-harmless hospitals that are paid 100 percent of the Federal rate, 100 percent of the Federal rate is included in the hospital's outlier payments.

The outlier thresholds for FY 2000 are in section II.A.4.c of this Addendum. For FY 2000, a case qualifies as a cost outlier if the cost for the case is greater than the prospective payment rate for the DRG (and any IME and DSH payments) plus $14,050.

During the capital prospective payment system transition period, a hospital may also receive an additional payment under an exceptions process if its total inpatient capital-related payments are less than a minimum percentage of its allowable Medicare inpatient capital-related costs. The minimum payment level is established by class of hospital under § 412.348. The minimum payment levels for portions of cost reporting periods occurring in FY 2000 are as follows:

• Sole community hospitals (located in either an urban or rural area), 90 percent.

• Urban hospitals with at least 100 beds and a disproportionate share patient percentage of at least 20.2 percent and urban hospitals with at least 100 beds that qualify for disproportionate share payments under § 412.106(c)(2), 80 percent.

• All other hospitals, 70 percent.

Under § 412.348(d), the amount of the exceptions payment is determined by comparing the cumulative payments made to the hospital under the capital prospective payment system to the cumulative minimum payment levels applicable to the hospital for each cost reporting period subject to that system. Any amount by which the hospital's cumulative payments exceed its cumulative minimum payment is deducted from the additional payment that would otherwise be payable for a cost reporting period.

New hospitals are exempted from the capital prospective payment system for their first 2 years of operation and are paid 85 percent of their reasonable costs

during that period. A new hospital's old capital costs are its allowable costs for capital assets that were put in use for patient care on or before the later of December 31, 1990 or the last day of the hospital's base year cost reporting period, and are subject to the rules pertaining to old capital and obligated capital as of the applicable date. Effective with the third year of operation, we will pay the hospital under either the fully prospective methodology, using the appropriate transition blend in that Federal fiscal year, or the hold-harmless methodology. If the hold-harmless methodology is applicable, the hold-harmless payment for assets in use during the base period would extend for 8 years, even if the hold-harmless payments extend beyond the normal transition period.

D. Capital Input Price Index

1. Background

Like the operating input price index, the Capital Input Price Index (CIPI) is a fixed-weight price index that measures the price changes associated with costs during a given year. The CIPI differs from the operating input price index in one important aspect—the CIPI reflects the vintage nature of capital, which is the acquisition and use of capital over time. Capital expenses in any given year are determined by the stock of capital in that year (that is, capital that remains on hand from all current and prior capital acquisitions). An index measuring capital price changes needs to reflect this vintage nature of capital. Therefore, the CIPI was developed to capture the vintage nature of capital by using a weighted-average of past capital purchase prices up to and including the current year.

Using Medicare cost reports, American Hospital Association (AHA) data, and Securities Data Corporation data, a vintage-weighted price index was developed to measure price increases associated with capital expenses. We periodically update the base year for the operating and capital input prices to reflect the changing composition of inputs for operating and capital expenses. Currently, the CIPI is based to FY 1992 and was last rebased in 1997. The most recent explanation of the CIPI was discussed in the final rule with comment period for FY 1998 published on August 29, 1997 (62 FR 46050). The following **Federal Register** documents also describe development and revisions of the methodology involved with the construction of the CIPI: September 1, 1992 (57 FR 40016), May 26, 1993 (58 FR 30448), September 1, 1993 (58 FR 46490), May 27, 1994 (59

FR 27876), September 1, 1994 (59 FR 45517), June 2, 1995 (60 FR 29229), and September 1, 1995 (60 FR 45815), May 31, 1996 (61 FR 27466), August 30, 1996 (61 FR 46196), June 2, 1997 (62 FR 29953), August 29, 1997 (62 FR 46050), May 8, 1998 (63 FR 25619), July 31, 1998 (63 FR 41017), and May 7, 1999 (64 FR 24763).

2. Forecast of the CIPI for Federal Fiscal Year 2000

We are forecasting the CIPI to increase 0.6 percent for FY 2000. This reflects a projected 1.6 percent increase in vintage-weighted depreciation prices (building and fixed equipment, and movable equipment) and a 3.5 percent increase in other capital expense prices in FY 2000, partially offset by a 2.9 percent decline in vintage-weighted interest rates in FY 2000. The weighted average of these three factors produces the 0.6 percent increase for the CIPI as a whole.

V. Changes to Payment Rates for Excluded Hospitals and Hospital Units: Rate-of-Increase Percentages

The inpatient operating costs of hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system are subject to rate-of-increase limits established under the authority of section 1886(b) of the Act, which is implemented in regulations at § 413.40. Under these limits, a hospital-specific target amount (expressed in terms of the inpatient operating cost per discharge) is set for each hospital, based on the hospital's own historical cost experience trended forward by the applicable rate-of-increase percentages (update factors). In the case of a psychiatric hospital or hospital unit, rehabilitation hospital or hospital unit, or long-term care hospital, the target amount may not exceed the updated figure for the 75th percentile of target amounts for hospitals and units in the same class (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care) for cost reporting periods ending during FY 1996. The target amount is multiplied by the number of Medicare discharges in a hospital's cost reporting period, yielding the ceiling on aggregate Medicare inpatient operating costs for the cost reporting period.

Each hospital-specific target amount is adjusted annually, at the beginning of each hospital's cost reporting period, by an applicable update factor.

Section 1886(b)(3)(B) of the Act, which is implemented in regulations at § 413.40(c)(3)(vii), provides that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after

October 1, 1999 and before October 1, 2000, the update factor depends on the hospital's or hospital unit's costs in relation to the ceiling. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by 10 percent or more, the update factor is the market basket increase. For hospitals with costs exceeding the ceiling by less than 10 percent, the update factor is the market basket minus .25 percent for each percentage point by which costs are less than 10 percent over the ceiling. For hospitals with costs equal to or less than the ceiling but greater than 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is the greater of 0 percent or the market basket minus 2.5 percent. For hospitals with costs that do not exceed 66.7 percent of the ceiling, the update factor is 0.

The most recent forecast of the market basket increase for FY 2000 for hospitals and hospital units excluded from the prospective payment system is 2.9 percent. Therefore, the update to a hospital's target amount for its cost reporting period beginning in FY 2000 would be between 0.4 and 2.9 percent, or 0 percent.

In addition, § 413.40(c)(4)(iii) requires that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1999 and before October 1, 2000, the target amount for each psychiatric hospital or hospital unit, rehabilitation hospital or hospital unit, and long-term care hospital cannot exceed a cap on the target amounts for hospitals in the same class. In the May 7, 1999 proposed rule, based on available data, we estimated that, for cost reporting periods beginning in FY 2000, the caps on target amounts would be $11,067 for psychiatric hospitals and hospital units, $20,071 for rehabilitation hospitals and hospital units, and $39,596 for long-term care hospitals. In this final rule, based on updated data, we are establishing the caps as follows: $11,100 for psychiatric hospitals and hospital units, $20,129 for rehabilitation hospitals and hospital units, and $36,712 for long-term care hospitals. Regulations at § 413.40(d) specify the formulas for determining bonus and relief payments for excluded hospitals and specify established criteria for an additional bonus payment for continuous improvement. Regulations at § 413.40(f)(2)(ii) specify the payment methodology for new hospitals and hospital units (psychiatric, rehabilitation, and long-term care) effective October 1, 1997.

VI. Tables

This section contains the tables referred to throughout the preamble to

this final rule and in this Addendum. For purposes of this final rule, and to avoid confusion, we have retained the designations of Tables 1 through 5 that were first used in the September 1, 1983 initial prospective payment final rule (48 FR 39844). Tables 1A, 1C, 1D, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 4F, 5, 7A, 7B, 8A, 8B, and 10 are presented below. The tables presented below are as follows:

Table 1A—National Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts, Labor/Nonlabor

Table 1C—Adjusted Operating Standardized Amounts for Puerto Rico, Labor/Nonlabor

Table 1D—Capital Standard Federal Payment Rate

Table 3C—Hospital Case-Mix Indexes for Discharges Occurring in Federal Fiscal Year 1998 and Hospital Average Hourly Wage for Federal Fiscal Year 2000 Wage Index

Table 4A—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Urban Areas

Table 4B—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF) for Rural Areas

Table 4C—Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment

Table 4D—Average Hourly Wage for Urban Areas

Table 4E—Average Hourly Wage for Rural Areas

Table 4F—Puerto Rico Wage Index and Capital Geographic Adjustment Factor (GAF)

Table 5—List of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs), Relative Weighting Factors, Geometric Mean Length of Stay, and Arithmetic Mean Length of Stay Points Used in the Prospective Payment System

Table 7A—Medicare Prospective Payment System Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 98 MEDPAR Update 3/99 GROUPER V16.0

Table 7B—Medicare Prospective Payment System Selected Percentile Lengths of Stay FY 98 MEDPAR Update 3/99 GROUPER V17.0

Table 8A—Statewide Average Operating Cost-to-Charge Ratios for Urban and Rural Hospitals (Case Weighted) July 1999

Table 8B—Statewide Average Capital Cost-to-Charge Ratios (Case Weighted) July 1999

Table 10—Percentage Difference in Wage Indexes for Areas That Qualify for a Wage Index Exception for Excluded Hospitals and Units

TABLE 1A.—NATIONAL ADJUSTED OPERATING STANDARDIZED AMOUNTS, LABOR/NONLABOR

Large urban areas		Other areas	
Labor-related	Nonlabor-related	Labor-related	Nonlabor-related
$2,809.18	$1,141.85	$2,764.70	$1,123.76

TABLE 1C.—ADJUSTED OPERATING STANDARDIZED AMOUNTS FOR PUERTO RICO, LABOR/NONLABOR

	Large urban areas		Other areas	
	Labor	Nonlabor	Labor	Nonlabor
National	$2,785.40	$1,132.18	$2,785.40	$1,132.18
Puerto Rico	1,336.54	538.00	1,315.38	529.48

TABLE 1D.—CAPITAL STANDARD FEDERAL PAYMENT RATE

	Rate
National	$377.03
Puerto Rico	174.81

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
010001	1.4595	15.85
010004	0.9933	15.02
010005	1.1767	16.26
010006	1.4579	17.31
010007	1.1421	14.80
010008	1.1818	17.65
010009	1.0941	17.53
010010	1.0799	15.91
010011	1.5835	20.63
010012	1.2614	19.30
010015	1.0478	18.35
010016	1.2492	16.13
010018	0.9696	18.96
010019	1.2766	15.49
010021	1.2490	14.63
010022	0.9597	20.51
010023	1.6881	16.26
010024	1.4243	16.03
010025	1.3513	14.53
010027	0.8132	14.93
010029	1.6000	16.41
010031	1.4175	18.02
010032	0.8803	12.65
010033	1.9945	19.68
010034	1.0473	14.73
010035	1.2411	17.48
010036	1.0916	17.29
010038	1.2337	18.33
010039	1.6337	18.81
010040	1.4963	19.10
010043	1.0500	16.20
010044	1.0246	17.02
010045	1.1799	15.01
010046	1.4713	17.18
010047	0.9285	16.38
010049	1.1887	14.48
010050	1.0755	15.42
010051	0.8965	9.94

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
010052	1.0154	13.86
010053	1.0508	13.18
010054	1.1318	17.12
010055	1.4221	18.19
010056	1.3283	19.08
010058	1.0358	12.78
010059	1.0567	18.19
010061	1.1098	15.92
010062	1.0102	13.57
010064	1.7584	20.90
010065	1.3234	15.64
010066	0.9014	12.07
010068	1.3052	18.74
010069	1.1329	13.57
010072	1.0785	14.35
010073	0.8800	12.83
010078	1.2901	17.71
010079	1.1867	16.87
010080	....	13.85
010081	1.6351	16.98
010083	1.0586	16.21
010084	1.5089	18.78
010085	1.3152	18.87
010086	1.0122	14.93
010087	1.7288	18.39
010089	1.1989	16.61
010090	1.6540	18.11
010091	0.9933	16.36
010092	1.4279	16.50
010094	1.1544	18.56
010095	1.0456	11.90
010097	0.8654	12.90
010098	0.9896	14.28
010099	1.1725	15.93
010100	1.2912	15.48
010101	1.0199	15.42
010102	0.9314	12.73
010103	1.8375	19.31

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
010104	1.6950	18.10
010108	1.1528	20.79
010109	1.0504	14.09
010110	0.9691	15.91
010112	1.1495	15.11
010113	1.6159	17.24
010114	1.2530	17.26
010115	0.8495	13.75
010118	1.2464	16.69
010119	0.8457	18.17
010120	0.9886	17.03
010121	1.2822	15.18
010123	1.1647	18.16
010124	1.2264	16.27
010125	1.0590	14.42
010126	1.1224	17.64
010127	1.3140	19.61
010128	0.9265	12.57
010129	1.0647	14.43
010130	1.0418	16.35
010131	1.3325	17.91
010134	0.8150	10.78
010137	1.3106	15.93
010138	0.9196	12.13
010139	1.6338	19.95
010143	1.2282	15.71
010144	1.4120	17.12
010145	1.3336	20.75
010146	1.1894	18.86
010148	0.9791	14.64
010149	1.2567	17.08
010150	1.0438	16.97
010152	1.2508	17.38
010155	1.0794	16.70
020001	1.5235	27.97
020002	1.0556	26.91
020004	1.1841	26.40
020005	0.8955	29.01

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
020006	1.1238	26.77
020007	0.8205	24.96
020008	1.0781	30.47
020009	0.8199	23.18
020010	0.9473	18.64
020011	0.9160	29.47
020012	1.2763	23.92
020013	0.9595	26.82
020014	1.1151	24.09
020017	1.4946	24.97
020018	0.8973	....
020019	0.7991	....
020021	0.8011	....
020024	1.1106	22.73
020025	0.9156	27.15
020026	1.2660	....
020027	0.9434	....
030001	1.2614	19.87
030002	1.8069	21.63
030003	2.2742	23.67
030004	1.0231	17.73
030006	1.5269	17.64
030007	1.2538	18.56
030008	2.1843	....
030009	1.2486	17.93
030010	1.3867	18.80
030011	1.4361	20.08
030012	1.2389	19.42
030013	1.2741	21.02
030014	1.5098	19.47
030016	1.2340	20.56
030017	1.4178	20.42
030018	1.8584	18.91
030019	1.2403	19.92
030022	1.4895	15.79
030023	1.4965	22.44
030024 *	1.7469	21.67
030025	0.9555	17.67
030027	0.9624	17.58
030030	1.6450	21.62
030033	1.2353	16.84
030034	0.9867	19.09
030035	1.1548	19.72
030036	1.2810	18.94
030037	2.0844	21.44
030038	1.6163	22.08
030040	1.0766	17.97
030041	0.8880	17.44
030043	1.2200	20.77
030044	0.8787	16.47
030047	0.8899	19.69
030049	0.8711	19.09
030054	0.8646	14.49
030055	1.2312	18.28
030059	1.2853	21.71
030060	1.1435	16.77
030061	1.6875	17.35
030062	1.1800	17.48
030064	1.7662	18.54
030065	1.8097	19.93
030067	1.0273	15.62
030068	1.0246	17.35
030069	1.3639	19.00
030071	0.9602	....

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
030072	0.8682	....
030073	1.0290	....
030074	0.8858	....
030075	0.7952	....
030076	0.8826	....
030077	0.8563	....
030078	1.1470	....
030079	0.9065	....
030080	1.3762	19.99
030083	1.2775	23.64
030084	1.1378	....
030085	1.4648	17.84
030086	1.4452	18.50
030087	1.6802	20.05
030088	1.3656	19.58
030089	1.6781	19.90
030092	1.5831	21.56
030093	1.4204	19.47
030094	1.2697	19.48
030095	1.1371	14.25
030099	0.9411	18.07
030100	2.0364	....
030101	1.4114	....
030102	2.5824	....
040001	1.0888	15.57
040002	1.1552	14.09
040003	1.1003	14.00
040004	1.6291	17.29
040005	1.0368	12.88
040007	1.6975	19.53
040008	1.0417	12.70
040010	1.3432	17.62
040011	0.9412	12.27
040014	1.3255	15.39
040015	1.2147	14.60
040016	1.6858	17.54
040017	1.1675	14.95
040018	1.2212	17.56
040019	1.0337	25.71
040020	1.6146	14.81
040021	1.1844	16.46
040022	1.4741	16.00
040024	0.9980	15.73
040025	0.9100	10.95
040026	1.5789	18.24
040027	1.2523	14.54
040028	1.0074	12.84
040029	1.2995	17.78
040030	0.8754	14.15
040032	0.9633	13.33
040035	0.9500	11.21
040036	1.4559	17.91
040037	1.0687	13.48
040039	1.2281	13.84
040040	0.9162	17.43
040041	1.2619	13.36
040042	1.2098	14.66
040044	1.0386	11.44
040045	1.0121	18.77
040047	1.0270	16.39
040048	....	15.82
040050	1.1544	11.79
040051	1.0845	16.28
040053	1.0708	15.82

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
040054	0.9798	15.04
040055	1.4290	16.10
040058	1.0566	15.67
040060	0.9763	11.47
040062	1.6600	17.28
040064	1.0495	12.40
040066	1.0504	17.64
040067	1.0989	13.49
040069	1.0278	16.11
040070	0.9313	15.48
040071	1.6676	16.30
040072	1.0377	15.84
040074	1.2314	17.38
040075	1.0145	12.75
040076	1.0802	18.55
040077	1.0508	12.46
040078	1.5191	17.86
040080	1.0065	15.74
040081	0.8669	10.68
040082	1.0972	16.51
040084	1.1085	17.25
040085	1.1526	15.78
040088	1.3887	15.67
040090	0.8950	17.55
040091	1.1702	17.04
040093	0.9194	12.90
040100	1.1477	14.97
040105	0.9904	14.24
040106	0.9680	15.40
040107	1.0685	19.62
040109	1.1497	13.98
040114	1.8340	18.31
040116	1.1343	19.57
040118	1.4205	17.43
040119	1.1612	15.38
040124	1.0612	17.25
040126	0.9478	11.68
040132	....	13.18
040134	2.7047	....
040135	2.3711	....
050002	1.4963	27.60
050006	1.4139	19.53
050007	1.4840	29.54
050008	1.4234	25.86
050009	1.6827	26.25
050013	1.9903	24.85
050014	1.2091	24.53
050015	1.4488	25.38
050016	1.1522	20.15
050017	2.0965	23.66
050018	1.3646	14.66
050021	....	28.50
050022	1.6612	22.96
050024 *	1.3214	20.34
050025 *	1.7668	22.00
050026	1.5146	28.69
050028	1.3702	16.45
050029	1.4013	23.29
050030	1.3135	21.01
050032	1.3391	22.59
050033	1.4640	24.56
050036	1.7264	20.47
050038	1.3454	27.83
050039	1.6288	22.25

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
050040	1.1955	30.67
050042	1.2702	22.23
050043	1.4927	33.23
050045	1.2716	20.73
050046	1.1729	31.38
050047	1.5619	29.44
050051	1.1199	17.84
050054	1.1899	19.37
050055	1.2399	29.09
050056	1.3404	23.85
050057	1.5981	21.76
050058	1.4969	25.73
050060	1.5506	20.92
050061	1.4125	23.74
050063	1.3477	23.07
050065	1.6835	21.18
050066	1.3006	21.42
050067	1.2503	21.30
050068	1.1029	28.48
050069	1.6082	29.30
050070	1.2340	32.60
050071	1.3229	33.14
050072	1.3883	32.97
050073	1.2621	34.61
050074	0.8073	....
050075	1.3460	33.52
050076	2.0321	33.88
050077	1.5585	23.30
050078	1.2960	22.80
050079	1.4849	34.43
050082	1.6734	21.70
050084	1.6102	23.10
050088	0.9681	24.06
050089	1.3381	20.02
050090	1.2652	23.90
050091	1.0925	22.22
050092	0.8481	15.38
050093	1.5646	24.08
050095	....	33.38
050096	1.1459	21.67
050097	1.5292	22.61
050099	1.4630	24.29
050100	1.6425	30.06
050101	1.3595	30.01
050102	1.3792	21.29
050103 *	1.5724	25.34
050104	1.4491	25.44
050107	1.4640	21.76
050108	1.8389	25.21
050109	....	26.48
050110	1.2148	20.18
050111	1.2943	21.74
050112	1.3851	26.29
050113	1.3172	27.78
050114	1.3842	25.91
050115	1.4915	21.05
050116	1.5226	25.59
050117	1.3979	20.44
050118	1.1870	24.00
050121	1.2882	18.88
050122	1.5694	....
050124	1.2826	23.02
050125	1.3726	24.04
050126	1.4571	23.84
050127	1.2537	19.76
050128	1.6061	24.18
050129	1.7541	27.16
050131	1.2640	29.06
050132	1.3641	22.91
050133	1.2717	24.40
050135	1.4811	27.03
050136	1.3553	24.43
050137	1.3023	30.07
050138	2.0450	37.41
050139	1.2542	31.38
050140	1.3201	33.66
050144	1.4211	25.75
050145	1.3762	33.06
050146	1.5826	....
050148	1.1126	21.06
050149	1.4979	23.38
050150	1.2673	23.48
050152	1.3291	27.75
050153	1.6393	29.59
050155	1.0972	22.94
050158	1.3019	27.98
050159	1.3226	25.21
050167	1.3813	21.68
050168	1.5382	25.25
050169	1.4765	24.64
050170	1.4490	22.20
050172	1.2543	17.70
050173	1.3755	23.33
050174	1.7008	31.21
050175	1.2707	27.79
050177	1.1869	20.25
050179	1.2337	19.29
050180	1.5831	32.19
050183	1.2743	19.98
050186	1.3443	21.91
050188	1.4374	27.44
050189	0.9674	23.24
050191	1.4686	26.73
050192	1.1474	17.81
050193	1.1575	23.73
050194	1.2304	28.27
050195	1.5638	34.78
050196	1.2799	16.69
050197	1.9550	31.45
050204	1.5274	24.39
050205	1.2839	21.15
050207	1.2607	20.86
050211	1.3187	31.22
050213	1.5919	20.73
050214	1.5454	20.87
050215	1.5686	28.41
050217	1.2859	19.89
050219	1.1417	25.47
050222	1.5169	27.07
050224	1.5831	23.79
050225	1.5688	20.80
050226	1.3277	26.93
050228	1.3226	30.38
050230	1.4125	25.36
050231	1.6438	25.58
050232	1.5691	23.38
050233	....	31.40
050234	1.1501	28.52
050235	1.5560	25.86
050236	1.5100	26.27
050238	1.5300	24.00
050239	1.5979	20.41
050240	1.5284	25.25
050241	1.1379	27.22
050242	1.4436	30.14
050243	1.5323	22.91
050245	1.5075	24.40
050248	1.2025	27.42
050251	1.1079	18.50
050253	1.4192	20.07
050254	1.2000	19.69
050256	1.7675	23.53
050257	0.9509	19.59
050260	0.9690	23.52
050261	1.2104	20.45
050262	1.8179	29.01
050264	1.3472	29.45
050267	1.6945	24.75
050270	1.3631	23.73
050272	1.3984	21.44
050274	0.9467	21.19
050276	1.1734	28.51
050277	1.4821	22.31
050278	1.5187	23.84
050279	1.2881	21.06
050280	1.6532	24.43
050281	1.3916	18.59
050282	1.3212	24.46
050283	1.5054	27.88
050286	0.9172	17.80
050289	1.7326	26.72
050290	1.6720	26.37
050291	1.1953	26.49
050292	1.1088	22.49
050293	1.0656	19.18
050295	1.4570	20.74
050296	1.2166	25.32
050298	1.3348	20.52
050299	1.3248	25.77
050300	1.4160	22.74
050301	1.2329	26.03
050302	....	29.20
050305	1.5886	32.71
050307	1.2758	27.98
050308	1.5082	28.40
050309	1.2826	24.40
050310	....	20.62
050312	1.9732	23.79
050313	1.1561	23.10
050315	1.3435	21.92
050317	....	19.45
050320	1.2358	30.60
050324	2.0041	26.27
050325	1.2290	23.24
050327	1.6638	22.85
050328	....	23.19
050329	1.2988	21.41
050331	1.3506	25.53
050333	1.0612	20.15
050334	1.7488	32.02
050335	1.4579	20.20
050336	1.3568	20.10

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
050342	1.2515	19.35
050343	0.9701	17.34
050348	1.8021	20.75
050349	0.8875	15.05
050350	1.4042	25.07
050351	1.4941	24.69
050352	1.3148	23.59
050353	1.6191	23.25
050355	0.8391	17.16
050357	1.3422	23.64
050359	1.2474	20.40
050360	1.4310	31.76
050366	1.3326	21.34
050367	1.2594	29.48
050369	1.3034	24.26
050373	1.3971	26.65
050376	1.4232	25.30
050377	1.0200	25.64
050378	1.0934	22.24
050379	1.0197	15.50
050380	1.6047	30.58
050382	1.3597	26.15
050385	1.3419	25.92
050388	0.8725	13.79
050390	1.1965	22.57
050391	1.3438	22.49
050392	0.9370	21.93
050393	1.4352	23.14
050394	1.5741	22.24
050396	1.6444	23.63
050397	0.9367	20.77
050401	1.1055	17.78
050404	1.0664	19.28
050406	1.0245	16.89
050407	1.2842	30.12
050410	1.0668	16.47
050411	1.3582	32.24
050414	1.2992	24.42
050417	1.3197	21.89
050419	1.3788	23.12
050420	1.3062	22.68
050421	1.2343	....
050423	1.0112	23.33
050424	1.8400	23.78
050425	1.2315	33.69
050426	1.3898	23.71
050427	0.9468	20.07
050430	1.0003	21.34
050432	1.5198	21.50
050433	0.9711	16.80
050434	1.0631	15.63
050435	1.1647	32.99
050436	1.0075	16.36
050438	1.7349	24.08
050440	1.2722	21.11
050441	1.9397	28.71
050443	0.8705	16.43
050444	1.3224	24.67
050446	0.8088	20.54
050447	1.0850	18.42
050448	1.1055	20.08
050449	1.2871	22.18
050454 *	1.7729	28.69
050455	1.7949	19.92

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
050456	1.2213	17.62
050457	1.9129	31.25
050459	1.5220	37.09
050464	1.7089	22.31
050468	1.5589	23.17
050469	1.1499	23.44
050470	1.1391	17.03
050471	1.8969	24.29
050476	1.3686	23.14
050477	1.4367	27.78
050478	0.9911	23.05
050481	1.3949	26.83
050482	1.0569	16.93
050483	2.2575	21.60
050485	1.6066	23.19
050486	....	24.50
050488	1.3257	32.86
050491	1.2006	25.10
050492	1.4183	21.42
050494	1.2386	25.41
050496	1.7595	33.02
050497	0.8258	....
050498	1.2296	24.84
050502	1.7271	22.63
050503	1.3477	23.59
050506	1.3621	21.22
050510	1.2784	33.46
050512	1.4429	34.31
050515	1.3439	35.04
050516	1.5017	25.19
050517	1.2038	20.37
050522	1.1593	31.73
050523	1.2442	28.42
050526	1.2943	26.92
050528	1.1883	18.69
050531	1.1101	20.73
050534	1.2834	23.30
050535	1.5140	24.23
050537	1.3698	22.21
050539	1.3269	23.25
050541	1.5646	34.62
050542	0.9786	17.85
050543	0.8445	23.04
050545	0.7658	27.57
050546	0.6961	27.76
050547	0.9008	27.08
050548	....	26.59
050549	1.6034	27.91
050550	1.3697	25.75
050551	1.3532	24.05
050552	1.2766	22.87
050557	1.5203	22.14
050559	1.2865	24.67
050561	1.2133	33.93
050564	1.3237	24.51
050565	1.3123	22.88
050566	0.9219	18.33
050567	1.5570	24.23
050568	1.3420	20.52
050569	1.2025	24.94
050570	1.6497	24.50
050571	1.3946	24.37
050573	1.5647	25.14
050575	1.1467	....

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
050577	1.3600	20.52
050578	1.2666	28.91
050579	1.4322	30.07
050580	1.2849	23.92
050581	1.4507	23.57
050583	1.6163	23.36
050584	1.2401	23.16
050585	1.2561	26.50
050586	1.3532	23.84
050588	1.2801	30.39
050589	1.2395	24.35
050590	1.3227	....
050591	1.3156	22.32
050592	1.2663	26.05
050594	1.6277	22.78
050597	1.2260	23.18
050598	1.3410	28.11
050599	1.5942	26.32
050601	1.6465	32.87
050603	1.4208	22.75
050604	1.4564	33.32
050607	....	24.10
050608	1.2793	16.15
050609	1.4917	31.93
050613	1.1189	23.48
050615	1.5453	23.70
050616	1.3449	22.80
050618	1.0867	21.70
050623	1.5638	30.32
050624	1.3297	22.34
050625	1.6305	24.35
050630	1.2762	24.10
050633	1.2825	21.98
050635	....	37.85
050636	1.4239	20.83
050638	1.1491	23.63
050641	1.2971	21.36
050643	0.9604	....
050644	1.0737	23.12
050660	1.4966	....
050661	....	20.48
050662	0.8151	28.29
050663	1.1758	23.71
050667	1.0967	24.11
050668	1.0944	39.90
050670	0.7555	21.88
050674	1.2425	36.24
050675	2.2195	15.84
050676	1.0018	17.53
050677	1.3679	33.71
050678	1.2889	22.66
050680	1.1178	27.32
050682	0.9234	17.97
050684	1.2409	21.81
050685	1.1904	32.13
050686	1.2866	33.25
050688	1.1936	30.00
050689	1.4920	34.19
050690	1.4168	33.83
050693	1.3155	33.30
050694	1.3866	22.57
050695	1.0607	23.52
050696	2.1060	26.41
050697	1.3204	21.47

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
050699	0.5913	28.48
050700		28.45
050701	1.3166	27.62
050702		12.25
050704	1.0855	20.76
050707	0.9714	27.51
050708	1.4500	21.91
050709	1.2519	19.42
050710	1.3390	26.81
050713	0.7909	15.30
050714	1.3510	
050715		19.12
050717	1.2647	
050718	0.7579	
050719	3.1984	
050720	0.9044	
060001	1.6835	20.59
060003	1.2708	19.32
060004	1.1987	21.79
060006	1.2571	17.86
060007	1.1650	16.38
060008	1.0843	17.09
060009	1.4985	21.18
060010	1.6674	22.72
060011	1.3925	21.97
060012	1.3814	19.77
060013	1.3217	19.14
060014	1.8153	20.53
060015	1.6320	23.57
060016	1.1638	15.96
060018	1.2867	21.86
060020	1.6130	17.73
060022	1.5677	19.65
060023	1.6323	19.65
060024	1.7015	22.83
060027	1.6925	21.67
060028	1.5331	22.25
060029	0.8925	21.41
060030	1.3542	20.03
060031	1.5383	19.40
060032	1.4782	22.37
060033	1.1398	13.82
060034	1.5846	21.41
060036	1.1376	19.24
060037	1.0079	14.05
060038	0.9440	14.31
060041	0.9246	14.83
060042	1.0356	20.08
060043	0.8801	13.05
060044	1.1681	22.53
060046	1.0302	20.44
060047	0.9655	15.12
060049	1.3171	20.64
060050	1.2512	16.80
060052	1.0404	12.55
060053	1.0148	14.94
060054	1.4104	19.39
060056	0.9051	17.05
060057	1.0273	23.38
060058	0.9425	16.91
060060	0.9200	14.89
060062	0.8628	14.94
060063		15.09
060064	1.4833	20.93
060065	1.2913	24.30
060066	0.9930	14.07
060068		19.64
060070	1.1250	16.58
060071	1.2078	16.95
060073	0.9583	15.84
060075	1.2425	22.85
060076	1.4325	19.29
060085	0.8869	13.48
060087		21.03
060088	0.9983	16.67
060090	0.8997	14.51
060096	1.1067	23.12
060100	1.5321	22.00
060103	1.3211	22.34
060104	1.2351	22.30
060107	1.1955	13.64
060108	0.4789	
060109	1.1185	
070001	1.7614	26.51
070002	1.8336	25.46
070003	1.1215	26.09
070004	1.2043	23.27
070005	1.4436	25.57
070006	1.3881	28.71
070007	1.3524	27.19
070008	1.2506	26.03
070009	1.2685	23.47
070010	1.6992	25.94
070011	1.3820	23.96
070012	1.1724	25.10
070015	1.3890	25.33
070016	1.4259	26.30
070017	1.3718	24.80
070018	1.3684	28.88
070019	1.1838	24.70
070020	1.3222	23.72
070021	1.2362	26.52
070022	1.8643	25.08
070024	1.3255	25.15
070025	1.8686	25.41
070026		18.79
070027	1.3113	23.64
070028	1.5522	24.69
070029	1.3352	22.75
070030	1.2883	24.97
070031	1.2420	21.66
070033	1.4115	28.81
070034	1.3893	29.12
070035	1.4205	23.06
070036	1.7251	28.95
070038	0.7729	
070039	0.9555	21.78
080001	1.7133	25.28
080002		15.60
080003	1.3835	22.40
080004	1.2651	19.77
080005		14.43
080006	1.3003	22.26
080007	1.4176	20.38
090001	1.6034	25.89
090002	1.3475	19.70
090003	1.3718	28.61
090004	1.7978	24.43
090005	1.3706	24.88
090006	1.3152	20.08
090007	1.3040	21.66
090008	1.5064	21.60
090010	1.0721	15.87
090011	2.1194	27.37
100001	1.5307	17.69
100002	1.4390	21.32
100004	1.0119	15.25
100006	1.6160	20.63
100007	1.8871	21.72
100008	1.5830	20.72
100009	1.4671	24.29
100010	1.4977	21.91
100012	1.6382	18.52
100014	1.4823	19.83
100015	1.4805	18.24
100017	1.5923	17.77
100018	1.5493	20.84
100019	1.5572	19.81
100020	1.3926	26.18
100022	1.7978	25.89
100023	1.3578	21.11
100024	1.3497	20.78
100025	1.7580	19.12
100026	1.5873	20.76
100027	1.0231	12.94
100028	1.2105	19.75
100029	1.3375	19.18
100030	1.2542	18.82
100032	1.8533	19.32
100034	1.7580	18.23
100035	1.5894	19.58
100038	1.6636	24.78
100039	1.5287	20.25
100040	1.7501	18.64
100043	1.3307	17.52
100044	1.3922	21.14
100045	1.3923	20.77
100046	1.4279	21.21
100047	1.8496	18.87
100048	0.9391	13.50
100049	1.2653	18.56
100050	1.1494	16.60
100051	1.2391	18.84
100052	1.3875	16.19
100053	1.2128	18.71
100054	1.2776	18.19
100055	1.3755	17.62
100056	1.4973	23.65
100057	1.3595	18.75
100060	1.8341	22.39
100061	1.4541	21.79
100062	1.7297	17.96
100063	1.1596	16.23
100067	1.3589	17.40
100068	1.3600	18.65
100069	1.2996	16.14
100070	1.4348	20.33
100071	1.2324	16.48
100072	1.2519	19.22
100073	1.7170	18.16
100075	1.5967	18.05
100076	1.2999	16.25

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
100077	1.3907	19.62	100169	1.7623	20.78	100264	1.3620	17.61
100078	1.0296	18.28	100170	1.4159	15.12	100265	1.2932	19.86
100079	1.3325	....	100172	1.4335	15.18	100266	1.3567	17.73
100080	1.6004	21.16	100173	1.6528	17.34	100267	1.3056	17.10
100081	1.1059	13.96	100174	1.3768	20.51	100268	1.1952	23.59
100082	1.4981	19.80	100175	1.1543	17.82	100269	1.4345	21.20
100084	1.3484	20.40	100176	2.0764	24.70	100270	1.0181	19.86
100085	1.4397	21.08	100177	1.2977	22.00	100271	1.7715	19.92
100086	1.2337	21.16	100179	1.7103	20.91	100275	1.3944	21.33
100087	1.8332	23.12	100180	1.4415	18.48	100276	1.2371	21.98
100088	1.6560	20.06	100181	1.1065	24.57	100277	1.0333	16.14
100090	1.3796	17.88	100183	1.1888	20.86	100279	1.2721	23.02
100092	1.5762	18.19	100187	1.4299	20.69	100280	1.2951	16.58
100093	1.5951	16.63	100189	1.3286	21.01	100281	1.2795	22.02
100098	1.0984	19.03	100191	1.3182	18.47	100282	1.0837	19.77
100099	1.2207	15.30	100199	1.3519	23.37	100284	1.0855	....
100102	1.0153	19.33	100200	1.2409	22.26	110001	1.2552	18.06
100103	0.9374	18.10	100203	....	18.86	110002	1.2532	17.37
100105	1.4538	21.50	100204	1.6272	20.20	110003	1.3637	16.91
100106	1.0262	19.31	100206	1.3739	20.35	110004	1.3569	18.95
100107	1.3128	18.01	100207	....	15.92	110005	1.1963	19.26
100108	0.9979	11.47	100208	1.3673	20.83	110006	1.4201	20.13
100109	1.3846	22.17	100209	1.4791	19.73	110007	1.6114	23.50
100110	1.3687	19.64	100210	1.5718	19.18	110008	1.2419	18.26
100112	0.9703	9.77	100211	1.3991	25.53	110009	1.1355	14.82
100113	1.9526	22.26	100212	1.6224	25.34	110010	2.1768	24.55
100114	1.3378	23.45	100213	1.5100	19.12	110011	1.1631	18.28
100117	1.1904	18.86	100217	1.2751	19.87	110013	1.0596	16.03
100118	1.2978	19.76	100220	1.6355	19.91	110014	0.9442	16.12
100121	1.1778	19.34	100221	1.8110	22.25	110015	1.1403	19.48
100122	1.2065	18.06	100222	0.9440	22.19	110016	1.2284	15.30
100124	1.2961	19.05	100223	1.4651	18.76	110017	0.9311	10.54
100125	1.2709	17.33	100224	1.3349	24.70	110018	1.1969	21.04
100126	1.4682	18.09	100225	1.3371	20.64	110020	1.1913	18.53
100127	1.6587	19.87	100226	1.3508	24.86	110023	1.3377	18.65
100128	2.2076	21.37	100228	1.2825	23.70	110024	1.3651	19.79
100129	1.3074	18.57	100229	1.3326	18.21	110025	1.3872	18.65
100130	1.1759	19.10	100230	1.3523	20.60	110026	1.1354	16.14
100131	1.3440	22.17	100231	1.6815	17.40	110027	1.1200	14.68
100132	1.2920	16.90	100232	1.2511	17.32	110028	1.7799	19.89
100134	0.9738	13.47	100234	1.2587	21.58	110029	1.3551	20.05
100135	1.5672	17.48	100235	....	17.66	110030	1.2989	17.68
100137	1.2758	19.05	100236	1.3925	21.81	110031	1.2252	21.58
100138	1.0055	11.01	100237	2.1893	22.93	110032	1.2518	16.19
100139	1.0894	15.64	100238	1.5454	17.63	110033	1.4207	21.41
100140	1.2173	17.35	100239	1.4287	19.76	110034	1.5887	18.19
100142	1.2261	18.68	100240	1.0888	17.93	110035	1.3910	21.17
100144	1.1622	15.02	100241	0.8994	13.83	110036	1.8557	24.42
100145	....	19.11	100242	1.4298	17.12	110038	1.4440	16.38
100146	0.9697	17.87	100243	1.4071	20.38	110039	1.4173	20.77
100147	1.0080	14.68	100244	1.3741	17.41	110040	1.0663	16.40
100150	1.3244	21.02	100246	1.3686	21.22	110041	1.1827	16.69
100151	1.7687	19.40	100248	1.5873	21.54	110042	1.1533	20.65
100154	1.5832	19.85	100249	1.3084	19.02	110043	1.8094	17.22
100156	1.1101	17.13	100252	1.2005	17.87	110044	1.1898	19.60
100157	1.5609	21.03	100253	1.4323	20.60	110045	1.1386	19.94
100159	0.9570	16.38	100254	1.5401	20.91	110046	1.2456	19.23
100160	1.2026	21.63	100255	1.2559	21.02	110048	1.2372	15.65
100161	1.6995	21.50	100256	2.0104	23.56	110049	1.0992	14.21
100162	1.3955	19.87	100258	1.6901	21.88	110050	1.1823	18.75
100165	1.1535	18.57	100259	1.3409	19.86	110051	1.0253	15.75
100166	1.4349	20.42	100260	1.4570	21.22	110052	....	15.06
100167	1.3548	21.81	100262	1.3448	19.59	110054	1.3647	19.27
100168	1.3372	20.13	100263	....	16.90	110056	1.0854	16.50

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
110059	1.2176	17.70
110061	1.0960	13.72
110062	0.9082	12.21
110063	1.0542	17.97
110064	1.4891	18.34
110065	1.0304	13.32
110066	1.4455	20.65
110069	1.2576	18.35
110070	1.1398	18.23
110071	1.0981	14.89
110072	0.9710	12.43
110073	1.1423	15.14
110074	1.5078	20.76
110075	1.3221	17.01
110076	1.4652	20.44
110078	1.7591	24.71
110079	1.4560	20.14
110080	1.3568	23.43
110082	2.0994	22.01
110083	1.7625	21.36
110086	1.2841	14.98
110087	1.3556	20.54
110089	1.2027	18.58
110091	1.2778	21.38
110092	1.0882	15.09
110093	0.9940	14.80
110094	0.9912	13.87
110095	1.3528	15.95
110096	1.0800	16.32
110097	1.0625	15.62
110098	0.9868	14.01
110100	1.0558	20.38
110101	1.1014	11.73
110103	0.9292	11.94
110104	1.0957	15.32
110105	1.3084	16.52
110107	1.8959	17.39
110108	0.9495	15.14
110109	1.1092	16.37
110111	1.2038	17.32
110112	0.9910	19.13
110113	1.0478	15.19
110114	1.0443	15.13
110115	1.7515	24.83
110118	1.1342	15.40
110120	1.0378	15.19
110121	1.2814	15.58
110122	1.3852	18.85
110124	1.2083	17.13
110125	1.2563	17.33
110127	0.8834	13.76
110128	1.1927	18.97
110129	1.6606	18.12
110130	1.0077	13.08
110132	1.1467	15.02
110134	0.9641	11.56
110135	1.3364	17.08
110136	1.1043	16.17
110140	1.0432	17.88
110141	0.9978	12.51
110142	0.9537	12.30
110143	1.4324	21.69
110144	1.0701	17.98
110146	1.0869	17.61

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
110149	1.1792	22.23
110150	1.4100	18.77
110152	1.0430	14.77
110153	1.0935	18.69
110154	0.9773	14.81
110155	1.1373	17.14
110156	1.0500	15.34
110161	1.3183	20.87
110162	0.7522	....
110163	1.4626	18.20
110164	1.4197	19.49
110165	1.4296	19.00
110166	1.4485	19.85
110168	1.6662	19.82
110169	1.2028	18.72
110171	1.6129	20.09
110172	1.3551	25.44
110174	0.9161	14.30
110176	3.7291	22.40
110177	1.5099	19.59
110178	....	16.85
110179	1.1506	20.52
110181	0.9051	13.72
110183	1.3138	21.18
110184	1.2424	20.95
110185	1.1859	16.25
110186	1.2579	17.34
110187	1.2755	21.45
110188	1.3546	20.05
110189	1.1719	18.86
110190	1.0646	19.43
110191	1.3087	19.11
110192	1.4455	20.77
110193	1.2441	18.78
110194	0.8928	15.09
110195	1.0992	10.52
110198	1.2874	26.19
110200	1.8858	17.21
110201	1.5098	19.24
110203	0.9319	20.30
110204	0.8239	20.57
110205	1.0578	26.12
110207	1.0141	12.87
110208	0.9593	14.89
110209	0.7100	20.46
110211	0.9611	21.82
110212	1.0031	12.66
110213	....	13.20
110215	1.0915	....
110216	2.1653	....
110217	2.8336	....
120001 *	1.8210	26.71
120002	1.2129	24.38
120003	1.1495	23.85
120004	1.2588	24.05
120005	1.2449	20.54
120006	1.2730	23.72
120007	1.7318	23.27
120009	0.9876	19.02
120010 *	1.8326	25.40
120011	1.3630	33.55
120012	0.8391	22.52
120014	1.2760	24.05
120015	1.0355	29.07

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
120016	1.0574	29.41
120018	1.2117	25.61
120019	1.3192	21.92
120021	0.8937	19.42
120022 *	1.6843	17.93
120024	1.0727	22.28
120025	....	19.02
120026	1.2648	23.22
120027	1.4048	24.55
120028	1.2481	23.49
130001	0.9681	24.95
130002	1.3266	16.19
130003	1.3394	19.95
130005	1.4416	20.17
130006	1.8354	18.87
130007	1.6730	19.84
130008	0.9590	12.92
130009	0.9302	18.30
130010	0.8926	21.43
130011	1.2859	19.08
130012	0.9911	22.62
130013	1.3306	19.22
130014	1.3247	17.98
130015	0.8768	15.27
130016	1.0211	17.00
130017	1.1066	16.88
130018	1.6255	17.97
130019	1.1213	17.23
130021	0.9692	12.26
130022	1.2150	19.50
130024	1.1595	18.38
130025	1.0955	15.27
130026	1.1725	20.55
130027	0.9334	20.70
130028	1.2849	18.21
130029	1.0697	20.32
130030	0.8448	18.40
130031	1.0229	17.65
130034	1.0325	18.82
130035	1.1048	20.47
130036	1.3938	13.79
130037	1.3059	17.74
130043	0.9589	16.07
130044	0.9748	13.18
130045	0.9802	16.47
130048	0.9813	15.09
130049	1.2324	20.39
130054	0.9573	17.78
130056	0.8508	15.66
130058	....	17.75
130060	1.2887	20.85
130061	1.2950	16.78
130062	0.7200	15.11
130063	1.4979	....
140001	1.2255	15.44
140002	1.2571	19.26
140003	1.0026	18.00
140004	1.1707	17.52
140005	0.9673	10.87
140007	1.4692	22.40
140008	1.4670	21.28
140010	1.3723	25.22
140011	1.2034	17.28
140012	1.2770	19.44

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
140013	1.5752	17.35
140014	1.1751	20.76
140015	1.2854	15.02
140016	1.0294	12.54
140018	1.2556	21.41
140019	1.1123	15.34
140024	0.9557	14.67
140025	1.0826	16.95
140026	1.2234	15.96
140027	1.2694	17.50
140029	1.3385	21.03
140030	1.8214	22.44
140031	1.2008	15.94
140032	1.3345	17.34
140033	1.2874	22.56
140034	1.1851	19.15
140035	1.0002	13.00
140036	1.2407	17.04
140037	1.0383	12.50
140038	1.1029	17.61
140040	1.2581	16.25
140041	1.1609	17.28
140042	1.0170	15.61
140043	1.1892	18.95
140045	1.0315	20.65
140046	1.3045	16.46
140047	1.0950	16.33
140048	1.3056	20.58
140049	1.6537	21.59
140051	1.5128	20.85
140052	1.3027	19.60
140053	2.0158	17.82
140054	1.3339	26.15
140055	1.0225	14.80
140058	1.2340	17.27
140059	1.1394	15.39
140061	1.0953	15.96
140062	1.2457	27.09
140063	1.4375	22.39
140064	1.3249	19.25
140065	1.4610	23.16
140066	1.1773	16.17
140067	1.8177	18.40
140068	1.2883	18.87
140069	1.0457	16.15
140070	1.2636	19.30
140074	1.0760	19.01
140075	1.3671	22.51
140077	1.2557	16.64
140079	1.2639	21.92
140080	1.5815	21.00
140081	1.0826	15.51
140082	1.3675	22.62
140083	1.2487	18.13
140084	1.2529	20.01
140086	1.1147	17.37
140087	1.3481	18.36
140088	1.7136	24.26
140089	1.2745	17.21
140090	1.5324	23.59
140091	1.9057	20.70
140093	1.1687	19.15
140094	1.3422	20.61
140095	1.4016	21.54

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
140097	0.9525	16.90
140100	1.3286	19.06
140101	1.2240	26.09
140102	1.0445	15.08
140103	1.4231	17.86
140105	1.2926	20.91
140107	1.0113	12.76
140108	1.3335	28.60
140109	1.1446	15.47
140110	1.2400	18.81
140112	1.1563	16.24
140113	1.5588	17.92
140114 *	1.3342	20.48
140115 *	1.3041	20.09
140116	1.2341	21.83
140117	1.5614	19.64
140118	1.7249	23.08
140119	1.7816	26.50
140120	1.3740	14.84
140121	1.3607	9.53
140122	1.5542	23.75
140124	1.1024	26.97
140125	1.3478	17.10
140127	1.4164	19.42
140128	1.0296	17.67
140129	1.1659	15.25
140130	1.2488	23.77
140132	1.4905	23.04
140133	1.4025	19.91
140135	1.2759	17.69
140137	1.0383	16.51
140138	1.0650	14.59
140139	1.1039	16.58
140140	1.1395	15.30
140141	1.2644	15.18
140143	1.0957	18.76
140144	0.9933	19.79
140145	1.1514	16.61
140146	1.0740	23.74
140147	1.2519	24.82
140148	1.8274	19.50
140150	1.6434	27.85
140151	1.0618	19.30
140152	1.1951	22.43
140155	1.3310	17.31
140158	1.3594	22.27
140160	1.1737	17.88
140161	1.2224	19.04
140162	1.6988	18.42
140164	1.4390	18.61
140165	1.0866	15.42
140166	1.1670	17.54
140167	1.0995	16.57
140168	1.1303	16.46
140170	1.1327	14.14
140171	0.9915	14.73
140172	1.5915	20.80
140173	0.8685	18.48
140174	1.6130	19.92
140176	1.2480	21.41
140177	1.2010	18.17
140179	1.3630	22.70
140180	1.4469	23.25
140181	1.4232	20.55

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
140182	1.3607	20.70
140184	1.2116	14.98
140185	1.4938	17.36
140186	1.3429	18.99
140187	1.5865	17.69
140188	0.9985	14.84
140189	1.2534	19.08
140190	1.0757	15.88
140191	1.4399	24.74
140193	1.0289	15.52
140197	1.2537	17.98
140199	1.0816	18.83
140200	1.4773	21.65
140202	1.3290	22.18
140203	1.1563	20.78
140205	0.9296	17.24
140206	1.2023	20.51
140207	1.2939	20.20
140208	1.7156	23.94
140209	1.6271	17.79
140210	1.1138	12.66
140211	1.2095	20.96
140213	1.2790	26.20
140215	0.9874	14.45
140217	1.3233	23.32
140218	0.9871	15.08
140220	1.1223	16.73
140223	1.5508	21.47
140224	1.4309	22.99
140228	1.6773	18.67
140230	0.9381	16.60
140231	1.5608	21.61
140233	1.7813	18.37
140234	1.2225	18.72
140236	1.0843	13.13
140239	1.7318	18.88
140240	1.3948	24.21
140242	1.6371	22.67
140245	1.2029	15.56
140246	1.0621	12.82
140250	1.3281	23.41
140251	1.3069	20.58
140252	1.4989	24.49
140253	1.1634	16.74
140258	1.5765	21.13
140271	0.9795	15.36
140275	1.2739	17.96
140276	2.0654	23.72
140280	1.3786	18.84
140281	1.6496	23.34
140285	1.2739	14.71
140286	1.1863	19.95
140288	1.6193	21.82
140289	1.3459	16.45
140290	1.3383	21.24
140291	1.3339	22.44
140292	1.2911	22.71
140294	1.1347	17.52
140297		21.47
140300	1.4559	23.26
150001	1.1041	21.70
150002	1.4489	18.76
150003	1.8053	19.31
150004	1.5183	19.70

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
150005	1.1499	19.00
150006	1.2599	20.04
150007	1.2063	19.53
150008	1.4364	20.97
150009	1.3649	18.22
150010	1.3600	18.48
150011	1.2166	19.19
150012	1.6210	20.52
150013	1.1027	16.00
150014	1.6030	21.28
150015	1.2975	22.05
150017	1.9021	18.89
150018	1.4575	19.56
150019	1.0931	15.29
150020	1.1536	14.46
150021	1.6905	19.02
150022	1.0882	17.92
150023	1.5779	18.66
150024	1.3427	17.83
150025	1.4440	18.15
150026	1.2077	20.51
150027	1.0057	16.48
150029	1.3399	21.74
150030	1.2418	17.33
150031	1.0791	18.01
150032	....	20.64
150033	1.5759	21.69
150034	1.4830	21.29
150035	1.4915	19.82
150036	1.0011	20.38
150037	1.2875	17.79
150038	1.2923	20.25
150039	1.0012	17.49
150042	1.2828	17.12
150043	1.1061	17.98
150044	1.2617	17.64
150045	1.0943	17.04
150046	1.3952	17.32
150047	1.5995	24.88
150048	1.1920	16.96
150049	1.2163	16.85
150050	1.1659	17.14
150051	1.5091	18.20
150052	1.0896	15.36
150053	0.9867	18.75
150054	1.1338	17.33
150056	1.8954	23.30
150057	2.2387	16.86
150058	1.7048	20.95
150059	1.3598	20.80
150060	1.1844	16.01
150061	1.2118	17.21
150062	1.1077	18.41
150063	1.0850	21.09
150064	1.1848	17.03
150065	1.1695	19.01
150066	1.0217	14.60
150067	1.1259	17.08
150069	1.2229	17.39
150070	0.9568	17.20
150071	1.0951	14.73
150072	1.1985	16.11
150073	1.0647	19.03
150074	1.6339	18.86

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
150075	1.1208	14.98
150076	1.1839	22.34
150077	0.8124	17.58
150078	1.0539	19.01
150079	1.1723	15.45
150082	1.5245	17.88
150084	1.9902	22.92
150086	1.2532	17.34
150088	1.3451	19.45
150089	1.4582	22.94
150090	1.3308	19.06
150091	1.0358	19.89
150092	1.0090	15.92
150094	0.9814	18.34
150095	1.0848	17.12
150096	1.0067	20.03
150097	1.0940	18.31
150098	1.1571	14.30
150099	....	18.97
150100	1.6554	17.48
150101	1.0910	17.56
150102	1.0778	11.50
150103	0.9707	17.31
150104	1.1260	17.26
150105	1.3381	19.17
150106	1.0525	18.91
150109	1.3958	18.23
150110	0.9834	18.58
150111	1.1588	16.17
150112	1.2455	19.82
150113	1.2372	19.20
150114	0.9743	16.96
150115	1.3404	17.06
150122	1.1625	19.35
150123	1.1180	15.16
150124	1.0843	15.07
150125	1.4619	20.32
150126	1.4866	20.30
150127	1.0396	22.81
150128	1.2478	19.92
150129	1.1853	23.47
150130	1.3391	16.41
150132	1.4200	19.48
150133	1.1910	16.49
150134	1.1949	17.06
150136	0.9556	19.28
160001	1.2585	19.03
160002	1.0936	15.37
160003	0.9994	15.77
160005	1.0825	15.23
160007	1.0218	15.66
160008	1.1402	14.97
160009	1.2212	16.09
160012	1.0500	16.54
160013	1.1368	17.06
160014	1.0250	15.09
160016	1.1678	18.37
160018	0.9630	14.16
160020	1.0690	14.41
160021	1.1123	15.49
160023	1.0852	14.20
160024	1.6086	18.95
160026	1.0312	18.66
160027	1.0851	15.74

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
160028	1.2328	20.54
160029	1.5330	20.40
160030	1.3897	17.99
160031	1.1173	15.28
160032	1.1540	16.18
160033	1.9249	18.37
160034	1.1538	14.51
160035	0.8486	15.92
160036	1.0544	19.20
160037	1.0575	18.40
160039	1.0380	17.63
160040	1.2725	16.83
160041	1.0640	15.47
160043	0.9958	15.63
160044	1.2165	16.04
160045	1.8190	20.12
160046	1.0270	14.77
160047	1.3715	16.69
160048	1.2473	13.14
160049	0.9271	13.36
160050	1.0702	16.42
160051	0.8978	14.27
160052	0.9917	17.55
160054	1.0301	15.71
160055	0.9883	14.06
160056	1.0674	15.38
160057	1.2430	17.41
160058	1.8366	20.34
160060	1.0432	15.95
160061	1.0830	17.57
160062	0.9941	14.44
160063	1.1620	16.30
160064	1.5338	19.91
160065	1.0522	16.51
160066	1.1019	16.26
160067	1.4239	17.85
160068	1.0224	15.85
160069	1.5367	18.49
160070	0.9958	15.66
160072	1.0345	14.19
160073	0.9972	15.05
160074	1.0388	16.48
160075	1.0778	17.89
160076	1.0989	17.31
160077	1.1087	11.40
160079	1.4119	17.71
160080	1.2114	17.81
160081	1.1520	16.51
160082	1.9236	18.76
160083	1.6706	18.41
160085	0.9972	18.55
160086	0.9601	16.46
160088	1.1561	17.53
160089	1.1911	16.74
160090	1.0139	16.60
160091	1.0383	12.19
160092	1.0120	15.80
160093	1.0155	15.95
160094	1.1043	16.56
160095	1.0299	14.26
160097	1.0774	15.21
160098	0.9466	15.54
160099	0.9654	13.79
160101	1.0851	17.87

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
160102	1.3432	18.36	170039	1.0924	14.30	170124	0.9948	10.21
160103	0.9416	17.15	170040	1.5839	20.14	170126	0.9316	12.13
160104	1.2969	19.74	170041	1.0515	11.47	170128	0.9613	14.99
160106	1.1113	16.66	170044	0.9951	14.78	170131	....	13.10
160107	1.1505	16.56	170045	1.0889	12.11	170133	1.1278	17.11
160108	1.0215	15.42	170049	1.3513	18.58	170134	0.8746	14.23
160109	1.0167	16.49	170051	0.9883	14.16	170137	1.1825	17.42
160110	1.5105	18.81	170052	1.0435	14.62	170139	1.0034	13.39
160111	0.9969	13.17	170053	0.9379	9.04	170142	1.3143	17.32
160112	1.3695	16.28	170054	1.0385	12.77	170143	1.1142	15.88
160113	1.0947	14.58	170055	0.9812	14.99	170144	1.5081	16.09
160114	0.9776	15.58	170056	0.8854	14.87	170145	1.1137	16.75
160115	0.9770	15.76	170057	....	15.09	170146	1.4464	19.97
160116	1.1196	16.69	170058	1.1560	18.34	170147	1.1950	16.28
160117	1.4111	17.29	170060	1.0207	17.23	170148	1.3683	17.25
160118	0.9954	15.84	170061	1.1617	14.14	170150	1.1491	15.43
160120	0.9721	12.56	170063	0.8974	11.33	170151	0.9386	13.37
160122	1.1137	18.52	170064	....	12.42	170152	1.0039	13.68
160124	1.2998	17.16	170066	0.9451	14.48	170160	0.9948	13.31
160126	0.9700	17.74	170067	0.9987	12.78	170164	0.9849	15.56
160129	0.9742	15.89	170068	1.2647	15.82	170166	1.1213	17.57
160130	1.1286	15.45	170070	1.0611	12.82	170171	1.0545	13.81
160131	1.0437	14.69	170072	0.9119	13.34	170175	1.3044	17.88
160134	0.9459	13.32	170073	1.0417	16.47	170176	1.6757	20.32
160135	1.0163	16.33	170074	1.2029	14.40	170182	1.4288	14.20
160138	1.0146	15.71	170075	0.9266	11.26	170183	1.9802	19.09
160140	1.1321	18.80	170076	1.0180	13.58	170184	....	27.01
160142	1.0188	16.14	170077	0.9138	12.72	180001	1.3845	19.52
160143	1.1242	15.92	170079	1.0029	14.29	180002	1.0807	18.13
160145	1.0697	15.17	170080	0.9644	12.20	180004	1.1145	15.99
160146	1.4411	16.35	170081	0.9118	12.51	180005	1.1967	20.63
160147	1.2764	18.39	170082	0.9387	12.39	180006	0.9088	11.23
160151	1.0545	15.74	170084	0.8978	12.16	180007	1.4605	17.20
160152	0.9425	15.22	170085	0.8894	14.51	180009	1.3630	20.81
160153	1.7595	19.69	170086	1.6767	19.85	180010	1.9352	17.55
170001	1.2073	17.44	170088	0.9319	11.75	180011	1.3149	16.93
170004	1.0739	13.06	170089	0.9744	18.08	180012	1.4399	18.74
170006	1.1966	19.31	170090	0.9652	11.27	180013	1.4516	17.45
170008	1.0034	13.90	170092	....	12.85	180014	1.6962	20.80
170009	1.1479	19.59	170093	0.8902	12.78	180016	1.3326	18.84
170010	1.3585	17.90	170094	0.9571	17.71	180017	1.3055	15.17
170012	1.4135	16.79	170095	1.0123	15.75	180018	1.2972	18.90
170013	1.2818	17.89	170097	0.9087	15.85	180019	1.1916	16.76
170014	1.0342	17.34	170098	1.1360	14.10	180020	1.1096	17.78
170015	0.9809	15.89	170099	1.1529	13.55	180021	1.0498	15.16
170016	1.7098	19.64	170100	....	14.47	180023	0.9502	15.22
170017	1.1985	17.87	170101	0.9694	12.88	180024	1.4071	15.33
170018	1.1014	14.28	170102	0.9678	13.24	180025	1.2007	17.17
170019	1.2400	16.66	170103	1.3272	16.66	180026	1.2061	14.16
170020	1.4076	16.15	170104	1.4805	19.76	180027	1.2508	14.89
170022	1.0506	17.94	170105	1.0590	15.93	180028	1.0896	19.35
170023	1.4694	19.36	170106	0.9336	14.68	180029	1.2291	18.02
170024	1.0663	13.06	170109	0.9346	16.94	180030	1.1690	17.02
170025	1.1917	16.37	170110	0.9857	15.55	180031	1.1171	13.79
170026	1.0821	13.31	170112	1.1452	13.39	180032	1.0592	16.09
170027	1.3182	16.39	170113	1.0746	13.39	180033	1.0969	13.77
170030	1.0600	15.24	170114	0.9511	14.51	180034	1.0876	17.32
170031	0.8926	13.47	170115	0.9977	12.68	180035	1.6449	19.45
170032	1.0154	14.48	170116	1.0599	15.76	180036	1.1569	19.19
170033	1.4154	16.05	170117	0.9782	15.28	180037	1.3120	18.81
170034	1.0335	14.63	170119	0.9657	13.97	180038	1.4617	17.16
170035	0.8974	15.62	170120	1.2725	16.21	180040	1.9294	19.44
170036	....	14.17	170122	1.7569	20.13	180041	1.1888	15.17
170038	0.9007	14.21	170123	1.7222	21.42	180042	1.1678	16.29

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
180043	1.1248	16.61
180044	1.1967	17.82
180045	1.3993	17.73
180046	1.0431	17.91
180047	1.0016	15.04
180048	1.2482	19.57
180049	1.3489	16.08
180050	1.2187	18.48
180051	1.3876	15.68
180053	1.0545	14.63
180054	1.0894	16.39
180055	1.2204	14.64
180056	1.1028	16.62
180058	1.0446	14.36
180059	0.8725	14.26
180060	....	7.21
180063	1.0690	11.91
180064	1.1791	14.49
180065	1.0777	20.03
180066	1.0801	18.56
180067	1.8973	18.53
180069	1.1243	17.30
180070	1.1056	13.84
180072	1.1200	17.85
180075	....	15.07
180078	1.0782	19.16
180079	1.1816	13.41
180080	1.0820	15.83
180087	1.2278	14.97
180088	1.6070	22.53
180092	1.2232	16.31
180093	1.4180	16.83
180094	1.0265	12.51
180095	1.1312	13.40
180099	1.0423	13.70
180101	1.1766	19.56
180102	1.4368	17.88
180103	2.3182	19.22
180104	1.5485	18.87
180105	0.8828	14.08
180106	0.8754	13.61
180108	0.8268	14.62
180115	0.9601	17.11
180116	1.2335	16.94
180117	1.1154	18.38
180118	0.9648	12.15
180120	1.0190	17.81
180121	1.1698	14.51
180122	1.0646	16.97
180123	1.3537	19.00
180124	1.3291	18.41
180125	1.1248	19.73
180126	1.1140	12.40
180127	1.2901	17.35
180128	1.0665	17.05
180129	0.9823	17.86
180130	1.4358	19.01
180132	1.2696	17.26
180133	1.3414	22.23
180134	1.0880	13.63
180136	1.8166	17.71
180138	1.2012	18.61
180139	1.0562	18.77
180140	0.9802	20.40

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
180141	1.8686	20.01
180142	1.7788	....
190001	0.8863	17.01
190002	1.6872	18.84
190003	1.3293	22.15
190004	1.4227	17.54
190005	1.5108	16.71
190006	1.4657	17.73
190007	1.0554	13.60
190008	1.6184	16.89
190009	1.2835	14.21
190010	1.2068	17.02
190011	1.1462	15.17
190013	1.3006	16.57
190014	1.1871	17.02
190015	1.2674	18.19
190017	1.3423	15.79
190018	1.1075	16.98
190019	1.7884	17.40
190020	1.1993	17.31
190025	1.3078	16.07
190026	1.5549	17.22
190027	1.5154	16.19
190029	1.1492	17.11
190033	0.9722	10.74
190034	1.1721	16.51
190036	1.6846	19.94
190037	0.9642	12.02
190039	1.4096	17.17
190040	1.3336	20.32
190041	1.6062	17.90
190043	1.0448	12.57
190044	1.1671	17.20
190045	1.4154	21.69
190046	1.4275	19.35
190048	1.2068	16.34
190049	0.9395	16.42
190050	1.0788	15.38
190053	1.1493	12.50
190054	1.2992	16.47
190059	0.8878	15.84
190060	1.3886	18.37
190064	1.5235	19.90
190065	1.4997	19.39
190071	0.8370	13.59
190077	0.8845	12.83
190078	1.1084	13.50
190079	1.3543	17.29
190081	0.8862	12.02
190083	1.0663	16.14
190086	1.3280	14.93
190088	1.2140	19.63
190089	1.1095	12.79
190090	1.0412	16.56
190092	....	18.07
190095	0.9939	15.73
190098	1.5467	19.22
190099	1.1795	18.92
190102	1.6260	19.05
190103	0.9068	15.57
190106	1.1248	17.75
190109	1.2488	14.53
190110	0.9773	12.99
190111	1.5936	20.04

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
190112	1.6944	19.21
190113	1.3926	18.99
190114	1.0180	12.91
190115	1.2776	20.49
190116	1.1970	12.59
190118	0.9984	12.95
190120	0.9918	13.69
190122	1.2902	14.83
190124	1.6262	22.38
190125	1.4998	18.63
190128	1.1960	19.71
190130	1.0021	12.43
190131	1.2634	19.60
190133	1.0829	13.48
190134	1.0010	12.68
190135	1.4336	21.35
190136	0.9974	11.33
190138	....	22.71
190140	0.9506	12.03
190142	0.9173	14.98
190144	1.1852	16.84
190145	0.9756	13.99
190146	1.5254	20.09
190147	0.9772	14.32
190148	0.9409	14.02
190149	0.9956	15.19
190151	1.0763	11.92
190152	1.5099	20.40
190155	....	11.08
190156	0.9523	12.48
190158	1.2658	19.62
190160	1.2656	18.47
190161	1.0796	14.63
190162	1.2953	19.50
190164	1.1850	16.33
190167	1.1283	16.29
190170	0.9051	13.58
190173	1.3386	19.64
190175	1.4211	20.69
190176	1.6017	18.82
190177	1.7127	20.32
190178	0.9284	10.49
190182	1.3004	20.03
190183	1.1900	16.11
190184	0.9948	14.86
190185	1.3089	19.37
190186	0.9392	16.36
190189	....	26.54
190190	0.9164	18.67
190191	1.1467	18.14
190196	0.9433	14.87
190197	1.1821	17.92
190199	1.1077	13.42
190200	1.4896	19.41
190201	1.0997	19.14
190202	1.1257	17.90
190203	1.4077	21.31
190204	1.4949	21.21
190205	1.9200	18.10
190206	1.6311	20.06
190207	1.2616	17.67
190208	0.8087	14.61
190218	1.0606	18.16
190223	....	19.26

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
190227	1.0049	12.11	210019	1.6155	19.09	220051	1.1795	20.48
190231	1.5630	16.89	210022	1.4895	21.82	220052	1.2991	23.14
190235	....	18.27	210023	1.4521	21.80	220053	1.1633	21.27
190236	1.4200	22.18	210024	1.6929	19.56	220055	1.2850	21.57
190237	2.6647	....	210025	1.3269	19.57	220057	1.3594	23.00
190238	1.6631	....	210026	1.3215	11.64	220058	1.1555	20.19
190239	1.1565	....	210027	1.2849	18.49	220060	1.2301	26.17
190240	0.9574	....	210028	1.1685	18.86	220062	0.5637	20.06
200001	1.3477	17.49	210029	1.2770	22.39	220063	1.2667	20.95
200002	1.1150	18.77	210030	1.2555	21.02	220064	1.2836	22.18
200003	1.0964	16.74	210031	1.3110	15.59	220065	1.3716	20.20
200006	1.0846	19.80	210032	1.1818	18.50	220066	1.3505	20.46
200007	1.0259	17.89	210033	1.2403	19.91	220067	1.2871	25.74
200008	1.2221	20.50	210034	1.3179	16.12	220068	....	6.45
200009	1.8810	20.64	210035	1.3496	20.61	220070	1.2178	19.77
200012	1.1802	17.01	210037	1.2643	18.74	220071	1.9238	24.65
200013	1.1132	16.49	210038	1.4168	23.26	220073	1.3020	25.87
200015	....	20.11	210039	1.1908	20.73	220074	1.3357	24.05
200016	1.0407	17.66	210040	1.3185	25.08	220075	1.7963	21.54
200017	....	19.65	210043	1.2817	18.59	220076	1.2498	24.78
200018	1.2100	17.24	210044	1.3636	22.24	220077	1.8273	24.80
200019	1.2510	18.64	210045	1.0866	9.69	220079	1.1002	21.01
200020	1.1517	20.60	210048	1.2884	22.39	220080	1.3051	20.50
200021	1.1958	19.41	210049	1.1698	17.67	220081	0.9211	25.34
200023	0.8393	14.92	210051	1.4028	20.76	220082	1.2653	20.02
200024	1.4795	18.65	210054	1.3672	23.51	220083	1.1883	23.08
200025	1.2498	19.07	210055	1.3640	20.10	220084	1.2499	24.66
200026	0.9907	17.28	210056	1.3926	20.94	220086	1.7929	30.46
200027	1.2361	18.28	210057	1.3578	22.57	220088	1.6454	23.38
200028	0.9208	16.93	210058	1.5015	21.50	220089	1.2586	21.79
200031	1.2276	15.90	210059	1.1916	23.13	220090	1.2248	21.64
200032	1.3282	17.92	210060	1.2744	....	220092	1.1846	17.04
200033	1.7827	21.40	210061	1.1312	20.02	220094	....	21.99
200034	1.2767	19.24	220001	1.2885	26.32	220095	1.1895	21.45
200037	1.2288	18.24	220002	1.4675	22.58	220098	1.3182	20.86
200038	1.1403	19.21	220003	1.1126	19.14	220100	1.3672	25.35
200039	1.2567	20.29	220004	....	20.01	220101	1.4445	24.33
200040	1.1161	19.30	220006	1.3858	22.12	220104	1.4751	27.53
200041	1.1251	17.66	220008	1.2978	21.89	220105	1.2705	21.69
200043	0.8011	16.54	220010	1.3476	21.92	220106	1.2167	24.55
200050	1.2048	18.08	220011	1.0966	28.57	220107	....	20.27
200051	0.9887	19.59	220012	1.3138	29.51	220108	1.1918	22.64
200052	0.9951	15.12	220015	1.1694	21.78	220110	2.1062	29.19
200055	1.0880	17.17	220016	1.3440	23.14	220111	1.2452	23.05
200062	0.9532	16.51	220017	1.3512	25.26	220116	1.9074	24.97
200063	1.2440	19.67	220019	1.1553	19.13	220118	....	30.52
200066	1.1429	16.34	220020	1.2443	19.99	220119	1.2606	22.86
210001	1.4433	18.73	220021	....	23.63	220123	1.0333	27.31
210002	2.0494	22.84	220023	0.6351	18.76	220126	1.2501	20.96
210003	1.6842	25.37	220024	1.2152	21.59	220128	....	20.56
210004	1.3603	23.59	220025	1.1428	19.94	220133	0.6976	35.27
210005	1.2944	19.62	220028	1.4561	22.07	220135	1.2820	25.08
210006	1.1143	17.77	220029	1.1597	21.87	220153	1.0071	23.90
210007	1.8010	21.54	220030	1.1060	14.54	220154	0.9026	22.13
210008	1.3067	19.50	220031	1.9047	28.16	220162	1.5209	....
210009	1.8608	21.81	220033	1.2607	20.41	220163	2.0316	27.35
210010	1.1389	14.38	220035	1.3065	22.00	220171	1.6823	23.43
210011	1.3616	21.24	220036	1.6250	24.16	230001	1.1547	19.20
210012	1.6214	23.43	220038	1.3105	22.35	230002	1.2729	21.91
210013	1.3595	18.85	220041	1.1892	23.15	230003	1.1440	19.61
210015	1.3058	16.69	220042	1.2714	25.28	230004	1.6897	22.03
210016	1.8547	22.15	220046	1.3199	22.47	230005	1.2524	19.40
210017	1.2502	17.17	220049	1.2818	23.03	230006	1.0497	18.47
210018	1.2626	21.41	220050	1.1650	20.83	230007	....	19.43

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
230012	0.9628	18.67
230013	1.3746	20.63
230015	1.1422	20.43
230017	1.6115	20.40
230019	1.5321	21.32
230020	1.7453	21.32
230021	1.5006	18.57
230022	1.2699	19.76
230024	1.4264	27.96
230027	1.0344	18.03
230029	1.5752	21.06
230030	1.3412	17.70
230031	1.4268	17.53
230032	1.7439	20.68
230034	1.2703	17.23
230035	1.0782	17.56
230036	1.2543	21.76
230037	1.1759	19.07
230038	1.7538	23.39
230040	1.1335	20.39
230041	1.2547	19.03
230042	1.2347	19.49
230046	1.9274	25.95
230047	1.3582	20.64
230053	1.5879	22.18
230054	1.8393	19.54
230055	1.1717	19.84
230056	0.9022	16.41
230058	1.1008	18.23
230059	1.4590	19.51
230060	1.3289	17.87
230062	0.9642	16.30
230063	1.2580	20.22
230065	1.3189	21.15
230066	1.3680	21.51
230069	1.1982	21.79
230070	1.6482	20.06
230071	1.1143	22.16
230072	1.2345	20.43
230075	1.5088	19.43
230076	1.4056	23.82
230077	2.0633	20.39
230078	1.1156	16.25
230080	1.2475	18.91
230081	1.2085	17.95
230082	1.1156	17.74
230085	1.2336	17.54
230086	0.9507	16.98
230087	1.0836	15.77
230089	1.2845	21.39
230092	1.3584	18.96
230093	1.2332	20.19
230095	1.1754	16.78
230096	1.1056	22.56
230097	1.6198	20.10
230099	1.1227	20.25
230100	1.1434	13.11
230101	1.0673	18.61
230103	1.0518	19.60
230104	1.5580	23.47
230105	1.8005	20.88
230106	1.1850	18.35
230107	0.9423	14.67
230108	1.2110	17.42

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
230110	1.3149	17.80
230113	0.8490	11.17
230115	1.0569	16.47
230116	0.8683	16.36
230117	1.9017	23.94
230118	1.1392	21.71
230119	1.3553	23.96
230120	1.1300	19.64
230121	1.2281	20.08
230122	1.3510	18.09
230124	1.1665	18.89
230125	....	15.35
230128	1.4062	23.58
230130	1.6919	22.52
230132	1.3454	26.17
230133	1.2277	17.57
230134	....	15.32
230135	1.2335	22.74
230137	....	18.34
230141	1.6286	23.05
230142	1.2833	20.12
230143	1.2819	16.45
230144	1.1235	20.99
230145	1.1243	16.60
230146	1.2623	18.63
230147	1.4122	20.51
230149	1.1319	14.17
230151	1.4152	20.89
230153	1.0656	17.33
230154	0.8898	14.58
230155	1.0291	16.99
230156	1.7492	23.61
230157	1.1708	19.72
230159	1.0227	18.84
230162	0.9486	17.77
230165	1.9365	23.31
230167	1.7523	20.32
230169	1.3609	22.86
230171	1.0638	14.96
230172	1.1814	20.22
230174	1.3724	20.85
230175	2.3801	21.81
230176	1.2161	21.86
230178	0.9470	16.08
230180	1.1228	15.48
230184	1.2506	17.29
230186	1.1355	....
230188	1.1192	15.56
230189	0.9459	15.91
230190	0.8855	23.71
230191	0.9307	17.12
230193	1.3034	20.18
230195	1.3593	22.37
230197	1.4069	21.62
230199	1.1010	18.40
230201	1.2559	15.32
230204	1.3711	22.95
230205	0.9890	13.89
230207	1.2528	20.35
230208	1.2993	17.15
230211	0.9109	17.51
230212	1.0548	22.14
230213	0.9300	15.32
230216	1.5720	19.59

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
230217	1.2676	20.95
230219	0.8629	20.70
230221 *	....	21.50
230222	1.3905	20.84
230223	1.2683	21.50
230227	1.4220	21.38
230230	1.5842	22.53
230232	....	12.64
230235	1.0983	15.95
230236	1.3279	23.22
230239	1.1721	19.23
230241	1.1923	18.85
230244	1.4096	21.08
230253	0.9601	21.95
230254	1.2941	21.28
230257	0.9124	20.47
230259	1.1378	21.15
230264	1.6854	15.18
230269	1.3102	22.81
230270	1.2083	20.08
230273	1.5194	23.40
230275	0.5244	17.60
230276	0.5657	18.58
230277	1.2485	22.50
230278	....	16.66
230279	0.6550	16.04
230280	1.0995	14.22
230283	2.2592	....
240001	1.5332	22.85
240002	1.7586	23.02
240004	1.5987	23.92
240005	0.8865	16.98
240006	1.1679	27.11
240007	1.0673	16.98
240008	1.1473	21.81
240009	0.9476	16.69
240010	1.9899	23.63
240011	1.1456	18.96
240013	1.2868	18.97
240014	1.0965	21.86
240016	1.3894	19.86
240017	1.1545	17.23
240018	1.2702	19.07
240019	1.1855	20.99
240020	1.1176	19.57
240021	0.9884	17.40
240022	1.1043	19.16
240023	0.9797	20.39
240025	1.0961	17.25
240027	1.0734	16.25
240028	1.1427	19.38
240029	1.1507	17.99
240030	1.2812	18.44
240031	0.9279	18.07
240036	1.5882	20.33
240037	1.0148	18.46
240038	1.5017	26.35
240040	1.2710	19.90
240041	1.1727	19.21
240043	1.2209	17.31
240044	1.1345	18.92
240045 *	1.1610	20.99
240047 *	1.5761	21.86
240048	....	23.31

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
240049	....	22.13
240050	1.2090	24.50
240051	0.9678	18.23
240052	1.3021	19.22
240053	1.4864	21.20
240056	1.2521	22.29
240057	1.8388	23.24
240058	0.9316	14.91
240059	1.0446	21.96
240061	1.7835	25.56
240063	1.4484	23.54
240064	1.3231	20.76
240065	1.1449	12.55
240066	1.3065	22.05
240069	1.1937	19.18
240071	1.1063	19.19
240072	1.0228	18.00
240073	0.8981	15.63
240075	1.2006	21.19
240076	1.0723	21.07
240077	0.8989	14.95
240078	1.5437	22.71
240079	0.9531	17.82
240080 *	1.6136	23.73
240082	1.1218	18.03
240083	1.2912	19.29
240084	1.3307	19.61
240085	1.0393	18.02
240086	1.0475	15.33
240087	1.1670	17.06
240088	1.4004	21.02
240089	0.9225	18.42
240090	1.1253	18.05
240093	1.2993	18.68
240094	0.9638	20.57
240096	0.9927	18.34
240097	1.1145	23.62
240098	0.9306	20.60
240099	1.0713	14.38
240100	1.2877	19.19
240101	1.2098	17.75
240102	0.9288	15.56
240103	1.2084	16.88
240104	1.1678	24.02
240105	....	14.79
240106	1.3974	23.78
240107	0.9782	19.03
240108	0.9821	16.46
240109	0.9815	13.15
240110	0.9379	17.28
240111	0.9930	17.04
240112	0.9784	15.32
240114	0.9362	15.49
240115	1.6103	22.16
240116	0.9259	15.18
240117	1.1442	17.57
240119	0.8629	22.50
240121	0.9090	21.37
240122	1.0827	18.04
240123	1.0155	15.60
240124	0.9602	19.05
240125	0.9736	13.15
240127	1.0148	14.77
240128	1.1120	16.08

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
240129	0.9953	15.42
240130	0.9313	15.65
240132	1.2720	24.50
240133	1.2168	18.52
240135	0.9183	13.60
240137	1.1747	19.18
240138	0.9423	13.74
240139	0.9616	17.02
240141	1.1570	21.99
240142	1.0110	20.61
240143	0.9629	14.28
240144	1.0633	15.87
240145	0.9100	15.00
240146 *	0.9093	16.75
240148	1.0294	11.34
240150	0.8795	12.83
240152	1.0247	20.20
240153	1.0013	15.61
240154	1.0226	17.06
240155	0.9164	20.42
240157	1.0213	14.69
240160	1.0612	16.60
240161	1.0365	15.42
240162	1.0748	19.04
240163	0.9730	17.87
240166	1.1543	16.39
240169	0.9599	18.62
240170	1.1064	17.65
240171	1.0064	16.72
240172	0.9741	16.07
240173	0.9970	16.74
240179	1.0360	16.65
240184	0.9619	14.40
240187	1.2498	17.51
240193	1.0038	16.30
240196	0.6772	23.27
240200	0.9005	14.73
240205	0.9227	....
240206	0.8330	....
240207	1.2623	23.33
240210	1.2530	23.84
240211	0.9634	20.55
250001	1.6314	18.14
250002	0.8836	15.60
250003	0.9911	15.66
250004	1.5373	17.12
250005	0.9463	12.00
250006	0.9631	15.70
250007	1.2281	19.16
250008	1.0321	13.32
250009	1.2665	16.18
250010	1.0095	13.34
250012	0.9332	18.48
250015	1.0380	11.07
250017	1.0279	17.30
250018	0.9334	13.47
250019	1.4828	17.15
250020	0.9516	14.06
250021	0.8435	9.08
250023	0.8955	13.54
250024	0.8985	11.59
250025	1.1484	17.89
250027	0.9764	12.42
250029	0.8697	14.85

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
250030	0.9198	13.63
250031	1.2472	18.77
250032	1.2183	17.30
250033	1.0130	15.76
250034	1.5442	18.13
250035	0.8342	17.41
250036	0.9965	13.79
250037	0.8826	10.32
250038	0.9386	13.62
250039	0.9969	16.51
250040	1.3140	15.64
250042	1.2627	16.47
250043	0.9006	13.65
250044	0.9882	16.75
250045	1.2709	19.48
250047	0.9058	12.10
250048	1.5287	15.71
250049	0.8842	10.76
250050	1.2687	13.92
250051	0.9276	9.60
250057	1.1769	14.29
250058	1.1854	15.42
250059	1.0826	14.30
250060	0.7514	7.99
250061	0.8571	13.97
250063	0.8309	14.97
250065	0.8940	12.68
250066	0.9147	14.33
250067	1.1605	15.29
250068	0.8225	11.43
250069	1.2675	15.77
250071	0.9001	11.21
250072	1.4320	16.93
250077	0.9344	11.41
250078	1.5476	15.46
250079	0.8556	19.06
250081	1.2710	16.14
250082	1.4184	14.02
250083	0.9424	9.20
250084	1.0972	19.74
250085	0.9906	13.85
250088	0.9812	16.75
250089	1.0895	13.05
250093	1.1743	15.09
250094	1.3417	17.85
250095	0.9930	16.36
250096	1.2105	17.07
250097	1.2839	18.41
250098	0.9397	14.30
250099	1.2925	14.41
250100	1.2679	16.60
250101	0.8835	16.31
250102	1.5198	20.02
250104	1.4479	17.54
250105	0.9368	14.60
250107	0.8802	13.63
250109	0.8898	14.55
250112	0.9928	14.20
250117	1.0623	14.52
250119	1.0646	12.74
250120	1.0648	14.41
250122	1.1767	17.71
250123	1.2182	17.41
250124	0.9343	12.67

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
250125	1.2795	14.49
250126	0.9354	14.71
250127	0.9230	....
250128	1.0364	13.00
250131	1.0826	10.28
250134	0.9628	17.98
250136	0.9183	18.05
250138	1.2050	17.60
250141	1.2056	17.12
250145	0.8696	11.40
250146	0.9386	13.28
250148	1.2354	14.82
250149	0.9692	12.98
250150	1.2560	....
260001	1.6540	17.55
260002	1.4391	20.59
260003	1.1323	14.35
260004	0.9723	13.75
260005	1.6472	19.71
260006	1.5189	18.94
260008	1.0522	16.25
260009	1.2922	17.94
260011	1.5359	18.34
260012	1.0022	14.46
260013	1.1867	15.54
260015	1.1785	21.33
260017	1.1731	15.80
260018	0.8870	12.23
260019	1.1516	23.67
260020	1.8132	21.86
260021	1.4382	17.57
260022	1.2554	19.35
260023	1.4184	15.82
260024	0.9715	13.47
260025	1.3027	14.94
260027	1.6141	21.01
260029	1.1888	17.47
260030	1.1362	11.24
260031	1.5369	18.30
260032	1.7266	20.81
260034	1.0233	17.90
260035	1.0041	12.59
260036	1.0016	18.31
260039	1.0672	14.20
260040	1.6822	15.39
260042	1.2519	17.44
260044	1.0069	17.12
260047	1.6386	17.28
260048	1.2523	21.43
260050	1.0553	18.74
260052	1.3718	17.75
260053	1.1217	12.01
260054	1.3547	17.37
260055	0.9682	13.80
260057	1.0095	15.33
260059	1.2434	15.79
260061	1.1184	15.01
260062	1.1843	20.26
260063	1.0723	16.85
260064	1.3324	16.50
260065	1.7738	18.47
260066	1.0120	14.42
260067	0.8925	12.16
260068	1.6794	19.83

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
260070	1.0404	21.69
260073	1.0809	13.01
260074	1.2969	15.45
260077	1.7295	18.26
260078	1.1807	15.48
260079	1.0611	14.83
260080	0.9884	12.56
260081	1.6614	18.96
260082	1.1528	15.79
260085	1.5832	19.51
260086	0.9274	14.87
260091	1.6930	19.61
260094	1.1892	15.87
260095	1.3659	19.77
260096	1.5590	21.72
260097	1.1452	15.79
260100	1.0130	15.73
260102	1.0038	16.37
260103	1.3185	17.35
260104	1.7110	19.12
260105	1.8654	20.80
260107	1.4527	18.46
260108	1.8537	19.24
260109	0.9906	13.44
260110	1.6721	17.00
260113	1.2263	14.90
260115	1.2279	17.90
260116	1.1041	14.57
260119	1.2152	16.20
260120	1.1948	17.13
260122	1.0917	14.54
260123	1.0487	14.00
260127	1.0634	15.95
260128	1.0338	11.27
260129	....	14.64
260131	1.2601	19.75
260134	1.1698	16.58
260137	1.7102	15.22
260138	1.9094	21.39
260141	2.0004	17.96
260142	1.1233	16.03
260143	1.0016	11.94
260147	0.9530	13.66
260148	0.9021	10.34
260158	1.0574	12.40
260159	1.0116	18.22
260160	1.1472	16.19
260162	1.5667	20.71
260163	1.2567	14.81
260164	0.9190	14.31
260166	1.2194	19.53
260172	0.9615	12.49
260173	1.0048	11.98
260175	1.1265	16.29
260176	1.7233	19.54
260177	1.3369	20.75
260178	1.4649	21.41
260179	1.6106	20.74
260180	1.6586	18.54
260183	1.6585	20.19
260186	1.5839	18.06
260188	1.2743	18.58
260189	0.9387	10.75
260190	1.1980	18.16

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
260191	1.2861	19.34
260193	1.2221	20.51
260195	1.2459	15.95
260197	1.0938	16.46
260198	1.2956	17.64
260200	1.2113	18.88
260205	1.1124	....
260206	2.6705	....
270002	1.2833	17.19
270003	1.2141	22.13
270004	1.6968	21.34
270006	0.8808	16.19
270007	1.0011	13.17
270009	1.0256	17.70
270011	1.0498	19.82
270012	1.6001	22.88
270013	....	20.40
270014	1.8500	18.56
270016	0.9247	19.77
270017	1.2639	19.58
270019	1.0268	12.78
270021	1.1669	16.65
270023	1.2640	20.36
270026	0.9053	15.64
270027	1.0596	9.78
270028	1.1731	17.21
270029	0.9212	17.89
270032	1.1264	17.03
270033	0.8642	16.46
270035	1.0021	17.65
270036	0.9187	14.08
270039	1.0483	15.35
270040	1.1242	19.19
270041	1.0440	16.78
270044	1.1390	13.46
270046	....	17.10
270048	1.0158	15.84
270049	1.7683	21.17
270050	0.9929	18.04
270051	1.3159	18.95
270052	1.0056	14.80
270057	1.3060	20.01
270058	0.9229	14.07
270059	0.7506	15.60
270060	0.9519	14.02
270063	0.9338	14.23
270073	1.0809	15.53
270074	0.8781	....
270075	0.8274	....
270079	0.9373	15.03
270080	1.1414	14.04
270081	0.9580	15.52
270082	1.1019	16.13
270083	1.0075	20.82
270084	0.9157	16.21
280001	1.0653	17.89
280003	2.1221	22.00
280005	1.3612	18.75
280009	1.7670	18.75
280010	0.8073	16.54
280011	0.8552	13.96
280012	....	16.41
280013	1.7273	22.18
280014	0.9006	15.24

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
280015	1.0732	14.64	280097	1.0876	14.30	300021	1.0721	17.35
280017	1.0728	14.19	280098	0.8785	10.17	300022	1.1269	17.19
280018	1.0539	14.85	280101	1.0046	17.42	300023	1.3992	20.39
280020	1.8150	19.40	280102		12.94	300024	1.2667	17.95
280021	1.1771	16.69	280104	0.9207	13.38	300028	1.2841	18.05
280022	0.9681	15.71	280105	1.2342	18.78	300029	1.3193	20.90
280023	1.3944	21.24	280106	1.0160	15.54	300033	1.0937	19.85
280024	0.9612	13.91	280107	1.1351	13.46	300034	2.1599	23.52
280025	0.9712	14.27	280108	1.0616	17.22	310001	1.7867	27.60
280026	1.0404	16.06	280109	0.9496	11.06	310002	1.8508	27.87
280028	1.0763	15.89	280110	0.9845	12.30	310003	1.2979	27.42
280029	1.2096	19.05	280111	1.2649	23.08	310005	1.2978	23.05
280030	1.7625	28.71	280114	0.9211	13.56	310006	1.2138	21.56
280031	0.9956	13.22	280115	0.9774	16.43	310008	1.3295	24.95
280032	1.3462	19.39	280117	1.0941	16.82	310009	1.3238	23.19
280033	1.0807	14.93	280118	0.9071	16.92	310010	1.2493	21.11
280034		15.28	280119	0.9530		310011	1.2567	23.40
280035	0.9131	15.33	280123		20.77	310012	1.6481	26.32
280037	1.0308	16.17	280125	1.2264		310013	1.3716	22.11
280038	1.0606	16.47	290001	1.6989	22.42	310014	1.6717	28.70
280039	1.0660	15.19	290002	0.9461	20.94	310015	2.0397	26.76
280040	1.7369	18.97	290003	1.6712	25.01	310016	1.2889	26.05
280041	0.9646	13.39	290005	1.3324	17.86	310017	1.3516	26.07
280042	1.0402	15.30	290006	1.2261	19.88	310018	1.0776	24.53
280043	0.9786	15.79	290007	1.6901	29.69	310019	1.6759	23.09
280045	1.0415	14.27	290008	1.2555	20.25	310020	1.3978	19.27
280046	1.0475	13.72	290009	1.6203	22.74	310021	1.5405	22.65
280047	1.1206	18.37	290010	1.1253	14.48	310022	1.3233	20.73
280048	1.1174	14.07	290011	1.0959	16.44	310024	1.3299	22.78
280049	1.0856	15.63	290012	1.3503	21.51	310025	1.1918	22.81
280050	0.8884	15.34	290013	0.9996	17.09	310026	1.2343	23.87
280051	1.1386	15.85	290014	1.0332	18.38	310027	1.3202	21.77
280052	1.0615	13.65	290015	0.9724	17.83	310028	1.2507	23.52
280054	1.2474	17.58	290016	1.1412	12.79	310029	1.9457	23.38
280055	0.9142	12.99	290019	1.3301	20.93	310031	2.7809	25.18
280056	0.9322	14.02	290020	0.9828	26.15	310032	1.3227	23.30
280057	0.9649	15.76	290021	1.6748	21.13	310034	1.2856	21.69
280058	1.2501	17.88	290022	1.5940	24.08	310036	1.1393	19.82
280060	1.6246	28.60	290027	0.8902	16.43	310037	1.4029	27.44
280061	1.4157	17.95	290029	0.9227		310038	2.0126	25.38
280062	1.1769	13.67	290032	1.4060	22.79	310039	1.2557	22.03
280064	1.0166	15.51	290036	0.5760	18.61	310040	1.2028	23.99
280065	1.2679	18.53	290038	0.9361	23.14	310041	1.3458	23.78
280066	1.0165	11.64	290039	1.3330	25.80	310042	1.2925	24.33
280068	0.9402	10.13	290041	1.2649		310043	1.1863	22.09
280070	0.9894	13.74	290043	1.5247		310044	1.3355	20.43
280073	0.9850	17.06	300001	1.4811	21.42	310045	1.4863	28.16
280074	0.9716	15.22	300003	1.9992	23.38	310047	1.3368	24.52
280075	1.1012	13.79	300005	1.3421	19.99	310048	1.2892	23.33
280076	1.0276	13.92	300006	1.1762	18.93	310049	1.2327	24.76
280077	1.2963	19.01	300007	1.0898	19.34	310050	1.2135	22.59
280079	1.0819	9.91	300008	1.2459	16.46	310051	1.3946	25.28
280080	1.0562	14.35	300009	1.0636	20.01	310052	1.3043	22.58
280081	1.7212	20.92	300010	1.2614	19.38	310054	1.3470	24.74
280082	1.0739	13.13	300011	1.3223	21.24	310057	1.3024	20.45
280083	1.0628	17.55	300012	1.3247	23.89	310058	1.1475	26.22
280084	0.9626	11.69	300013	1.1162	18.97	310060	1.1966	19.11
280085	0.8210	21.58	300014	1.2417	19.80	310061	1.2040	20.80
280088	1.2613	22.11	300015	1.1328	19.93	310062		19.27
280089	0.8817	17.47	300016	1.2146	18.50	310063*	1.3463	21.85
280090	0.8499	14.72	300017	1.3266	22.34	310064	1.3574	24.21
280091	1.0928	15.22	300018	1.3652	20.89	310067	1.3006	22.27
280092	0.9078	14.20	300019	1.2381	20.61	310069	1.2634	24.17
280094	0.9941	15.88	300020	1.3828	21.97	310070	1.4210	25.04

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
310072	1.3713	22.22
310073	1.6775	25.63
310074	1.3809	24.46
310075	1.4097	26.46
310076	1.4991	28.90
310077	1.6678	25.06
310078	1.4298	23.48
310081	1.3501	23.89
310083	1.2768	23.68
310084	1.3292	24.09
310086	1.2175	21.44
310087	1.3344	20.89
310088	1.2069	22.34
310090	1.3904	24.24
310091	1.2672	22.01
310092	1.3248	22.34
310093	1.2003	21.23
310096	1.9959	26.30
310105	1.2465	24.49
310108	1.4314	22.88
310110	1.2678	20.14
310111	1.2705	21.72
310112	1.3062	22.52
310113	1.2906	22.95
310115	1.3002	20.07
310116	1.2884	25.24
310118	1.2517	24.54
310119	1.7352	29.48
310120	1.2046	21.69
310121	....	18.74
320001	1.5262	17.85
320002	1.3456	22.46
320003	1.0767	15.35
320004	1.2930	17.24
320005	1.3126	19.87
320006	1.3526	18.65
320009	1.5990	17.64
320011	1.1443	16.55
320012	1.0529	16.00
320013	1.1406	23.84
320014	1.0812	15.97
320016	1.1821	18.93
320017	1.1290	18.15
320018	1.5139	18.19
320019	1.5059	19.26
320021	1.8101	17.16
320022	1.2310	15.84
320023	1.0211	16.42
320030	1.1556	16.53
320031	0.9386	13.99
320032	0.8993	18.75
320033	1.1749	20.31
320035	1.0245	25.74
320037	1.1621	17.08
320038	1.2322	16.29
320046	1.4424	19.00
320048	1.4140	19.17
320056	1.1122	....
320057	0.9720	....
320058	0.8862	....
320059	1.0597	....
320060	0.9253	....
320061	1.1311	....
320062	0.8525	....

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
320063	1.2513	19.83
320065	1.2154	16.10
320067	0.8614	57.48
320068	0.8969	18.18
320069	0.9807	11.31
320070	0.9556	....
320074	1.0870	18.65
320079	1.2126	17.07
330001	1.1862	25.21
330002	1.4469	26.39
330003	1.3432	18.05
330004	1.3046	19.96
330005	1.6831	24.28
330006	1.3374	25.92
330007	1.3674	18.80
330008	1.1788	18.07
330009	1.3298	30.42
330010	1.2856	14.74
330011	1.3008	18.04
330012	1.7199	31.51
330013	2.0374	19.99
330014	1.3839	27.57
330016	0.9911	17.41
330019	1.3601	32.45
330020	1.0286	14.55
330023	1.3009	24.27
330024	1.8309	33.62
330025	1.1220	16.03
330027	1.4693	32.50
330028	1.4098	27.08
330029	1.1581	16.56
330030	1.4112	15.06
330033	1.3199	16.75
330034	0.5292	30.78
330036	1.2759	24.32
330037	1.2233	16.00
330038	1.1832	16.01
330039	....	12.47
330041	1.2880	30.42
330043	1.3066	27.63
330044	1.2646	18.70
330045	1.3781	27.17
330046	1.4593	31.98
330047	1.1968	17.69
330048	1.2630	17.62
330049	1.2706	19.31
330053	1.2225	15.67
330055	1.5732	30.73
330056	1.4694	30.22
330057	1.6858	18.69
330058	1.3130	16.98
330059	1.6062	32.23
330061	1.2760	25.07
330062	1.0970	15.28
330064	1.4280	32.87
330065	1.2350	18.37
330066	1.2659	19.94
330067	1.3299	21.29
330072	1.4098	29.31
330073	1.1707	15.88
330074	1.2820	18.16
330075	1.0916	17.43
330078	1.4535	17.49
330079	1.2806	16.76

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
330080	1.2173	26.88
330084	1.0649	23.03
330085	1.2898	18.78
330086	1.2276	30.69
330088	1.0486	25.62
330090	1.5583	18.68
330091	1.3777	18.53
330092	1.0002	12.65
330094	1.2368	17.72
330095	1.3283	18.55
330096	1.1192	16.60
330097	1.2475	16.96
330100	0.9953	28.11
330101	1.7932	31.31
330102	1.3020	17.52
330103	1.2013	16.52
330104	1.4136	28.77
330106	1.7013	35.87
330107	1.2670	28.08
330108	1.2378	17.08
330111	1.0739	15.20
330114	0.9034	18.24
330115	1.1307	16.56
330116	0.8479	24.23
330118	1.6335	20.76
330119	1.7050	34.75
330121	1.0145	15.85
330122	1.0108	21.20
330125	1.8904	19.75
330126	1.1356	22.70
330127	1.3751	29.33
330128	1.3130	27.87
330132	1.2002	14.70
330133	1.3616	32.38
330135	1.1987	18.33
330136	1.3304	17.60
330140	1.8211	19.50
330141	1.3368	25.14
330144	0.9874	15.51
330148	1.0682	15.04
330151	1.0931	13.97
330152	1.4635	29.48
330153	1.7143	17.50
330154	1.7631	....
330157	1.3782	20.82
330158	1.4535	26.05
330159	1.2598	18.02
330160	1.4258	30.57
330162	1.2112	27.72
330163	1.2668	20.46
330164	1.3673	19.48
330166	1.0666	14.18
330167	1.7231	31.18
330169	1.4422	33.45
330171	1.3081	25.43
330175	1.1866	16.69
330177	0.9536	14.54
330179	0.8480	12.69
330180	1.2149	15.53
330181	1.3246	32.47
330182	2.5278	30.93
330183	1.4710	20.00
330184	1.3687	27.49
330185	1.2683	26.95

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage	Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
330188	1.2749	18.72	330285	1.8724	22.47	340028	1.5314	17.72
330189	1.1706	17.66	330286	1.3300	25.09	340030	2.0552	20.05
330191	1.3142	18.86	330290	1.7078	32.58	340031	0.9565	12.39
330193	1.3094	29.80	330293	1.1263	15.38	340032	1.3524	20.47
330194	1.8144	35.57	330304	1.2300	29.37	340035	1.0956	18.10
330195	1.6168	31.39	330306	1.3461	27.62	340036	1.1292	16.97
330196	1.2584	28.45	330307	1.3055	20.74	340037	1.0029	15.53
330197	1.1217	17.00	330308	....	36.84	340038	1.1014	17.01
330198	1.3910	23.81	330314	1.3296	24.74	340039	1.2603	20.15
330199	1.3867	27.66	330316	1.2613	28.79	340040	1.7863	20.12
330201	1.6595	30.33	330327	0.8785	16.97	340041	1.2563	17.76
330202	1.3321	30.79	330331	1.3605	31.04	340042	1.1906	16.63
330203 *	1.3921	19.24	330332	1.2161	27.16	340044	1.1019	16.37
330204	1.3626	29.37	330333	1.2122	....	340045	1.0204	12.42
330205	1.2157	19.46	330336	1.3033	30.17	340047	1.8911	19.60
330208	1.2524	25.82	330338	1.2486	23.01	340048	0.6134	....
330209	1.2116	24.88	330339	0.9024	19.67	340049	0.7590	16.50
330211	1.1008	19.10	330340	1.1804	26.92	340050	1.1658	18.56
330212	1.1242	21.18	330350	1.7352	30.38	340051	1.2890	18.60
330213	1.1317	18.51	330353	1.2745	33.55	340052	0.9879	21.37
330214	1.8270	32.20	330354	1.5861	....	340053	1.6306	19.49
330215	1.2136	17.58	330357	1.3424	34.75	340054	1.1743	14.47
330218	1.0783	21.71	330359	....	29.29	340055	1.2601	18.18
330219	1.6448	22.15	330372	1.2139	22.50	340060	1.0858	17.92
330221	1.2958	32.21	330381	1.2974	29.24	340061	1.7102	20.85
330222	1.2727	17.81	330385	1.1317	28.84	340063	1.0122	16.92
330223	1.0436	17.28	330386	1.1738	24.67	340064	1.1554	17.26
330224	1.2609	21.97	330387	0.7617	....	340065	1.3341	18.32
330225	1.1997	25.80	330389	1.7654	32.42	340067	1.0091	18.61
330226	1.2800	17.67	330390	1.3622	29.79	340068	1.2353	16.70
330229	1.3032	16.25	330393	1.7228	27.99	340069	1.8140	19.99
330230	1.3323	28.86	330394	1.5602	18.77	340070	1.2558	18.63
330231	1.0181	29.09	330395	1.3551	37.68	340071	1.1412	16.37
330232	1.2621	19.50	330396	1.1225	30.72	340072	1.1342	15.60
330233	1.4710	33.30	330397	1.3731	31.00	340073	1.3877	20.69
330234	2.3453	33.33	330398	1.3883	30.32	340075	1.2374	18.21
330235	1.1654	19.45	330399	1.2650	35.52	340080	0.9827	16.85
330236	1.3908	30.70	330400	0.8755	....	340084	1.1280	21.78
330238	1.2201	14.80	340001	1.4550	19.01	340085	1.1684	16.24
330239	1.2099	17.28	340002	1.8335	18.78	340087	1.1121	16.70
330240	1.3684	30.48	340003	1.1380	21.97	340088	1.2483	19.83
330241	2.0212	22.60	340004	1.5053	17.89	340089	0.9798	13.86
330242	1.2840	24.74	340005	1.1327	14.09	340090	1.1715	17.85
330245	1.4695	17.28	340006	1.0448	17.81	340091	1.6767	19.40
330246	1.3231	26.66	340007	1.1625	17.17	340093	1.0384	15.16
330247	0.8484	27.62	340008	1.1063	18.38	340094	1.3871	15.96
330249	1.1958	16.48	340009	....	20.50	340096	1.1735	17.98
330250	1.2389	19.56	340010	1.3066	17.65	340097	1.1300	21.37
330252	....	17.04	340011	1.1010	14.92	340098	1.5807	20.17
330254	1.1711	16.73	340012	1.1766	16.66	340099	1.1289	15.09
330258	1.2652	30.47	340013	1.2440	17.43	340101	1.0689	15.36
330259	1.4799	25.25	340014	1.5360	19.92	340104	0.8681	15.87
330261	1.3017	26.17	340015	1.2395	19.01	340105	1.3487	18.90
330263	1.0017	19.64	340016	1.1721	16.40	340106	1.1391	18.08
330264	1.1961	23.14	340017	1.2566	19.22	340107	1.2312	16.95
330265	1.3299	15.62	340018	1.1435	15.16	340109	1.3520	17.96
330267	1.3394	23.56	340019	0.9975	13.59	340111	1.1185	14.92
330268	0.9564	14.62	340020	1.2389	16.75	340112	0.9997	14.60
330270	2.0232	28.24	340021	1.2577	19.67	340113	1.8588	20.88
330273	1.2930	25.89	340022	1.1225	16.72	340114	1.6123	20.82
330275	1.3094	17.42	340023	1.3395	17.21	340115	1.5468	18.67
330276	1.1580	17.75	340024	1.1417	16.64	340116	1.8562	19.48
330277	1.1064	17.16	340025	1.2484	16.82	340119	1.2136	16.85
330279	1.2946	19.91	340027	1.2085	17.30	340120	1.1373	14.38

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
340121	1.0483	15.97
340123	1.1257	16.22
340124	1.1103	14.05
340125	1.4571	19.63
340126	1.3214	17.72
340127	1.3040	17.38
340129	1.2333	19.73
340130	1.3605	19.44
340131	1.5410	18.94
340132	1.2906	16.94
340133	1.0718	14.35
340137	1.2697	....
340138	1.0653	19.28
340141	1.7096	22.22
340142	1.1906	16.09
340143	1.4510	20.95
340144	1.2510	19.19
340145	1.3190	19.20
340146	1.0415	13.01
340147	1.2389	19.11
340148	1.3628	18.42
340151	1.2111	16.57
340153	1.8460	20.66
340155	1.3964	20.42
340156	0.7271	....
340158	1.0716	17.26
340159	1.1780	16.80
340160	1.1654	15.53
340162	....	16.64
340164	1.3637	19.68
340166	1.3042	19.17
340168	0.4833	14.75
340171	1.1348	20.05
340173	1.2020	20.21
350001	0.9646	11.73
350002	1.8448	17.28
350003	1.2042	17.43
350004	1.9290	17.90
350005	1.0889	16.03
350006	1.3866	16.62
350007	0.9036	13.28
350008	0.9709	21.70
350009	1.1851	18.28
350010	1.1308	15.28
350011	1.9286	18.49
350012	1.0793	12.73
350013	1.0590	16.68
350014	1.0326	15.79
350015	1.7240	15.87
350016	....	11.63
350017	1.3378	17.78
350018	1.0325	13.64
350019	1.7209	19.40
350021	0.9859	12.69
350023	0.9505	12.80
350024	1.0053	14.37
350025	0.9820	16.24
350027	1.0099	17.12
350029	0.8409	12.80
350030	1.0653	17.35
350033	0.9296	14.90
350034	0.9296	18.32
350035	0.8734	10.16
350038	1.0783	18.74

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
350039	1.0442	17.31
350041	0.9447	14.68
350042	1.0586	16.75
350043	1.6076	17.16
350044	0.9014	10.53
350047	1.1414	17.93
350049	1.2037	14.53
350050	0.9242	10.57
350051	0.9872	17.53
350053	1.0048	13.94
350055	0.9884	12.37
350056	0.9227	14.74
350058	0.9550	14.35
350060	0.8626	9.60
350061	1.0451	14.59
350063	0.8913	....
350064	0.8650	....
350068	2.4777	....
350069	1.2467	....
360001	1.3010	17.39
360002	1.1805	17.40
360003	1.7521	22.03
360006	1.9102	22.09
360007	1.0878	17.10
360008	1.2553	17.82
360009	1.5416	17.53
360010	1.2807	18.09
360011	1.2954	18.95
360012	1.2982	19.22
360013	1.1484	20.81
360014	1.1318	19.88
360016	1.6655	18.77
360017	1.9312	22.50
360018	1.6955	21.34
360019	1.2255	20.17
360020	1.4599	22.95
360024	1.3135	18.54
360025	1.3536	19.29
360026	1.3128	17.04
360027	1.4745	20.36
360028	1.4934	17.27
360029	1.1928	18.22
360030	1.3464	15.35
360031	1.1973	19.90
360032	1.1566	17.93
360034 *	1.3261	15.56
360035 *	1.6492	20.33
360036	1.3101	19.18
360037	2.1402	22.52
360038	1.6231	19.89
360039	1.2936	17.40
360040	1.2903	18.12
360041	1.2883	18.42
360042	1.1662	16.12
360044	1.1577	16.79
360045	1.4251	21.18
360046	1.1457	19.32
360047	1.1301	15.34
360048	1.8831	21.17
360049	1.2259	18.81
360050	1.2304	12.89
360051	1.6202	20.95
360052	1.6991	20.02
360054	1.2488	16.19

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
360055	1.3169	23.27
360056	1.3944	18.76
360057	1.0590	13.81
360058	1.1920	17.92
360059	1.6176	21.97
360062	1.4095	20.31
360063	1.1426	22.79
360064	1.6125	20.64
360065	1.2530	19.45
360066	1.6379	20.03
360067	1.1487	14.57
360068	1.7961	21.22
360069	1.1401	17.83
360070	1.7813	17.53
360071	1.4168	23.80
360072	1.2698	17.97
360074	1.2995	18.26
360075	1.3458	18.47
360076	1.3578	19.59
360077	1.6203	20.82
360078	1.2481	20.79
360079	1.8424	22.00
360080	1.1428	16.64
360081	1.3443	19.64
360082	1.2775	22.86
360083	....	18.46
360084	1.6064	20.09
360085	1.8845	21.67
360086	1.4307	17.04
360087	1.4307	20.04
360088	1.3186	22.31
360089	1.1340	20.56
360090	1.2673	20.40
360091	1.3221	21.03
360092	1.1368	15.91
360093	1.1664	18.57
360094	1.3406	18.31
360095	1.2602	18.71
360096	1.0740	17.16
360098	1.4616	18.34
360099	1.0145	18.55
360100	1.2435	17.66
360101	1.3302	22.31
360102	1.2047	19.77
360103	....	22.62
360104	1.1889	....
360106	1.2012	16.18
360107	1.2436	18.62
360108	1.0496	16.51
360109	1.0898	19.52
360112	1.9109	22.57
360113	1.3312	22.46
360114	1.0862	16.33
360115	1.3561	18.19
360116	1.1098	18.08
360118	1.3482	18.61
360121	1.2089	21.10
360123	1.2389	19.13
360125	1.1466	18.17
360126	1.2114	20.46
360127	1.1478	16.92
360128	1.1562	15.58
360129	0.9412	15.52
360130	1.0130	15.34

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
360131	1.3306	18.29
360132	1.4151	18.27
360133	1.6452	19.03
360134	1.7555	20.24
360136	1.0165	17.85
360137	1.7409	20.26
360140	0.9460	19.13
360141	1.6436	22.85
360142	1.0518	17.32
360143	1.3319	20.44
360144	1.2938	21.92
360145	1.6950	19.39
360147	1.2418	16.59
360148	1.1492	18.89
360149	1.2611	18.79
360150	1.3013	20.63
360151	1.3912	17.49
360152	1.5355	22.00
360153	1.1316	14.89
360154	1.0439	13.78
360155	1.3700	20.90
360156	1.2856	17.92
360159	1.1775	20.71
360161	1.3543	19.41
360162	1.0718	18.61
360163	1.8529	20.38
360164	....	16.16
360165	1.1711	19.48
360166	....	16.98
360170	1.4463	17.18
360172	1.3447	18.47
360174	1.2444	19.09
360175	1.1884	20.41
360176	1.1409	15.47
360177	1.3262	19.41
360178	1.3137	17.40
360179	1.4139	19.14
360180	2.1661	22.09
360184	0.5560	19.35
360185	1.2339	18.67
360186	1.0606	20.86
360187	1.4030	18.02
360188	0.9307	17.53
360189	1.1003	17.37
360192	1.3355	21.00
360193	....	17.69
360194	1.1609	17.69
360195	1.1446	19.02
360197	1.1573	19.42
360200	0.9129	17.76
360203	1.1658	15.62
360204	1.2012	19.35
360210	1.1911	20.28
360211	1.2583	19.58
360212	1.3505	20.23
360213	1.2574	18.33
360218	1.3249	18.41
360230	1.5283	21.44
360231	1.1689	13.56
360234	1.3667	22.43
360236	1.2668	19.49
360239	1.2640	19.86
360241	0.4070	22.08
360242	1.8361	....
360243	0.6859	13.58
360244	....	10.55
360245	0.7433	15.06
360247	0.4015	18.11
360248	....	21.65
370001	1.7346	21.27
370002	1.2288	14.08
370004	1.1872	16.77
370005	0.9385	17.38
370006	1.1884	12.95
370007	1.1457	17.15
370008	1.3742	17.30
370011	1.0371	14.64
370012	0.8381	10.80
370013	1.8493	18.04
370014	1.1887	19.65
370015	1.1904	17.82
370016	1.3340	16.64
370017	1.1216	12.98
370018	1.3475	14.24
370019	1.3147	16.88
370020	1.2736	13.48
370021	0.8600	11.26
370022	1.3152	17.90
370023	1.2592	16.82
370025	1.3103	16.40
370026	1.4917	16.90
370028	1.8963	19.71
370029	1.1600	13.89
370030	1.1994	15.47
370032	1.6025	16.64
370033	1.0433	12.39
370034	1.2299	14.51
370035	1.7120	18.96
370036	1.0585	11.46
370037	1.7309	17.75
370038	0.9615	12.81
370039	1.1544	16.27
370040	1.0110	14.26
370041	0.9415	17.41
370042	0.8612	14.61
370043	0.9299	16.08
370045	1.0224	12.44
370046	0.9794	18.15
370047	1.4234	15.67
370048	1.2065	17.44
370049	1.3139	19.84
370051	0.9449	12.18
370054	1.3637	16.56
370056	1.5690	18.88
370057	1.0262	14.66
370059	1.1239	16.46
370060	1.0608	15.12
370063	1.1026	17.06
370064	0.9372	8.75
370065	0.9995	16.56
370071	1.0270	14.95
370072	0.8663	14.65
370076	1.2551	12.86
370077	....	17.62
370078	1.6865	17.24
370079	0.9319	13.60
370080	0.9519	14.34
370082	0.8529	13.54
370083	0.9651	11.49
370084	1.0188	21.75
370085	0.8885	11.88
370086	1.1192	13.56
370089	1.2148	14.50
370091	1.6636	17.58
370092	0.9955	14.68
370093	1.7971	18.57
370094	1.4454	18.38
370095	0.9872	14.13
370097	1.3459	23.31
370099	1.1217	16.26
370100	0.9773	17.10
370103	0.9111	15.90
370105	1.9566	17.68
370106	1.5308	18.62
370108	0.9670	12.24
370112	1.0270	15.25
370113	1.2331	16.20
370114	1.6454	15.98
370121	1.0409	19.55
370122	1.0456	12.15
370123	1.4493	16.36
370125	0.8954	13.55
370126	0.9646	18.24
370131	0.9766	16.24
370133	1.0621	10.02
370138	1.0502	15.94
370139	1.0969	13.30
370140	1.0458	15.23
370141	1.3710	12.14
370146	1.0935	12.56
370148	1.4517	16.41
370149	1.3477	16.72
370153	1.1156	15.32
370154	1.0477	15.91
370156	1.1036	13.64
370158	1.0207	15.09
370159	1.2557	17.83
370163	0.9854	14.56
370165	1.2557	13.22
370166	1.0448	17.82
370169	1.0466	9.48
370170	1.0201	....
370171	1.0333	....
370172	0.8953	....
370173	1.0677	....
370174	0.7213	....
370176	1.2191	16.03
370177	0.9826	11.88
370178	0.9826	11.64
370179	0.9491	19.27
370180	0.9918	....
370183	1.0386	7.62
370186	0.9783	13.35
370190	1.4695	13.70
370192	1.4803	16.74
370196	0.8822	....
370197	....	21.57
370198	1.4366	....
370199	1.0710	....
370200	1.1757	....
380001	1.3054	22.03
380002	1.2171	19.48

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
380003	1.1769	24.74
380004	1.7367	23.14
380005	1.1722	23.24
380006	1.2239	20.54
380007	1.6506	24.29
380008	1.0693	21.19
380009	1.7994	25.17
380010	1.0403	19.75
380011	1.1050	21.14
380013	1.1851	20.10
380014	1.6519	23.48
380017	1.9289	23.82
380018	1.8307	22.08
380019	1.2451	20.77
380020	1.4521	21.35
380021	1.2078	20.64
380022	1.1103	21.61
380023	1.1371	19.24
380025	1.2975	24.67
380026	1.1401	19.27
380027	1.2810	20.16
380029	1.1435	18.57
380031	0.9408	22.83
380033	1.7943	23.29
380035	1.3526	21.65
380036	1.0855	19.33
380037	1.2290	21.23
380038	1.2487	25.58
380039	1.2583	22.12
380040	1.2936	21.64
380042	1.0177	19.81
380047	1.6722	21.95
380048	1.0388	18.38
380050	1.4293	18.25
380051	1.6373	21.24
380052	1.2518	17.87
380055	....	21.25
380056	1.1058	17.16
380060	1.4643	23.29
380061	1.5204	22.60
380062	1.2219	18.52
380063	....	19.36
380064	1.3264	19.87
380065	1.3064	22.17
380066	1.3262	20.42
380068	....	22.76
380069	1.0690	19.58
380070	1.3461	24.71
380071	1.2354	20.47
380072	0.9961	16.32
380075	1.4230	22.17
380078	1.1344	19.10
380081	1.0229	20.59
380082	1.2605	22.58
380083	1.1703	21.81
380084	1.2427	23.64
380087	1.2284	14.10
380088	1.0722	19.52
380089	1.2782	23.74
380090	1.2985	27.09
380091	1.2615	22.83
390001	1.5197	18.64
390002	1.3161	18.08
390003	1.2413	17.24

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
390004	1.3985	18.89
390005	1.0952	16.44
390006	1.8485	19.60
390007	1.2517	21.41
390008	1.1995	16.74
390009	1.7387	20.12
390010	1.2493	17.23
390011	1.2786	18.07
390012	1.2224	20.02
390013	1.2401	19.33
390015	1.1327	12.94
390016	1.2481	17.07
390017	1.1841	16.22
390018	1.2421	19.12
390019	1.0759	16.40
390022	1.3526	22.90
390023	1.2272	19.56
390024	1.1811	25.03
390025	0.4279	15.71
390026	1.2761	22.76
390027	1.8053	27.69
390028	1.8916	20.11
390029	2.0498	19.69
390030	1.2190	18.40
390031	1.2148	19.52
390032	1.2612	18.15
390035	1.2648	18.51
390036	1.5398	18.87
390037	1.3709	22.24
390039	1.1436	16.54
390040	0.9484	15.12
390041	1.2688	19.58
390042	1.5854	21.13
390043	1.1832	16.36
390044	1.6421	19.54
390045	1.7159	18.46
390046	1.6104	20.46
390047*	1.7392	24.58
390048	1.1549	18.38
390049	1.6110	21.13
390050	2.1144	20.92
390051	2.1338	26.05
390052	1.1515	17.10
390054	1.2341	17.44
390055	1.8882	25.90
390056	1.1205	17.17
390057	1.3152	19.75
390058	1.2858	19.25
390060	1.2088	13.63
390061	1.4942	20.48
390062	1.2444	16.45
390063	1.8378	19.64
390065	1.2322	20.00
390066	1.2608	18.71
390067	1.8018	20.65
390068	1.3033	17.55
390069	1.2663	19.28
390070	1.4159	20.19
390071	1.1073	16.23
390072	1.0501	15.56
390073	1.6671	20.69
390074	1.2469	16.60
390075	1.3924	17.27
390076	1.2799	21.43

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
390078	1.1498	18.23
390079	1.7562	18.20
390080	1.2916	19.52
390081	1.2972	23.99
390083	1.2031	20.59
390084	1.2677	16.35
390086	1.1360	17.25
390088	1.3689	23.49
390090	1.8001	20.65
390091	1.1280	18.37
390093	1.1551	16.63
390095	1.2033	13.05
390096	1.4914	19.31
390097	1.2750	21.41
390100	1.6552	20.30
390101	1.2029	17.05
390102	1.3959	19.49
390103	1.0911	17.71
390104	1.0664	15.96
390106	1.1156	16.28
390107	1.3308	19.18
390108	1.4026	21.29
390109	1.2009	14.66
390110	1.5954	21.32
390111	1.9491	28.79
390112	1.2904	14.04
390113	1.2508	17.94
390114	1.2348	22.97
390115	1.3965	24.72
390116	1.3025	20.60
390117	1.1716	16.90
390118	1.2155	16.90
390119*	1.3896	18.59
390121	1.3960	18.64
390122	1.0609	17.46
390123	1.2758	20.84
390125	1.2088	15.94
390126	1.2888	20.94
390127	1.2287	21.88
390128	1.2209	19.41
390130	1.1335	17.33
390131	1.3299	16.83
390132	1.2842	20.55
390133	1.7977	24.61
390135	1.2393	21.25
390136	1.1430	17.61
390137	1.5156	16.56
390138	1.3213	18.86
390139	1.5077	22.94
390142	1.6076	26.80
390145	1.3889	20.34
390146	1.2155	17.70
390147	1.2656	21.11
390150	1.1805	19.66
390151	1.2299	20.51
390152	1.1121	19.15
390153	1.2219	23.12
390154	1.2679	15.85
390156	1.4108	21.16
390157	1.3940	19.83
390158	....	21.60
390160	1.2390	20.77
390161	1.1929	12.37
390162	1.5211	21.02

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
390163	1.2276	15.62
390164	2.1736	21.59
390166	1.1138	19.96
390167	....	22.91
390168	1.2592	18.99
390169	1.3390	18.99
390170	1.8476	22.99
390173	1.2211	17.86
390174	1.6855	25.24
390176	1.1814	17.36
390178	1.3114	17.70
390179	1.3111	21.41
390180	1.4575	25.12
390181	1.0728	17.09
390183	1.1617	19.08
390184	1.1308	20.75
390185	1.2169	17.65
390189	1.1404	18.67
390191	1.1721	16.20
390192	1.1726	16.37
390193	1.1915	16.47
390194	1.1396	20.15
390195	1.8300	23.69
390196	1.5787	....
390197	1.2829	18.99
390198	1.2778	15.45
390199	1.2683	16.66
390200	0.9687	13.59
390201	1.2641	20.50
390203	1.3610	21.19
390204	1.2735	20.85
390206	1.3965	18.57
390209	1.0811	16.96
390211	1.2188	17.91
390213	1.1371	17.44
390215	1.2554	21.43
390217	1.2220	19.29
390219	1.3081	21.63
390220	1.1645	18.52
390222	1.3169	20.91
390223	1.7259	22.65
390224	0.8838	15.91
390225	1.1965	18.17
390226	1.6019	23.16
390228	1.2988	19.81
390231	1.5687	24.49
390233	1.3518	18.77
390235	1.4228	24.60
390236	1.2240	17.03
390237	1.5705	21.75
390238	1.2725	....
390242	....	18.09
390244	0.9246	14.41
390245	1.3207	20.15
390246	1.1923	17.92
390247	1.0508	20.67
390249	0.9293	10.73
390256	1.8873	23.78
390258	1.4564	21.36
390260	1.0990	21.19
390262	1.9277	18.67
390263	1.4217	20.09
390265	1.2937	19.51
390266	1.2120	16.24
390267	1.2587	20.51
390268	1.3361	21.02
390270	1.3866	17.83
390272	0.4775	....
390277	0.4015	27.10
390278	0.6656	19.20
390279	1.0539	13.70
390283	1.2887	....
390284	1.2825	....
400001	1.2244	9.86
400002	1.6964	9.31
400003	1.3598	9.99
400004	1.1415	8.48
400005	1.1222	7.85
400006	1.1587	10.53
400007	1.1989	7.86
400009	1.0514	8.37
400010	0.8930	11.66
400011	1.0733	5.68
400012	1.3553	7.81
400013	1.2614	8.21
400014	1.3933	9.54
400015	1.3572	10.33
400016	1.3661	12.07
400017	1.1566	8.57
400018	1.2826	9.45
400019	1.6564	10.15
400021	1.4420	9.91
400022	1.3356	11.12
400024	0.9529	7.56
400026	0.9627	7.12
400027	1.1026	8.49
400028	1.2378	8.40
400031	1.2515	9.78
400032	1.2192	9.73
400044	1.2602	11.75
400048	1.0437	8.92
400061	1.7071	12.28
400079	1.2218	7.08
400087	1.4146	10.40
400094	0.9953	7.82
400098	1.3997	7.21
400102	1.1587	7.73
400103	1.3967	10.73
400104	1.2587	9.94
400105	1.2156	10.17
400106	1.2572	8.51
400109	1.4778	10.18
400110	1.1266	10.53
400111	1.1973	9.56
400112	1.0576	12.85
400113	1.1558	9.48
400114	1.0813	6.41
400115	1.0388	9.13
400117	1.1552	10.04
400118	1.2390	8.70
400120	1.2553	9.74
400121	0.9964	7.11
400122	1.0235	8.48
400123	1.2032	9.02
400124	2.8388	11.48
400125	1.0258	....
410001	1.3403	22.53
410004	1.2575	22.32
410005	1.3736	21.24
410006	1.3072	21.98
410007	1.6369	20.95
410008	1.2134	22.61
410009	1.2947	24.08
410010	1.1607	27.14
410011	1.2718	24.37
410012	1.9093	21.33
410013	1.2981	25.01
420002	1.5001	20.20
420004	1.8174	19.41
420005	1.1360	15.99
420006	1.2057	18.24
420007	1.5723	17.58
420008	1.1691	17.25
420010	1.1771	17.91
420011	1.2447	14.99
420014	1.0205	16.72
420015	1.3354	17.18
420016	0.9537	18.15
420018	1.8084	19.73
420019	1.2188	15.55
420020	1.1847	17.90
420023	1.4285	20.97
420026	1.8906	21.90
420027	1.3311	18.08
420029	....	18.35
420030	1.2089	17.82
420031	0.8604	13.07
420033	1.1644	21.09
420036	1.2900	19.74
420037	1.1933	21.96
420038	1.3150	16.15
420039	1.0732	16.96
420042	....	14.66
420043	1.1871	18.36
420048	1.2367	18.03
420049	1.1990	19.23
420051	1.6460	18.25
420053	1.1431	16.55
420054	1.2387	16.55
420055	1.0582	16.18
420056	1.1140	15.60
420057	1.1152	14.50
420059	0.9721	19.13
420061	1.1775	16.13
420062	1.1898	18.95
420064	1.1047	15.45
420065	1.3888	19.06
420066	0.9829	15.50
420067	1.2167	18.31
420068	1.3841	17.21
420069	1.0603	16.32
420070	1.2337	17.45
420071	1.3506	18.29
420072	0.9129	12.60
420073	1.2811	19.20
420074	1.0309	13.80
420075	0.9395	16.29
420078	1.8574	20.68
420079	1.5169	18.77
420080	1.3719	24.83
420081	....	20.42
420082	1.5862	18.88

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
420083	1.3552	23.34
420085	1.4126	18.55
420086	1.4345	19.31
420087	1.5936	18.40
420088	1.0874	17.91
420089	1.2957	21.66
420091	1.2752	18.57
420093	1.0331	16.77
420094	....	32.68
430004	0.9951	17.84
430005	1.3596	15.84
430007	1.0895	14.06
430008	1.0961	16.76
430010	1.1568	16.11
430011	1.2924	16.42
430012	1.3190	17.78
430013	1.2075	17.24
430014	1.3645	18.44
430015	1.2102	16.41
430016	1.8688	18.97
430018	0.8830	14.91
430022	0.8600	12.95
430023	0.8726	11.64
430024	0.9383	13.99
430026	....	10.85
430027	1.7702	18.64
430028	1.0723	16.72
430029	0.9695	15.10
430031	0.9138	12.46
430033	0.9911	14.64
430034	0.9542	12.85
430036	0.9551	13.78
430037	0.9633	15.95
430038	1.0095	11.94
430040	1.0562	13.37
430041	0.9146	12.62
430043	1.1343	13.43
430044	0.9084	16.45
430047	1.0290	15.62
430048	1.2374	17.26
430049	0.8800	14.44
430051	0.9313	17.21
430054	0.9661	13.50
430056	0.8354	11.41
430057	0.8972	15.15
430060	1.0902	8.64
430062	....	10.89
430064	1.0315	12.74
430065	....	12.77
430066	0.9635	13.44
430073	1.0645	14.98
430076	0.9498	12.25
430077	1.6674	17.71
430079	0.9893	12.98
430081	0.8927	....
430082	0.8557	....
430083	0.9273	....
430084	0.8292	....
430085	0.7951	....
430087	....	10.45
430089	0.8333	17.01
430090	1.3132	....
430091	1.4508	....
430092	2.2027	....

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
430093	0.9944	....
440001	1.1723	15.31
440002	1.6655	18.54
440003	1.2107	17.47
440006	1.4049	20.66
440007	1.0023	7.76
440008	0.9789	15.47
440009	1.1546	15.46
440010	0.9316	13.51
440011	1.3825	17.16
440012	1.7212	19.06
440014	1.0054	14.61
440015	1.8026	21.09
440016	1.0329	14.94
440017	1.7407	21.13
440018	1.2959	18.21
440019	1.7380	28.22
440020	1.1314	15.59
440022	....	19.02
440023	1.1026	14.14
440024	1.2941	18.10
440025	1.1546	15.28
440026	0.8073	22.92
440029	1.2571	18.52
440030	1.2081	15.57
440031	1.0313	14.30
440032	1.0042	13.60
440033	1.1597	14.04
440034	1.5624	17.93
440035	1.2300	18.16
440039	1.8880	19.37
440040	0.9695	17.50
440041	1.0284	13.63
440046	1.2340	16.88
440047	0.9232	17.00
440048	1.8759	18.14
440049	1.6647	16.71
440050	1.3886	16.76
440051	0.9140	14.91
440052	1.0635	16.27
440053	1.3256	17.69
440054	1.1374	12.31
440056	1.1442	14.25
440057	1.0332	12.72
440058	1.2111	18.74
440059	1.4218	17.53
440060	1.1643	15.86
440061	1.1488	16.84
440063	1.6723	18.29
440064	1.0849	17.62
440065	1.2815	18.69
440067	1.2417	22.07
440068	1.2254	17.45
440070	1.0048	15.04
440071	1.3484	16.27
440072	1.3554	16.77
440073	1.2784	18.56
440078	1.0266	13.09
440081	1.0930	17.97
440082	2.0510	23.08
440083	0.9673	35.10
440084	1.2069	13.37
440091	1.6378	19.73
440100	1.0065	13.95

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
440102	1.1291	13.96
440103	1.1144	19.21
440104	1.7467	22.39
440105	1.7762	16.03
440109	1.0850	14.25
440110	1.1007	15.92
440111	1.4511	21.07
440114	1.0554	13.61
440115	1.0675	12.97
440120	1.6383	18.30
440125	1.5580	16.11
440130	1.1358	16.67
440131	1.1191	14.68
440132	1.1320	15.91
440133	1.5497	21.51
440135	1.2187	20.90
440137	1.0853	14.70
440141	0.9707	12.48
440142	1.0003	13.01
440143	1.0458	17.84
440144	1.2153	16.67
440145	0.9558	13.66
440147	1.7028	22.01
440148	1.1231	17.64
440149	1.0963	17.15
440150	1.3332	13.08
440151	1.1150	15.43
440152	2.0217	17.84
440153	1.1545	16.10
440156	1.5019	19.61
440157	1.0816	11.40
440159	1.1206	17.62
440161	1.8695	20.76
440162	....	14.41
440166	1.6850	18.14
440168	1.0595	15.95
440173	1.6016	18.47
440174	1.0343	17.01
440175	1.0711	17.61
440176	1.3789	18.75
440180	1.2237	17.34
440181	0.9669	11.85
440182	0.9559	20.32
440183	1.5916	19.44
440184	1.2535	18.06
440185	1.1857	18.73
440186	1.0484	18.53
440187	1.1034	16.25
440189	1.4919	16.19
440192	1.0808	19.97
440193	1.2258	18.40
440194	1.2517	20.33
440197	1.3608	23.11
440200	1.0409	16.06
440203	0.9731	16.61
440206	0.9468	15.55
440209	....	14.75
440210	0.8584	12.33
440211	0.7028	....
440212	1.3679	....
440213	2.6309	....
440214	1.3295	....
440215	3.2594	....
450002 *	1.5331	19.92

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
450004	1.1460	15.28
450005	1.1874	15.59
450007	1.2676	15.75
450008	1.2574	15.75
450010	1.4353	16.08
450011	1.5196	18.01
450014	1.1164	18.22
450015	1.6333	18.44
450016	1.6642	17.31
450018	1.4440	20.41
450020	0.9574	16.97
450021	1.8603	22.69
450023	1.4538	16.64
450024	1.4522	16.56
450025	1.7316	16.44
450028	1.4999	18.43
450029	1.6790	17.69
450031	1.4813	20.90
450032	1.3572	15.24
450033	1.7147	20.86
450034	1.5646	18.91
450035	1.4767	16.81
450037	1.5593	18.65
450039	1.4220	22.08
450040	1.5467	17.52
450042	1.7755	17.59
450044	1.6190	21.04
450046	1.3229	17.09
450047	1.1484	13.90
450050	0.9409	13.00
450051	1.5732	20.08
450052	1.0005	13.53
450053	1.1017	17.31
450054	1.6336	21.98
450055	1.1134	14.81
450056	1.6266	20.00
450058	1.6320	16.98
450059	1.3025	14.21
450063	0.9080	13.81
450064	1.4194	16.42
450065	1.0265	19.61
450068	1.9340	22.69
450072	1.1756	17.38
450073	1.1201	16.62
450076	1.7324	....
450078	0.8770	13.49
450079	1.5304	19.49
450080	1.1737	16.31
450081	1.0574	16.17
450082	0.9662	13.30
450083	1.8059	20.18
450085	1.0594	14.22
450087	1.4462	21.48
450090	1.1225	13.91
450092	1.2258	15.73
450094	1.3312	19.42
450096	1.4157	16.63
450097	1.3386	18.27
450098	1.0981	15.48
450099	1.1520	22.88
450101	1.4857	16.96
450102	1.7293	18.85
450104	1.1823	15.98
450107	1.5327	20.74

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
450108	1.0390	16.15
450109	0.9217	12.77
450110	....	21.44
450111	1.2307	19.27
450112	1.2575	14.76
450113	1.3243	18.53
450118	1.6605	15.83
450119	1.4078	18.32
450121	1.5371	18.23
450123	1.1890	19.19
450124	1.6617	21.09
450126	1.3281	17.45
450128	1.1958	15.89
450130	1.5002	17.87
450131	1.2654	17.62
450132	1.6202	18.07
450133	1.6004	19.92
450135	1.6151	20.81
450137	1.5720	23.96
450140	0.9268	18.07
450143	1.0303	14.46
450144	1.1036	16.30
450145	0.8681	14.84
450146	0.9514	14.20
450147	1.3107	18.07
450148	1.2211	22.03
450149	1.4919	24.00
450150	0.9050	15.21
450151	1.1068	14.84
450152	1.2314	17.38
450153	1.5422	19.94
450154	1.1797	13.18
450155	1.0303	23.77
450157	1.0679	14.66
450160	0.9603	8.75
450162	1.2180	22.20
450163	1.0045	16.98
450164	1.1806	20.04
450165	1.0378	15.16
450166	0.9271	10.28
450169	....	15.88
450170	0.9642	14.81
450176	1.2922	16.30
450177	1.2048	14.73
450178	1.0236	16.76
450181	1.0155	14.02
450184	1.4598	19.97
450185	1.0280	13.06
450187	1.1970	17.57
450188	0.9951	13.78
450191	1.0175	18.80
450192	1.1761	19.33
450193	2.0418	22.73
450194	1.3020	19.15
450196	1.4363	16.49
450200	1.4435	17.38
450201	1.0156	17.05
450203	1.1610	18.66
450209	1.5661	18.66
450210	1.0520	14.23
450211	1.3657	17.14
450213	1.7239	18.45
450214	1.3278	17.25
450217	0.9404	11.69

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
450219	1.0827	15.42
450221	1.1951	16.99
450222	1.4958	18.45
450224	1.3659	22.83
450229	1.5753	16.41
450231	1.6275	17.70
450234	1.0446	13.30
450235	1.0697	13.42
450236	1.1450	15.68
450237	1.5270	17.40
450239	1.0085	13.64
450241	0.9610	14.87
450243	0.9422	12.36
450246	1.0263	17.97
450249	1.0666	11.63
450250	0.9906	14.91
450253	1.1245	15.35
450258	1.0358	13.23
450259	....	17.85
450264	0.8807	13.89
450269	0.9970	14.93
450270	1.0799	12.70
450271	1.2418	15.50
450272	1.2621	17.95
450276	1.0970	12.71
450278	0.8254	13.79
450280	1.5817	19.49
450283	1.0456	13.89
450286	....	12.12
450288	1.1566	15.99
450289	1.4628	18.35
450292	1.1880	19.51
450293	0.9416	14.43
450296	1.3456	20.66
450299	1.5391	17.97
450303	0.9610	12.67
450306	1.0915	13.32
450307	0.8064	16.68
450309	1.0981	16.21
450315	0.9782	20.80
450320	1.2433	19.63
450321	0.9220	13.39
450322	0.5973	12.46
450324	1.5321	17.87
450327	0.9680	16.09
450330	1.1273	18.42
450334	0.9752	12.27
450337	0.9914	17.42
450340	1.4515	15.85
450341	1.0145	19.18
450346	1.4978	17.10
450347	1.2191	17.69
450348	1.1295	12.94
450351	1.2160	15.98
450352	1.1896	17.85
450353	1.1368	15.00
450355	1.0439	14.32
450358	2.0749	21.28
450362	1.0930	15.35
450369	1.0526	15.19
450370	1.2091	15.44
450371	1.2416	11.90
450372	1.2361	19.86
450373	1.1050	17.60

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX INDEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCURRING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
450374	0.8698	12.83
450378	1.2110	23.16
450379	1.5565	20.28
450381	0.9516	15.62
450388	1.7788	17.56
450389	1.2896	18.15
450393	1.2509	18.74
450395	1.0405	16.67
450399	0.9427	16.31
450400	1.3730	14.08
450403	1.2446	21.37
450411	0.9314	14.05
450417	1.0243	13.85
450418	1.3882	20.58
450419	1.2157	21.82
450422	1.0412	24.53
450423	1.5370	19.44
450424	1.2472	17.57
450429	1.1166	11.38
450431	1.5621	16.27
450438	1.1335	16.55
450446	0.7614	21.97
450447	1.3915	16.61
450451	1.1857	19.64
450457	1.8458	19.77
450460	1.0022	14.22
450462	1.7379	20.13
450464	0.9349	13.47
450465	1.3025	15.22
450467	1.0721	15.60
450469	1.3893	22.10
450473	1.0194	14.19
450475	1.0898	16.25
450484	1.4878	19.59
450488	1.3277	18.68
450489	0.9699	14.57
450497	1.1185	11.92
450498	0.9726	12.02
450508	1.3869	19.87
450514	1.0763	22.28
450517	0.9630	12.87
450518	1.5221	19.01
450523	1.4824	20.26
450530	1.1935	22.91
450534	0.9667	24.08
450535	1.2294	21.26
450537	1.3395	21.74
450538	....	19.69
450539	1.2636	14.25
450544	1.1451	19.38
450545	1.3921	16.97
450547	1.0630	13.81
450551	1.1015	13.91
450558	1.7932	20.02
450559	....	13.46
450561	....	16.82
450563	1.2612	30.37
450565	1.2556	16.45
450570	1.1337	17.71
450571	1.4934	16.97
450573	0.9884	15.67
450574	0.9302	14.24
450575	1.1417	19.06
450578	0.9369	16.87
450580	1.1529	15.36
450583	1.0313	15.50
450584	1.1775	13.37
450586	1.0568	12.84
450587	1.1748	17.11
450591	1.1439	17.92
450596	1.2187	14.82
450597	0.9686	16.18
450603	0.7880	12.77
450604	1.3018	15.48
450605	1.1881	20.15
450609	0.8938	10.73
450610	1.4658	16.75
450614	0.9889	13.83
450615	1.0752	14.75
450617	1.3304	19.54
450620	1.1230	13.71
450623	1.1370	21.83
450626	1.0095	19.79
450628	1.0152	16.83
450630	1.5196	19.19
450631	1.6627	17.56
450632	0.9391	12.73
450633	1.5491	20.72
450634	1.6032	20.29
450638	1.5279	19.70
450639	1.4801	20.31
450641	1.0503	13.50
450643	1.2187	17.43
450644	1.4341	20.79
450646	1.4580	19.99
450647	1.8895	22.42
450648	1.0047	14.75
450649	1.0310	15.82
450651	1.6794	20.73
450652	0.9055	16.65
450653	1.0887	19.28
450654	0.9509	13.88
450656	1.3892	18.73
450658	0.9617	15.15
450659	1.4870	20.56
450661	1.1054	20.22
450662	1.4695	18.68
450665	0.8670	15.44
450666	1.3171	19.35
450668	1.6278	18.72
450669	1.3458	22.28
450670	1.3452	18.20
450672	1.5856	21.21
450673	1.0157	13.84
450674	1.1487	20.62
450675	1.3667	23.26
450677	1.3315	18.79
450678	1.4770	20.75
450681	1.3177	....
450683	1.2748	21.17
450684	1.2383	22.85
450686	1.5942	15.01
450688	1.3164	20.90
450690	1.3880	22.41
450694	1.1399	18.49
450696	1.3358	17.57
450697	1.3675	15.93
450698	0.9133	14.40
450700	0.9460	15.12
450702	1.5056	21.01
450703	1.1321	18.80
450704	1.2429	21.62
450705	0.8800	22.32
450706	1.1954	21.38
450709	1.1866	19.77
450711	1.6330	18.24
450712	0.5453	16.89
450713	1.4858	23.60
450715	1.4308	19.77
450716	1.2691	19.99
450717	1.2889	19.45
450718	1.2002	19.07
450723	1.4188	19.70
450724	1.2574	20.07
450725	....	19.56
450727	1.0500	17.75
450728	0.8255	12.93
450730	1.3987	20.91
450733	1.4696	20.37
450735	0.8297	8.00
450742	1.2714	20.78
450743	1.4590	15.95
450746	0.9207	20.75
450747	1.3342	17.38
450749	1.0361	12.95
450750	1.0162	14.72
450751	1.2134	22.25
450754	0.9408	14.89
450755	1.1073	14.71
450757	0.8766	13.96
450758	1.9447	18.65
450760	1.2078	18.07
450761	0.9462	11.14
450763	1.0029	17.56
450766	2.0818	21.81
450769	0.8516	13.62
450770	0.9940	16.83
450771	1.9082	21.58
450774	1.6697	16.52
450775	1.3660	19.97
450776	1.0045	10.20
450777	0.9770	19.59
450779	1.2931	22.97
450780	1.7380	15.28
450785	0.9897	18.55
450788	1.5021	20.98
450794	....	18.40
450795	0.9879	14.17
450796	3.3883	17.45
450797	0.7701	18.59
450798	0.7662	9.22
450801	1.4581	16.61
450802	1.4444	18.90
450803	1.0992	16.20
450804	1.7531	20.22
450807	0.8919	13.23
450808	1.9250	45.47
450809	1.5460	19.03
450810	0.9739	....
450811	2.3971	18.38
450812	....	20.74
450813	0.9718	....

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
450815	2.5623	....
450817	0.6826	....
450818	1.2025	....
450819	1.5000	....
450820	1.0411	....
450822	1.2110	....
460001	1.7793	20.63
460003	1.6046	20.60
460004	1.7755	20.82
460005	1.6581	17.58
460006	1.3373	19.65
460007	1.3076	20.57
460008	1.3677	21.03
460009	1.8478	21.11
460010	2.0972	21.25
460011	1.3205	16.71
460013	1.4303	20.33
460014	1.2254	19.55
460015	1.2396	20.10
460016	0.9953	18.08
460017	1.3888	26.03
460018	0.9230	16.86
460019	1.0510	17.37
460020	0.9556	17.03
460021	1.3848	20.26
460022	0.9569	18.21
460023	1.1785	21.33
460024	....	13.03
460025	0.8243	12.51
460026	1.0605	17.34
460027	0.9545	20.83
460029	1.0958	17.25
460030	1.1918	17.22
460032	0.9792	19.55
460033	0.9753	15.72
460035	0.9477	14.28
460036	1.0005	22.38
460037	0.9080	18.77
460039	1.0603	24.48
460041	1.3072	21.69
460042	1.3714	17.85
460043	0.9896	23.90
460044	1.1363	20.69
460046	....	17.11
460047	1.6723	21.38
460049	1.9825	18.82
460050	....	26.25
460051	1.1552	20.98
460052	1.4619	....
470001	1.3011	19.61
470003	1.8338	22.59
470004	1.0674	18.10
470005	1.2285	21.51
470006	1.2408	18.39
470008	1.2590	19.41
470010	1.0678	19.47
470011	1.1504	21.20
470012	1.2780	18.52
470015	1.1985	19.26
470018	1.2252	20.42
470020	0.9900	18.99
470023	1.3197	20.64
470024	1.1528	20.41
490001	1.1931	24.76

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
490002	1.0751	12.99
490003	0.6419	18.00
490004	1.2740	18.77
490005	1.5963	16.91
490006	1.2147	15.23
490007	2.0919	18.43
490009	1.9489	22.95
490010	1.0335	18.58
490011	1.4655	18.75
490012	1.1933	13.78
490013	1.2476	16.93
490014	1.5333	24.56
490015	1.5161	19.36
490017	1.3895	17.32
490018	1.3002	17.94
490019	1.1951	17.53
490020	1.2248	17.67
490021	1.3810	19.45
490022	1.5532	20.72
490023	1.2186	18.96
490024	1.6762	16.89
490027	1.1131	14.42
490030	1.1907	10.50
490031	1.0734	15.82
490032	1.7204	21.56
490033	1.1999	18.33
490037	1.1999	15.97
490038	1.2143	15.71
490040	1.4733	22.52
490041	1.2747	16.55
490042	1.2324	15.27
490043	1.3532	20.68
490044	1.3213	17.63
490045	1.2161	19.63
490046	1.5370	18.61
490047	1.1078	17.16
490048	1.5688	17.89
490050	1.4272	22.71
490052	1.6372	16.94
490053	1.3233	15.69
490054	1.0319	15.55
490057	1.5739	19.07
490059	1.5941	20.37
490060	1.0645	19.20
490063	1.7721	28.25
490066	1.3274	16.50
490067	1.2535	17.19
490069	1.4182	15.70
490071	1.4083	19.47
490073	1.5076	26.14
490074	....	19.34
490075	1.3785	19.19
490077	1.2263	19.79
490079	1.3128	16.44
490083	....	16.64
490084	1.1308	16.38
490085	1.1582	16.40
490088	1.1286	15.60
490089	1.0694	15.86
490090	1.1220	16.28
490091	1.2330	19.99
490092	1.2219	15.69
490093	1.4339	16.48
490094	1.0922	16.79

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
490095	....	18.25
490097	1.2096	15.86
490098	1.2068	14.70
490099	0.9555	16.87
490100	....	17.22
490101	1.2286	25.09
490104	0.7018	28.49
490105	0.5822	18.25
490106	0.8713	16.91
490107	1.3326	22.41
490108	0.8935	19.75
490109	0.8779	21.16
490110	1.2994	15.84
490111	1.2000	17.35
490112	1.6550	20.52
490113	1.3249	23.08
490114	1.1582	16.91
490115	1.1600	17.10
490116	1.1704	16.44
490117	1.1543	13.84
490118	1.7091	20.87
490119	1.3367	17.87
490120	1.3095	19.98
490122	1.3605	23.97
490123	1.1355	16.85
490124	1.0893	19.36
490126	1.3233	18.23
490127	1.0410	14.48
490129	1.0746	27.47
490130	1.3119	16.28
490132	1.0183	17.02
500001	1.4869	21.35
500002	1.4055	21.04
500003	1.4016	24.31
500005	1.7533	23.48
500007	1.3352	22.43
500008	1.9490	24.19
500011	1.3373	25.18
500012	1.5530	22.28
500014	1.5641	23.93
500015	1.3293	23.24
500016	1.4955	23.90
500019	1.3833	22.37
500021	1.4802	24.46
500023	1.2073	27.19
500024	1.6886	24.05
500025	1.9090	23.96
500026	1.4529	23.35
500027	1.6832	25.05
500028	1.0717	18.86
500029	0.9086	16.81
500030	1.4513	24.13
500031	1.2483	23.37
500033	1.3309	21.39
500036	1.3911	21.90
500037	1.1389	19.68
500039	1.3828	23.32
500041	1.3244	24.85
500042	1.4121	22.13
500043	1.0107	20.25
500044	1.9371	23.11
500045	1.0116	22.10
500048	0.9601	19.30
500049	1.4695	22.95

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
500050	1.3489	20.94
500051	1.6736	24.48
500052	1.1621	
500053	1.3284	22.05
500054	1.8781	22.90
500055	1.1284	22.88
500057	1.3026	18.04
500058	1.4834	23.40
500059	1.0767	22.54
500060	1.3712	23.54
500061	1.0000	20.40
500062	1.0655	19.46
500064	1.6382	24.53
500065	1.2532	21.42
500068	1.0543	18.70
500069	1.1155	20.63
500071	1.2837	19.38
500072	1.2021	24.46
500073	0.9531	21.43
500074	1.1055	18.65
500077	1.3298	23.21
500079	1.3220	22.98
500080	0.8180	13.80
500084	1.2784	22.22
500085	0.9302	28.61
500086	1.2601	22.31
500088	1.2967	23.70
500089	1.0515	17.94
500090	0.8380	16.33
500092	1.0165	17.29
500094	0.8803	18.11
500096	0.9394	20.96
500097	1.0798	20.80
500098	1.0464	12.99
500101	1.0108	19.45
500102	0.9022	20.33
500104	1.2616	22.58
500106	0.9351	18.71
500107	1.2053	17.30
500108	1.7383	27.21
500110	1.2107	21.41
500118	1.1490	22.92
500119	1.3555	21.57
500122	1.2755	21.91
500123	0.9495	19.58
500124	1.3681	24.15
500125	1.0521	16.63
500129	1.6409	23.60
500132	0.9686	19.36
500134	0.6491	20.96
500138	3.6799	
500139	1.4682	20.88
500141	1.3726	22.94
500143	0.5954	17.60
500146		17.85
510001	1.9499	17.83
510002	1.2866	17.34
510005	1.0198	14.43
510006	1.2719	17.88
510007	1.5729	20.25
510008	1.2172	17.37
510012	1.0087	16.50
510013	1.1012	16.62
510015	0.9677	14.79

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
510016		12.03
510018	1.0741	16.48
510020	1.0848	12.65
510022	1.8970	19.84
510023	1.2380	15.94
510024	1.5836	18.80
510026	1.0574	13.46
510027	0.9600	17.58
510028	1.0520	20.73
510029	1.2855	17.05
510030	1.0520	18.31
510031	1.4131	18.49
510033	1.2904	18.81
510035	1.2311	18.65
510036	0.9839	13.20
510038	1.0640	14.34
510039	1.3990	16.06
510043	0.9349	14.29
510046	1.2735	17.73
510047	1.2611	19.12
510048	1.1332	20.37
510050	1.7457	16.57
510053	1.0811	15.59
510055	1.2306	22.84
510058	1.2795	17.98
510059	2.0210	16.77
510060	1.0503	15.66
510061	1.0243	14.22
510062	1.2784	17.63
510065		14.59
510066		12.72
510067	1.2058	18.11
510068	1.2058	16.29
510070	1.2966	16.36
510071	1.3297	16.24
510072	1.0573	17.66
510077	1.1370	16.41
510080	1.1479	14.80
510081	1.0787	13.00
510082	1.1597	13.69
510084	1.0361	12.48
510085	1.2771	18.64
510086	1.1035	13.79
510088	1.0389	
520002	1.2721	18.35
520003	1.0869	16.43
520004	1.1778	18.17
520006	1.0164	20.44
520007	1.0508	13.11
520008	1.6392	22.80
520009	1.6949	18.51
520010	1.1559	20.34
520011	1.1930	20.38
520013	1.3680	21.63
520014	1.1066	16.40
520015	1.1978	18.32
520016	0.9703	13.29
520017	1.1930	19.32
520018	1.1211	18.64
520019	1.3095	18.31
520021	1.4596	20.03
520024	1.0420	14.61
520025	1.0654	18.11
520026	1.0296	19.81

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
520027	1.2626	18.91
520028	1.3294	19.14
520029	0.8917	16.75
520030	1.7395	20.00
520031	1.0756	18.71
520032	1.2622	17.90
520033	1.2388	18.89
520034	1.1058	16.69
520035	1.3246	17.10
520037	1.7026	20.05
520038	1.3352	17.71
520039	0.9990	19.60
520040	1.5261	20.74
520041	1.1567	15.37
520042	1.1256	17.66
520044	1.4143	17.79
520045	1.6556	19.67
520047	0.9397	17.87
520048	1.4958	19.17
520049	2.0506	19.57
520051	1.8466	19.74
520053	1.1884	16.49
520054	1.0542	15.99
520057	1.1944	18.32
520058	1.1077	18.13
520059	1.4368	19.85
520060	1.4770	17.17
520062	1.2487	17.80
520063	1.1864	20.77
520064	1.5707	21.46
520066	1.4671	22.44
520068	0.9618	18.08
520069	1.2293	17.91
520070	1.5249	17.82
520071	1.2525	18.79
520074	1.0559	18.69
520075	1.4866	19.09
520076	1.1796	16.51
520077	0.9312	15.54
520078	1.6373	20.56
520082	1.1943	16.74
520083	1.7219	22.57
520084	1.0804	18.95
520087	1.6992	19.39
520088	1.2752	20.15
520089	1.4733	20.61
520090	1.2604	18.00
520091	1.2778	20.07
520092	1.0845	17.56
520094	0.7818	19.78
520095	1.2908	18.51
520096	1.3848	19.30
520097	1.3197	19.65
520098	1.7723	20.03
520100	1.2561	18.38
520101	1.0650	17.85
520102	1.1753	19.83
520103	1.3285	21.23
520107	1.2649	20.54
520109	1.0080	18.63
520110	1.2429	20.03
520111	1.0771	17.24
520112	1.1382	18.18
520113	1.2755	20.59

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
520114	1.0706	17.38
520115	1.2453	17.38
520116	1.2751	18.57
520117	1.0083	17.42
520118	0.9267	12.44
520120	0.9639	15.62
520121	0.9801	17.58
520122	0.9988	16.76
520123	0.9687	17.41
520124	1.0567	16.39
520130	1.0645	15.16
520131	1.0215	18.80
520132	1.2140	17.28
520134	1.1056	17.61
520135	0.9686	14.47
520136 *	1.5183	19.99
520138	1.8827	20.89
520139	1.2600	21.28
520140	1.6650	21.42
520141	....	16.95
520142	0.8577	17.70
520144	1.0177	16.62
520145	0.9103	17.24
520146	1.0606	15.73
520148	1.1737	16.93
520149	0.8651	13.30
520151	1.0561	18.08
520152	1.1273	21.33
520153	0.9014	15.45
520154	1.1283	17.92
520156	1.1278	19.84
520157	1.1408	17.28
520159	0.9357	18.74
520160	1.7939	18.84
520161	0.9978	18.57
520170	1.1960	22.50
520171	0.9558	15.73
520173	1.1310	20.14
520177	1.7178	21.76
520178	1.0401	17.04
520187	0.6853	....
520188	1.9479	....
530002	1.1799	17.59
530003	0.8696	15.78
530004	0.9388	16.19
530005	1.1268	15.15
530006	1.1073	19.34
530007	1.0673	18.06
530008	1.2158	22.96
530009	0.9826	19.45
530010	1.2456	18.93
530011	1.1585	17.44
530012	1.6198	19.48
530014	1.4187	17.32
530015	1.2855	22.65
530016	1.2279	17.71
530017	0.9404	13.71
530018	0.9876	17.87
530019	0.9171	16.76
530022	1.1504	17.88
530023	0.8235	20.75
530025	1.3737	20.32
530026	1.1260	18.92
530027	0.8284	29.77

TABLE 3C.—HOSPITAL CASE MIX IN-
DEXES FOR DISCHARGES OCCUR-
RING IN FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
1998, HOSPITAL AVERAGE HOURLY
WAGE FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR
2000 WAGE INDEX—Continued

Prov.	Case mix index	Avg. hour wage
530029	0.9986	17.80
530031	0.8242	13.38
530032	1.1799	20.21

*Asterisk denotes teaching physician costs
removed based on costs reported on Work-
sheet A, Col. 1, Line 23 of FY 1996 cost re-
port.

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL
GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR
(GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
0040 Abilene, TX .... Taylor, TX	0.8179	0.8714
0060 Aguadilla, PR [2] .. Aguada, PR Aguadilla, PR Moca, PR	0.4249	0.5565
0080 Akron, OH .... Portage, OH Summit, OH	1.0163	1.0111
0120 Albany, GA .... Dougherty, GA Lee, GA	1.0372	1.0253
0160 Albany-Schenec- tady-Troy, NY .... Albany, NY Montgomery, NY Rensselaer, NY Saratoga, NY Schenectady, NY Schoharie, NY	0.8754	0.9129
0200 Albuquerque, NM .... Bernalillo, NM Sandoval, NM Valencia, NM	0.8499	0.8946
0220 Alexandria, LA ... Rapides, LA	0.7910	0.8517
0240 Allentown-Beth- lehem-Easton, PA ..... Carbon, PA LeHigh, PA Northampton, PA	0.9550	o.9690
0280 Altoona, PA .... Blair, PA	0.9342	0.9545
0320 Amarillo, TX ...... Potter, TX Randall, TX	0.8435	0.8900
0380 Anchorage, AK .. Anchorage, AK	1.3009	1.1974
0440 Ann Arbor, MI .... Lenawee, MI Livingston, MI Washtenaw, MI	1.1483	1.0993
0450 Anniston, AL ...... Calhoun, AL	0.8462	0.8919
0460 Appleton-Osh- kosh-Neenah, WI	0.8913	0.9242

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL
GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR
(GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Contin-
ued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Calumet, WI Outagamie, WI Winnebago,WI		
0470 Arecibo, PR .... Arecibo, PR Camuy, PR Hatillo, PR	0.4815	0.6062
0480 Asheville, NC .... Buncombe, NC Madison, NC	0.8884	0.9222
0500 Athens, GA .... Clarke, GA Madison, GA Oconee, GA	0.9800	0.9863
0520 Atlanta, GA .... Barrow, GA Bartow, GA Carroll, GA Cherokee, GA Clayton, GA Cobb, GA Coweta, GA DeKalb, GA Douglas, GA Fayette, GA Forsyth, GA Fulton, GA Gwinnett, GA Henry, GA Newton, GA Paulding, GA Pickens, GA Rockdale, GA Spalding, GA Walton GA	1.0050	1.0034
0560 Atlantic-Cape May, NJ .... Atlantic, NJ Cape May, NJ	1.1050	1.0708
0580 Auburn-Opelika, AL .... Lee, AL	0.7748	0.8397
0600 Augusta-Aiken, GA–SC .... Columbia, GA McDuffie, GA Richmond, GA Aiken, SC Edgefield, SC	0.9013	0.9313
0640 Austin-San Marcos, TX [1] .... Bastrop, TX Caldwell, TX Hays, TX Travis, TX Williamson, TX	0.9081	0.9361
0680 Bakersfield, CA [2] Kern, CA	0.9951	0.9966
0720 Baltimore, MD [1] Anne Arundel, MD Baltimore, MD Baltimore, City, MD Carroll, MD Harford, MD Howard, MD Queen Anne's, MD	0.9891	0.9925
0733 Bangor, ME	0.9609	0.9731

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Penobscot, ME		
0743 Barnstable-Yarmouth, MA	1.3302	1.2158
Barnstable, MA		
0760 Baton Rouge, LA	0.8707	0.9095
Ascension, LA		
East Baton Rouge, LA		
Livingston, LA		
West Baton Rouge, LA		
0840 Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	0.8624	0.9036
Hardin, TX		
Jefferson, TX		
Orange, TX		
0860 Bellingham, WA	1.1394	1.0935
Whatcom, WA		
0870 Benton Harbor, MI[2]	0.8831	0.9184
Berrien, MI		
0875 Bergen-Passaic, NJ[1]	1.1833	1.1222
Bergen, NJ		
Passaic, NJ		
0880 Billings, MT	1.0038	1.0026
Yellowstone, MT		
0920 Biloxi-Gulfport-Pascagoula, MS	0.7949	0.8545
Hancock, MS		
Harrison, MS		
Jackson, MS		
0960 Binghamton, NY	0.8750	0.9126
Broome, NY		
Tioga, NY		
1000 Birmingham, AL	0.8994	0.9300
Blount, AL		
Jefferson, AL		
St. Clair, AL		
Shelby, AL		
1010 Bismarck, ND	0.7893	0.8504
Burleigh, ND		
Morton, ND		
1020 Bloomington, IN	0.8593	0.9014
Monroe, IN		
1040 Bloomington-Normal, IL	0.8993	0.9299
McLean, IL		
1080 Boise City, ID	0.9086	0.9365
Ada, ID		
Canyon, ID		
1123 Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Lowell-Brockton, MA–NH (MA Hospitals)[1][2]	1.1369	1.0918

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Bristol, MA		
Essex, MA		
Middlesex, MA		
Norfolk, MA		
Plymouth, MA		
Suffolk, MA		
Worcester, MA		
Hillsborough, NH		
Merrimack, NH		
Rockingham, NH		
Strafford, NH		
1123 Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Lowell-Brockton, MA–NH (NH Hospitals)[1]	1.1358	1.0911
Bristol, MA		
Essex, MA		
Middlesex, MA		
Norfolk, MA		
Plymouth, MA		
Suffolk, MA		
Worcester, MA		
Hillsborough, NH		
Merrimack, NH		
Rockingham, NH		
Strafford, NH		
1125 Boulder-Longmont, CO	0.9944	0.9962
Boulder, CO		
1145 Brazoria, TX	0.8516	0.8958
Brazoria, TX		
1150 Bremerton, WA	1.1011	1.0682
Kitsap, WA		
1240 Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX	0.9212	0.9453
Cameron, TX		
1260 Bryan-College Station, TX	0.8501	0.8947
Brazos, TX		
1280 [1]Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	0.9604	0.9727
Erie, NY		
Niagara, NY		
1303 Burlington, VT ...	1.0558	1.0379
Chittenden, VT		
Franklin, VT		
Grand Isle, VT		
1310 Caguas, PR	0.4561	0.5842
Caguas, PR		
Cayey, PR		
Cidra, PR		
Gurabo, PR		
San Lorenzo, PR		
1320 Canton-Massillon, OH[2]	0.8649	0.9054
Carrll, OH		
Stark, OH		
1350 Casper, WY	0.9199	0.9444
Natrona, WY		
1360 Cedar Rapids, IA	0.9018	0.9317
Linn, IA		
1400 Champaign-Urbana, IL	0.9163	0.9419
Champaign, IL		
1440 Charleston-North Charleston, SC	0.8988	0.9295

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Berkeley, SC		
Charleston, SC		
Dorchester, SC		
1480 Charleston, WV	0.9095	0.9371
Kanawha, WV		
Putnam, WV		
1520 Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC–SC[1]	0.9433	0.9608
Cabarrus, NC		
Gaston, NC		
Lincoln, NC		
Mecklenburg, NC		
Rowan, NC		
Stanly, NC		
Union, NC		
York, SC		
1540 Charlottesville, VA	1.0573	1.0389
Albermarle, VA		
Charlottesville City, VA		
Fluvanna, VA		
Greene, VA		
1560 Chattanooga, TN–GA	0.9731	0.9815
Catoosa, GA		
Dade, GA		
Walker, GA		
Hamilton, TN		
Marion, TN		
1580 Cheyenne, WY[2]	0.8859	0.9204
Laramie, WY		
1600 Chicago, IL[1]	1.0872	1.0589
Cook, IL		
DeKalb, IL		
DuPage, IL		
Grundy, IL		
Kane, IL		
Kendall, IL		
Lake, IL		
McHenry, IL		
Will, IL		
1620 Chico-Paradise, CA	1.0390	1.0265
Butte, CA		
1640 Cincinnati, OH–KY–IN[1]	0.9434	0.9609
Dearborn, IN		
Ohio, IN		
Boone, KY		
Campbell, KY		
Gallatin, KY		
Grant, KY		
Kenton, KY		
Pendleton, KY		
Brown, OH		
Clermont, OH		
Hamilton, OH		
Warren, OH		
1660 Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN–KY	0.8283	0.8790
Christian, KY		
Montgomery, TN		
1680 Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH[1]	0.9688	0.9785

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Ashtabula, OH		
Cuyahoga, OH		
Geauga, OH		
Lake, OH		
Lorain, OH		
Medina, OH		
1720 Colorado Springs, CO	0.9218	0.9458
El Paso, CO		
1740 Columbia, MO	0.8904	0.9236
Boone, MO		
1760 Columbia, SC	0.9357	0.9555
Lexington, SC		
Richland, SC		
1800 Columbus, GA–AL	0.8510	0.8954
Russell, AL		
Chattahoochee, GA		
Harris, GA		
Muscogee, GA		
1840 Columbus, OH	0.9907	0.9936
Delaware, OH		
Fairfield, OH		
Franklin, OH		
Licking, OH		
Madison, OH		
Pickaway, OH		
1880 Corpus Christi, TX	0.8702	0.9092
Nueces, TX		
San Patricio, TX		
1890 Crovallis, OR	1.1087	1.0732
Benton, OR		
1900 Cumberland, MD–WV (Maryland Hospitals)	0.8801	0.9163
Allegany, MD		
Mineral, WV		
1920 Dallas, TX[1]	0.9589	0.9717
Collin, TX		
Dallas, TX		
Denton, TX		
Ellis, TX		
Henderson, TX		
Hunt, TX		
Kaufman, TX		
Rockwall, TX		
1950 Danville, VA	0.9061	0.9347
Danville City, VA		
Pittsylvania, VA		
1960 Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA–IL	0.8706	0.9095
Scott, IA		
Henry, IL		
Rock Island, IL		
2000 Dayton-Springfield, OH	0.9336	0.9540
Clark, OH		
Greene, OH		
Miami, OH		
Montgomery, OH		
2020 Daytona Beach, FL[2]	0.8986	0.9294
Flagler, FL		
Volusia, FL		
2030 Decatur, AL	0.8679	0.9075

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Lawrence, AL		
Morgan, AL		
2040 Decatur, IL	0.8321	0.8817
Macon, IL		
2080 Danver, CO[1]	1.0197	1.0134
Adams, CO		
Arapahoe, CO		
Denver, CO		
Douglas, CO		
Jefferson, CO		
2120 Des Moines, IA	0.8754	0.9129
Dallas, IA		
Polk, IA		
Warren, IA		
2160 Detroit, MI[1]	1.0421	1.0286
Lapeer, MI		
Macomb, MI		
Monroe, MI		
Oakland, MI		
St. Clair, MI		
Wayne, MI		
2180 Dothan, AL	0.7836	0.8462
Dale, AL		
Houston, AL		
2190 Dover, DE	0.9335	0.9540
Kent, DE		
2200 Dubuque, IA	0.8520	0.8961
Dubuque, IA		
2240 Duluth-Superior, MN–WI	1.0165	1.0113
St. Louis, MN		
Douglas, WI		
2281 Dutchess County, NY	0.9872	0.9912
Dutchess, NY		
2290 Eau Claire, WI	0.8957	0.9273
Chippewa, WI		
Eau Claire, WI		
2320 El Paso, TX	0.8947	0.9266
El Paso, TX		
2330 Elkhart-Goshen, IN	0.9379	0.9570
Elkhart, IN		
2335 Elmira, NY[2]	0.8636	0.9045
Chemung, NY		
2340 Enid, OK	0.7953	0.8548
Garfield, OK		
2360 Erie, PA	0.9023	0.9320
Erie, PA		
2400 Eugene-Springfield, OR	1.0765	1.0518
Lane, OR		
2440 Evansville-Henderson, IN–KY (IN Hospitals)[2]	0.8396	0.8872
Posey, IN		
Vanderburgh, IN		
Warrick, IN		
Henderson, KY		
2440 Evansville-Henderson, IN–KY (KY Hospitals)	0.8303	0.8804
Posey, IN		
Vanderburgh, IN		
Warrick, IN		
Henderson, KY		
2520 Fargo-Moorhead, ND–MN	0.8620	0.9033

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Clay, MN		
Cass, ND		
2560 Fayetteville, NC	0.8494	0.8942
Cumberland, NC		
2580 Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR	0.7773	0.8415
Benton, AR		
Washington, AR		
2620 Flagstaff, AZ–UT	1.0348	1.0237
Coconino, AZ		
Kane, UT		
2640 Flint, MI	1.1020	1.0688
Genesee, MI		
2650 Florence, AL	0.7927	0.8529
Colbert, AL		
Lauderdale, AL		
2655 Florence, SC	0.8618	0.9032
Florence, SC		
2670 Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	1.0302	1.0206
Larimer, CO		
2680 Ft. Lauderdale, FL[1]	1.0172	1.0117
Broward, FL		
2700 Fort Myers-Cape Coral, FL[2]	0.8986	0.9294
Lee, FL		
2710 Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie, FL	1.0109	1.0075
Martin, FL		
St. Lucie, FL		
2720 Fort Smith, AR–OK	0.7844	0.8468
Crawford, AR		
Sebastian, AR		
Sequoyah, OK		
2750 Fort Walton Beach, FL[2]	0.8986	0.9294
Okaloosa, FL		
2760 Fort Wayne, IN	0.9096	0.9372
Adams, IN		
Allen, IN		
De Kalb, IN		
Huntington, IN		
Wells, IN		
Whitley, IN		
2800 Forth Worth-Arlington, TX[1]	0.9835	0.9887
Hood, TX		
Johnson, TX		
Parker, TX		
Tarrant, TX		
2840 Fresno, CA	1.0262	1.0179
Fresno, CA		
Madera, CA		
2880 Gadsden, AL	0.8754	0.9129
Etowah, AL		
2900 Gainesville, FL	1.0102	1.0070
Alachua, FL		
2920 Galveston-Texas City, TX	0.9732	0.9816
Galveston, TX		
2960 Gary, IN	0.9369	0.9563
Lake, IN		
Porter, IN		
2975 Glens Falls, NY[2]	0.8636	0.9045

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Warren, NY		
Washington, NY		
2980 Goldsboro, NC ..	0.8333	0.8826
Wayne, NC		
2985 Grand Forks, ND–MN	0.9097	0.9372
Polk, MN		
Grand Forks, ND		
2995 Grand Junction, CO	0.9188	0.9437
Mesa, CO		
3000 Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland, MI [1]	1.0135	1.0092
Allegan, MI		
Kent, MI		
Muskegon, MI		
Ottawa, MI		
3040 Great Falls, MT	1.0459	1.0312
Cascade, MT		
3060 Greeley, CO	0.9722	0.9809
Weld, CO		
3080 Green Bay, WI ..	0.9215	0.9456
Brown, WI		
3120 Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC [1]	0.9037	0.9330
Alamance, NC		
Davidson, NC		
Davie, NC		
Forsyth, NC		
Guilford, NC		
Randolph, NC		
Stokes, NC		
Yadkin, NC		
3150 Greenville, NC ...	0.9500	0.9655
Pitt, NC		
3160 Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC	0.9188	0.9437
Anderson, SC		
Cherokee, SC		
Greenville, SC		
Pickens, SC		
Spartanburg, SC		
3180 Hagerstown, MD	0.8853	0.9200
Washington, MD		
3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH	0.8989	0.9296
Butler, OH		
3240 Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA	0.9917	0.9943
Cumberland, PA		
Dauphin, PA		
Lebanon, PA		
Perry, PA		
3283 Hartford, CT [1] [2] ..	1.2413	1.1595
Hartford, CT		
Litchfield, CT		
Middlesex, CT		
Tolland, CT		
3285 Hattiesburg, MS [2]	0.7306	0.806
Forrest, MS		
Lamar, MS		
3290 Hickory-Morganton-Lenoir, NC	0.9148	0.940

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Alexander, NC		
Burke, NC		
Caldwell, NC		
Catawba, NC		
3320 Honolulu, HI	1.1479	1.099
Honolulu, HI		
3350 Houma, LA	0.7837	0.8463
Lafourche, LA		
Terrebonne, LA		
3360 Houston, TX [1]	0.9387	0.9576
Chambers, TX		
Fort Bend, TX		
Harris, TX		
Liberty, TX		
Montgomery, TX		
Waller, TX		
3400 Huntington-Ashland, WV–KY–OH	0.9757	0.9833
Boyd, KY		
Carter, KY		
Greenup, KY		
Lawrence, OH		
Cabell, WV		
Wayne, WV		
3440 Huntsville, AL	0.8822	0.9178
Limestone, AL		
Madison, AL		
3480 Indianapolis, IN [1]	0.9792	0.9857
Boone, IN		
Hamilton, IN		
Hancock, IN		
Hendricks, IN		
Johnson, IN		
Madison, IN		
Marion, IN		
Morgan, IN		
Shelby, IN		
3500 Iowa City, IA	0.9607	0.9729
Johnson, IA		
3520 Jackson, MI	0.8840	0.9190
Jackson, MI		
3560 Jackson, MS	0.8387	0.8865
Hinds, MS		
Madison, MS		
Rankin, MS		
3580 Jackson, TN	0.8600	0.9019
Madison, TN		
Chester, TN		
3600 Jacksonville, FL [1] [2]	0.8986	0.9294
Clay, FL		
Duval, FL		
Nassau, FL		
St. Johns, FL		
3605 Jacksonville, NC [2]	0.8290	0.8795
Onslow, NC		
3610 Jamestown, NY [2]	0.8636	0.9045
Chautauqua, NY		
3620 Janesville-Beloit, WI	0.9656	0.9763
Rock, WI		
3640 Jersey City, NJ ..	1.1674	1.1118
Hudson, NJ		
3660 Johson City-Kingsport-Bristol, TN–VA	0.8894	0.9229

TABLE 4A.—WAGE INDEX AND CAPITAL GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (GAF) FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

Urban area (constituent counties)	Wage index	GAF
Carter, TN		
Hawkins, TN		
Sullivan, TN		
Unicoi, TN		
Washington, TN		
Bristol City, VA		
Scott, VA		
Washington, VA		
3680 Johnstown, PA [2]	0.8524	0.8964
Cambria, PA		
Somerset, PA		
3700 Jonesboro, AR ..	0.7251	0.8024
Craighead, AR		
3710 Joplin, MO [2]	0.7723	0.8378
Jasper, MO		
Newton, MO		
3720 Kalamazoo-Battlecreek, MI	0.9981	0.9987
Calhoun, MI		
Kalamazoo, MI		
Van Buren, MI		
3740 Kankakee, IL	0.8598	0.9017
Kankakee, IL		
3760 Kansas City, KS–MO [1]	0.9322	0.9531
Johnson, KS		
Leavenworth, KS		
Miami, KS		
Wyandotte, KS		
Cass, MO		
Clay, MO		
Clinton, MO		
Jackson, MO		
Lafayette, MO		
Platte, MO		
Ray, MO		
3800 Kenosha, WI	0.9033	0.9327
Kenosha, WI		
3810 Killeen-Temple, TX	0.9932	0.9953
Bell, TX		
Coryell, TX		
3840 Knoxville, TN	0.9199	0.9444
Anderson, TN		
Blount, TN		
Knox, TN		
Loudon, TN		
Sevier, TN		
Union, TN		
3850 Kokomo, IN	0.8984	0.9293
Howard, IN		
Tipton, IN		
3870 LaCrosse, WI–MN	0.8933	0.9256
Houston, MN		
La Crosse, WI		
3880 Lafayette, LA	0.8397	0.8872
Acadia, LA		
Lafayette, LA		
St. Landry, LA		
St. Martin, LA		
3920 Lafayette, IN	0.8809	0.9168
Clinton, IN		
Tippecanoa, IN		
3960 Lake Charles, LA	0.7966	0.8558